

Brought to you by [INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA](#)

Scopus

[Back](#)

Assessment of Dimensional Finite Element Models for Free Vibration and Aeroelastic Flutter Analysis of Straight Spar Wing Structure

[Journal of Aeronautics, Astronautics and Aviation](#) • Article • 2026 •

DOI: 10.6125/JoAAA.202604_58(4).10

[nor Zaidi, Muhammad Amirul Adli](#)^a; [Mohd Sabri, Muhammad Aqil Karimi](#)^a; [Azami, Muhammad Hanafi](#)^a; [Hrairi, Meftah](#)^a; [Wahid, Azni Nabela](#)^b; [+1 author](#)

^a Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Kulliyyah of Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Kuala Lumpur, 53100, Malaysia

[Show all information](#)

0

Citations

[Full text](#) [Export](#) [Save to list](#)

[Document](#)[Impact](#)[Cited by \(0\)](#)[References \(16\)](#)[Similar documents](#)

Abstract

Vibration and aeroelastic analyses are vital for ensuring the structural integrity of aircraft and UAV components. This study experimentally and numerically investigates a rib-and-spar wing box using Ground Vibration Testing and wind tunnel flutter tests to determine natural frequencies, mode shapes, and flutter speed for different modelling fidelities. In modal analysis, the 2D shell model was most accurate across the first four modes, followed by the 3D solid model, with the 1D beam showing larger deviations. In flutter prediction, the 1D beam model performed the best with 6.75 flutter speed error, followed by 3D solid (15.50) and 2D shell (18.40) models. While 2D excelled in modal analysis, it was less effective for flutter analysis. The 3D solid model, though theoretically has the highest fidelity, delivered moderate accuracy in both and may be considered a practical compromise when

both structural and aeroelastic predictions are needed. © 2026, The Aeronautical and Astronautical Society of the Republic of China. Ltd. All rights reserved.

Author keywords

Element Fidelity; FEM; Flutter Analysis; Modal Analysis

Indexed keywords

Engineering controlled terms

Aeroelasticity; Elastic waves; Fighter aircraft; Flutter (aerodynamics); Structural analysis; Vibration analysis

Engineering uncontrolled terms

3D solid model; Aeroelastic analysis; Aeroelastic flutter analysis; Element fidelity; Finite element modelling (FEM); Flutter analysis; Flutter speed; Free vibration; Vibrations analysis; Wing structures

Engineering main heading

Modal analysis

Funding details

Details about financial support for research, including funding sources and grant numbers as provided in academic publications.

Funding sponsor	Funding number	Acronym
Department of Mechatronics Engineering IIUM		
Kulliyyah of Engineering IIUM		
Asian Office of Aerospace Research and Development See opportunities by AOARD	SPI24-221-0221	AOARD

Funding text

The authors would like to thank Kulliyyah of Engineering IIUM and Department of Mechatronics Engineering IIUM for providing the necessary equipment to complete this study. The authors

would also want to thank and acknowledge the Asian Office of Aerospace R&D (AOARD). This research is supported by AOARD (SPI24-221-0221).

Corresponding authors

Corresponding author	N.A. Abdullah
Affiliation	Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Kulliyyah of Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Kuala Lumpur, 53100, Malaysia
Email address	azam@iium.edu.my

© Copyright 2026 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved.

Abstract

Author keywords

Indexed keywords

Funding details

Corresponding authors

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)