



MENU

[Smart Search](#) > [Results for Cognitive detect...](#) >

Cognitive Detection at Big-Data Scale: A CNN-LSTM-DQN Framework with P...

 Free Full Text from Publisher

View Full Text on ProQuest

Export ▾

Add To Marked List

< 1 of 3 >

Cognitive Detection at Big-Data Scale: A CNN-LSTM-DQN Framework with Prioritized Experience Replay for Cross-Attack-Family Generalization and Multi-Seed Initialization Sensitivity Analysis

By

[Are you this author?](#)[Rushendra](#) (Rushendra) ^[1]; [Ramli, K](#) (Ramli, Kalamullah) ^[1]; [Purnamasari, PD](#) (Purnamasari, Prima Dewi) ^[1]; [Gunawan, TS](#) (Gunawan, Teddy Surya) ^[2]; [Salman, M](#) (Salman, Muhammad) ^[1]

Source

BIG DATA AND COGNITIVE COMPUTING

[← View Journal Impact](#)

Volume: 10 Issue: 7

DOI: 10.3390/bdcc10070239

Article Number

239

Published

JUL 16 2026

Indexed

2026-07-31

Document Type

Article



Abstract

Real-world IoT network security generates traffic at big-data scale with extreme class imbalance, temporal non-stationarity, and continuously evolving attack strategies that overwhelm static supervised classifiers. This paper presents a cognitive computing framework for network intrusion detection: a CNN-LSTM-DQN architecture with Prioritized Experience Replay (PER) evaluated on a 5,000,000-flow naturalistic sample of the TON_IoT Processed_Network dataset (4,000,000 training/1,000,000 temporally held-out test flows; 94.5% attack ratio) under a strict temporal split. The cognitive agent optimizes detection decisions using an Alerts per Million Flows (ARMF)-aware reward function that encodes both alert-fatigue cost and missed-attack penalty. We conduct a cross-attack-family generalization study: the methodology-architecture template, reward design, and hyperparameter calibration-is inherited from a framework previously validated on CSE-CIC-IDS2018, re-instantiated and retrained on the structurally different TON_IoT environment, and compared against the previously published benchmark. Initialization sensitivity is characterized across five independent random seeds using paired Wilcoxon signed-rank and t-tests. Across the five seeds, the proposed X2 model attains recall 0.833 +/- 0.306 and F1 0.874 +/- 0.241 (mean +/- sample SD), versus the supervised X1 baseline at 0.858 +/- 0.178 and 0.912 +/- 0.116; the best-performing seed (42) achieves 97.52% accuracy, 98.02% attack recall, 99.46% precision, and 98.73% F1-score on 1,000,000 held-out XSS flows-an attack family entirely absent from training-with temporal stability variances of 4.63 & times; 10(-7) (recall) and 1.38 & times; 10(-7) (F1). The X2 advantage observed among the four stable seeds is not statistically demonstrated at n = 5 (statistical power approximate to 5.1%); the initialization-sensitivity finding itself, including one degenerate alert-suppression seed, is reported as a primary contribution. A formal, exactly additive ARMF decomposition distinguishes the detected-attack (structural) component (99.46%) from the model-induced false-positive component (0.54%), and we report a multi-seed, ARMF-aware cognitive IDS evaluation on naturalistic TON_IoT traffic under an unseen-attack-family test condition that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been reported in the surveyed RL-based NIDS literature.

Keywords

Author Keywords: big data security; cognitive computing; intrusion detection system; deep reinforcement learning; CNN-LSTM; prioritized experience replay; TON_IoT; cross-attack-family generalization; multi-seed sensitivity analysis; ARMF; IoT network security; class imbalance at scale

Author Information	Corresponding Address:	Ramli, Kalamullah;	Salman, Muhammad	(corresponding author)
		▼ Univ Indonesia, Dept Elect Engn, Depok 16424, Jawa Barat, Indonesia		
	E-mail Addresses :	kalamullah.ramli@ui.ac.id ; muhammad.salman@ui.ac.id		
	Addresses :			
		▼ ¹ Univ Indonesia, Dept Elect Engn, Depok 16424, Jawa Barat, Indonesia		
		▼ ² Int Islamic Univ Malaysia, Dept Elect & Comp Engn, Kulliyyah Engn, Kuala Lumpur 50728, Malaysia		
	E-mail Addresses :	rushendra91@ui.ac.id ; kalamullah.ramli@ui.ac.id ; prima.dp@ui.ac.id ; tsgunawan@iium.edu.my ; muhammad.salman@ui.ac.id		
Data availability statement	The TON_IoT Processed_Network dataset used in this study is publicly available at https://research.unsw.edu.au/projects/toniot-datasets (accessed on 1 May 2026). All experimental result files generated in this study—including per-seed performance metrics, multi-seed combined results, statistical test outputs, DQN training curves, confusion matrices, FP/FN trade-off curves, temporal stability window metrics, and PCA projection data—will be deposited on Zenodo upon acceptance of this manuscript, with a permanent DOI assigned to ensure long-term accessibility. Model training scripts are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author at kalamullah.ramli@ui.ac.id .			
Conflict of interest statement	The authors declare no conflicts of interest.			
Categories/ Classification	Research Areas: Computer Science			
Web of Science Categories	Computer Science, Artificial Intelligence ; Computer Science, Information Systems ; Computer Science, Theory & Methods			

Funding

▼ View funding text

Funding agency	Grant number	Show All Details
Ministry of Research and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia (RISTEK)	PKS-291/UN2.RST/HKP.05.00/2025	Show details

Journal information

BIG DATA AND COGNITIVE COMPUTING

← View Journal Impact

eISSN 2504-2289

Current Publisher MDPI, MDPI AG, Grosspeteranlage 5, CH-4052 BASEL, SWITZERLAND

Research Areas Computer Science

Web of Science Categories Computer Science, Artificial Intelligence; Computer Science, Information Systems; Computer Science, Theory & Methods

5.3

Journal Impact Factor™ (2025)



0.91

Journal Citation Indicator™ (2025)



Citation Network

In Web of Science Core Collection

0 Citations

Create citation alert

35

Cited References

→ View Related Records

How does this document's citation performance compare to peers?

Use in Web of Science

0

Last 180 Days

0

Since 2013

[Learn more →](#)

This record is from:

Web of Science Core Collection

- Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI)

Suggest a correction

If you would like to improve the quality

← [Open comparison metrics panel](#)

Data is from InCites Benchmarking & Analytics

35 Cited References

[View as set of results](#)

Showing 30 of 35

(from Web of Science Core Collection)

