



# Document details

< Back to results | 1 of 1

📄 Export 📄 Download 🖨️ Print ✉️ E-mail 📄 Save to PDF ☆ Add to List More... >

International Journal of Business and Society  
Volume 21, Issue S1, 2020, Pages 177-191

## Unfair risk allocation in oil and gas upstream service contracts in malaysia: The necessity for oilfield anti-indemnity act (Article)

Zulhafiz, W.M., Rahman, N.B.A.

International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia

### Abstract

View references (30)

In Malaysia, the absence of a law to regulate imbalanced risk allocation and unfair indemnity and hold harmless clauses in oil and gas service contracts should be perceived as a serious problem. Such deficiency leads to the problem of inequality of bargaining power resulting from the dominant position of the operators over the contractors. Moreover, to date, there is no statutory restriction on contractual provisions purporting to exclude, limit or indemnify one or both of the parties in relation to liability and indemnity. Some operators opt to take out self-insurance rather than to buy a premium that will extend its coverage to cover the operators and sub-contractors, for example in respect of Construction All Risk. This scenario can cause the contractor to assume uninsured risks, which could lead to detrimental financial exposure on the occasion of a catastrophic incident. The situation might get worse for contractors in the event that contractors have to assume double jeopardy contractual risk, whereby the contractors not only need to assume operators' risk but also sub-contractors' risk. In order to resolve this problem, it is argued that a specific legal mechanism should be adopted in Malaysia to protect and limit the liability of the contractors under oil and gas service contracts. It is suggested that the Malaysian Parliament should pass a special law, such as, Oilfield Anti-Indemnity Act. It would be ideal and practical solution to have a proper legal framework to control the abuse of imbalanced risk allocation and indemnity and hold harmless clauses in Malaysia. The methodology employed in this paper will be a comparative analysis which will be carried out in a descriptive, analytic and prescriptive manner. © 2020, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. All rights reserved.

### SciVal Topic Prominence ⓘ

Topic: Public-private partnerships | Investments | Concession period

Prominence percentile: 98.493 ⓘ

### Author keywords

- Indemnity
- Malaysian law
- Offshore projects
- Oil and gas industry
- Oilfield service contracts
- Risk allocation
- Upstream

ISSN: 15116670  
Source Type: Journal  
Original language: English

Document Type: Article  
Publisher: Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

### References (30)

View in search results format >

☐ All Export 🖨️ Print ✉️ E-mail 📄 Save to PDF Create bibliography

### Metrics ⓘ View all metrics >



#### PlumX Metrics ▾

Usage, Captures, Mentions, Social Media and Citations beyond Scopus.

### Cited by 0 documents

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

Set citation alert >

Set citation feed >

### Related documents

Perception of contractual risk allocation in the oil and gas contracts in Malaysia

Zulhafiz, W.M. (2018) *International Journal of Trade and Global Markets*

Unfair contract terms act 1977: Does it provide a good model in regulating risk allocation provisions in oilfield contracts in Malaysia?

Zulhafiz, W. (2015) *International Journal of Trade and Global Markets*

Risk allocation in oil and gas contracts

Gordon, G. (2010) *Oil and Gas Law Current Practice and Emerging Trends: 2nd edition*

View all related documents based on references

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

Authors > Keywords >

- 1 Alias, S.A., Ghadas, Z.A.A.  
Inequality of bargaining power and the doctrine of unconscionability: Towards substantive fairness in commercial contracts  
  
(2012) *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 6 (11), pp. 331-341. Cited 2 times.  
<http://www.ajbasweb.com/ajbas/2012/Special%20oct/331-341.pdf>
- 
- 2 Alramahi, M.  
(2013) *Oil and Gas Law in the UK*  
Bloomsbury Professional
- 
- 3 Aubin, Y., Portwood, T.  
(2000) *International Business Law Journal Mutual Indemnity and Hold Harmless Agreements*
- 
- 4 Benneer, L.S.  
Offshore oil and gas drilling: A review of regulatory regimes in the United States, United Kingdom, and Norway  
  
(2015) *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 9 (1), art. no. reu013, pp. 2-22. Cited 15 times.  
[http://www.oxfordjournals.org/our\\_journals/reep/](http://www.oxfordjournals.org/our_journals/reep/)  
doi: 10.1093/reep/reu013  
  
View at Publisher
- 
- 5 Cameron, P.  
Liability for catastrophic risk in the oil and gas industry  
(2012) *International Energy Law Review*, p. 207. Cited 7 times.
- 
- 6 Gordon, G.  
Contribution and indemnification: Farstad Supply AS v Enviroco Ltd  
(2010) *Edinburgh Law Review*, 14, p. 102.
- 
- 7 Gordon, G.  
Contribution, indemnification and exclusion: Farstad in the supreme court  
(2011) *Edinburgh Law Review*, 15, p. 259. Cited 2 times.
- 
- 8 Gordon, G.  
Risk Allocation in Oil and Gas Contracts  
(2011) *Oil and Gas Law: Current Practice & Emerging Trends (Vol. 2Nd)*  
G. Gordon, J. Paterson, & E. Usenmez (Eds.), Dundee University Press
- 
- 9 Gordon, G., Paterson, J., Usenmez, E.  
Oil and Gas Law on the United Kingdom Continental Shelf: Current Practice and Emerging Trends  
(2011) *Oil and Gas Law: Current Practice & Emerging Trends*. Cited 10 times.  
G. Gordon, J. Paterson, & E. Usenmez (Eds.), 2nd ed.). Dundee University Press
-