



Document details

< Back to results | 1 of 1

📄 Export 📄 Download 🖨️ Print ✉️ E-mail 📄 Save to PDF ☆ Add to List More... >

View at Publisher

Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis
Volume 27, Issue 1-2, 1 January 2020, Pages 5-19

Stakeholder priorities for inclusive accessible housing : A systematic review and multicriteria decision analysis (Article)

Lakhani, A.^a ✉️, Zeeman, H.^a, Wright, C.J.^a, Watling, D.P.^a, Smith, D.^b, Islam, R.^c 🔍

^aThe Hopkins Centre, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Logan, QLD, Australia

^bSchool of the Design and Built Environment, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

^cFaculty of Economics and Management Sciences, International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract

The lack of inclusive, accessible housing that meets the needs of people with physical and cognitive disability is a global issue. The inadequacy of inclusive housing development is partly a result of divergent priorities and poor coordination between housing, health, and disability sector stakeholders—public and private developers, designers, architects, occupational therapists, and disability service organizations. This paper provides findings from an Australian Research Council funded study where the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) was utilized to compare and contrast inclusive housing development priorities among relevant stakeholders. A multistage process was undertaken to ascertain the AHP criteria for inclusive housing development decisions, including a systematic review of peer-reviewed and grey literature, in addition to a structured focus group with end users (n = 17) and an industry stakeholder online survey (n = 130). Finally, a subgroup of industry stakeholders (n = 29) representing the housing, health, and disability sectors completed the AHP to establish decision priorities. The final AHP model indicated three broad clusters of decision priorities for inclusive accessible housing development: end-user connectedness, building considerations, and feasibility, respectively. Global weights conclude that specific end-user connectedness elements, namely, “access to health care,” “community engagement,” “proximity to transport,” and “security and safety,” were the foremost priorities. It is expected that inclusive housing development decisions that integrate the connectedness of end users first and foremost, with building and feasibility priorities, will favourably impact the housing opportunities and choices for people with disability. © 2019 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

SciVal Topic Prominence ⓘ

Topic: Housing | Residence Characteristics | Home modification

Prominence percentile: 82.149 ⓘ

Author keywords

analytic hierarchy process community engagement disability inclusive housing systematic review

Funding details

Funding sponsor	Funding number	Acronym
	LP140100446	

Funding text

Metrics ⓘ View all metrics >

1 Citation in Scopus
Field-Weighted
Citation Impact



PlumX Metrics ▾
Usage, Captures, Mentions,
Social Media and Citations
beyond Scopus.

Cited by 1 document

Dimensionality reduction for multi-criteria problems: An application to the decommissioning of oil and gas installations

Martins, I.D. , Bahiense, L. , Infante, C.E.D.
(2020) *Expert Systems with Applications*

View details of this citation

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

Set citation alert >

Set citation feed >

Related documents

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

Authors > Keywords >

This research is independently funded by the Australian Research Council Linkage scheme (LP140100446) and the Motor Accident Insurance Commission Queensland. The authors acknowledge Professor Elizabeth Kendall for her thoughtful feedback on earlier versions of this paper.

ISSN: 10579214

Source Type: Journal

Original language: English

DOI: 10.1002/mcda.1689

Document Type: Article

Publisher: John Wiley and Sons Ltd

Lakhani, A.; The Hopkins Centre, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Logan, QLD, Australia; email:a.lakhani@griffith.edu.au

© Copyright 2020 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved.

< Back to results | 1 of 1

^ Top of page

About Scopus

What is Scopus

Content coverage

Scopus blog

Scopus API

Privacy matters

Language

日本語に切り替える

切换到简体中文

切换到繁體中文

Русский язык

Customer Service

Help

Contact us

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions](#) ↗ [Privacy policy](#) ↗

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies.

RELX