

**Subject:** Inderscience Publishers: Article accepted for publication - IJOR-9801

**Date:** Wednesday, 21 November 2018 at 2:32:12 AM Malaysia Time

**From:** Inderscience Submissions

**To:** 1001542741@student.ucsiuniversity.edu.my, 1001542697@student.ucsiuniversity.edu.my, Dr. Amir 'Aatieff Bin Amir Hussin, Asst. Prof. Siti Norida Binti Wahab

**CC:** 1001542741@student.ucsiuniversity.edu.my, 1001542697@student.ucsiuniversity.edu.my, Dr. Amir 'Aatieff Bin Amir Hussin



Dear Assistant Prof. Siti Norida Wahab,

(Co-authors, you are copied into this email for information purposes only.)

Ref: Article title: "Usage of Pedestrian Bridge among the Urban Commuters in Kuala Lumpur: A Conceptual Analysis and Future Direction"

Submission code: IJOR-9801

I am pleased to inform you that your article has been accepted for publication in Int. J. of Operational Research.

You now need to upload the final revised version for this article and your author copyright agreement form(s) or your Open Access order form.

The reviewers' comments are added to the end of this email for your information. There may be some useful suggestions for improving your final version.

\* INSTRUCTIONS \*

Log in to the Inderscience Submissions system. There is a reminder facility there if you have forgotten your username or password.

Then click on the following link, and you will be taken to the correct section for uploading:

<https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/track/index.php?action=submissiondetails&intSubmissionId=9801>

1. Scroll down the page to the section called "Peer Review Progress".

Click on "Edit metadata" to make any required corrections to the title, abstract or keywords. Please also review carefully for any spelling or grammatical errors.

Remember to click the "Submit" button to save your changes.

2. Returning to the "Peer Review Progress section", at the "Type of Article" drop-down list, select whether the article is a "Standard" article (the default) or an "Open Access" article.

If you are submitting an Open Access article, you will need to upload a completed OA order form.

(See more information on the Inderscience web site at

[http://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author\\_oa.php](http://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_oa.php) )

Select the Licence Type, and then move onto point 4 on this list.

3. For Standard articles, use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to locate and insert your signed Author Copyright Agreement Form.

If there is more than one author, please submit forms all together in a zipped file. We must receive a signature from every author on a separate form.

It is not acceptable for one author to sign on behalf of the other authors.

The Author Copyright Agreement Form (and instructions on how to sign and submit it) can be found on the Inderscience website at [http://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author\\_copyright.php](http://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_copyright.php)

If you are submitting an Open Access article, you do not need to submit a copyright agreement form.

4. Next you need to insert the final version of your article. Right-click on the file name next to "Author's original submission", or "Author's revised submission" if you previously made changes, and save it on your computer.

Open this file and remove your reply or any response to reviewers that might show at the beginning of your article.

Re-insert any author details, such as name, email addresses, institution and biographical statements in the first page of your file.

Save your changes and rename the file "authorFinalVersion.doc" or "authorFinalVersion.docx".

No other file types are accepted.

(if this is a LaTeX file, please upload a pdf version as a supplementary file).

Now return to our submissions system, and use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to insert this file.

5. Check that you have done all 4 steps as indicated above and then click the "Upload" button to submit the final version of your article and accompanying documents.

Thank you! Your continuing cooperation is most appreciated.

With kind regards,  
Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran (Editor)  
Int. J. of Operational Research  
<http://www.inderscience.com/IJOR>

**REVIEWER 1** (Offline Reviewer)

Review date: 20 Oct 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANUSCRIPT FEATURES**

\*\*\*\*\*

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Acceptable

Professional/industrial relevance: Acceptable

Completeness of the work: Marginal

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Marginal

Organisation of the manuscript: Marginal

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Marginal

\*\*\*\*\*

**QUALITY AND RIGOUR**

\*\*\*\*\*

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

\*\*\*\*\*

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

\*\*\*\*\*

REVIEW COMMENTS:

**Changes which must be made before publication:**

The subject of the paper looks interesting and suitable for IJOR. However, the paper requires a revision before it can be accepted for publication:

1. Number the main and sub-sections correctly to avoid any confusion.
2. The introduction section should include 3-5 latest journal references (2014-2018) and appropriate extracts from them to motivate the researchers in the subject.
3. Develop the literature review part of the paper to include 6-8 latest journal references (2014-2018) and relevant extracts from them. Try to include 4-6 references from IJOR.
4. The research objectives/hypothesis/methodology should be better explained and motivated.
5. The theoretical model/conceptual framework should be described and motivated further.
6. The results and analysis section should be better analyzed and developed further.
7. Develop the conclusions section to include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions.
8. The language of the paper needs a careful editing. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected.
9. References must follow the style of IJOR.

**Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:**

NA

**REVIEWER 2**

Review date: 07 May 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANUSCRIPT FEATURES**

\*\*\*\*\*

Originality of the work: Poor

Subject relevance: Poor

Professional/industrial relevance: Acceptable

Completeness of the work: Poor

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Poor

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Poor

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Poor

\*\*\*\*\*

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

\*\*\*\*\*

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: no

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: yes

\*\*\*\*\*

RECOMMENDATION: NOT ACCEPTABLE

\*\*\*\*\*

REVIEW COMMENTS:

**Changes which must be made before publication:**

Not anywhere publishable quality even for a weak text book chapter.

**Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:**

The article is a very disorganized discussion about why people don't use pedestrian crossings in Malaysia. There is no Operations Research in it all. And there is no new contribution at all, and no new study either modeling based or empirical based or survey based. The only new thing is Figure 11, which is a very simple (and somewhat arbitrary) conceptual framework but without any empirical validation. As such, this is not sufficient to consider publication in IJOR.

The English writing in the paper is very poor. There is not even one sentence that is well constructed and free of grammatical errors. Even the first sentence in the paper "In Malaysia, pedestrian bridges are not bizzare...." sounds awkward and does not make sense.

**REVIEWER 3**

Review date: 02 Jul 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

\*\*\*\*\*

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Good

Professional/industrial relevance: Acceptable

Completeness of the work: Marginal

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Acceptable

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Good

\*\*\*\*\*

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

\*\*\*\*\*

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: no

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

\*\*\*\*\*

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

\*\*\*\*\*

REVIEW COMMENTS:

**Changes which must be made before publication:**

- Improve english and grammar
- Correct citation format
- Justify choice of study variables (i.e. factors) through literatures
- Provide evidence for selected statements to avoid being seen as the author's opinion

**Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:**

- Provide schematic diagram of pedestrian bridge crossing designs in Malaysia

Annotated file: [2018\\_IJOR\\_9801\\_RAVB.pdf](#)

**\* End of reviewer comments \***

---