

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Law & Practice



Edited by

Adnan Yaakob
Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed
Arun Kasi
Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan
Muhamad Hassan Ahmad

CLJ Publication

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Law & Practice

Edited by

Adnan Yaakob
Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed
Arun Kasi
Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan
Muhamad Hassan Ahmad



CLJ Publication

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION : Law & Practice / Edited by:

Adnan Yaakob, Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed, Arun Kasi, Mohammad Naqib
Ishan Jan, Muhamad Hassan Ahmad.

ISBN 978-967-457-144-3

1. Dispute resolution (Law).
2. Dispute resolution (Islamic law).

I. Adnan Yaakob.

II. Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed.

III. Arun Kasi.

IV. Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan.

V. Muhamad Hassan Ahmad.

347.09

Published by

The Malaysian Current Law Journal Sdn Bhd

Unit E1-2, BLK E, Jln Selaman 1

Dataran De Palma, 68000 Ampang,

Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Co No 197901006857 (51143 M)

Tel: 603-42705400 Fax: 603-42705401

2020 © The Malaysian Current Law Journal Sdn Bhd ('CLJ').

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any material form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, or storing in any medium by electronic means and whether or not transiently or incidentally to some other use of this publication, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

*Although every effort has been made to ensure accuracy of this publication,
The Malaysian Current Law Journal accepts no responsibility for errors
or omissions, if any.*

Kumitha Abd Majid (*Publications Editor*)

Suhainah Wahiduddin (*Indexing*)

Nurhamimi Mohamad (*Cover Design*)

Afrihidayati Asep Hidayat (*Typesetting*)

Printed by

VIVAR PRINTING SDN BHD

Lot 25, Rawang Integrated Industrial Park,

48000 Rawang,

Selangor Darul Ehsan

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	i
<i>Preface</i>	iii
<i>Contributors' Profile</i>	vii
<i>Table Of Cases</i>	xix
<i>Table Of Legislation</i>	xlvii

CHAPTER 1 DISPUTE RESOLUTION: ADVERSARIAL SYSTEM AND INQUISITORIAL SYSTEM

Civil Law System	1
Common Law System	3
Adversarial System v. Inquisitorial System	6
Adversarial Procedure Of Civil Cases With Reference To The Rules Of Court 2012	10
Pleading	11
Service Of Documents	11
Discovery And Interrogation	12
Case Management	13
Hearing In Open Court	14
Evidence	15
Examination Of Witness	16
Judgment	17
Written Judgment	17
Costs	20
Decision Is Subsequently Open To Appeal	21
Stay Of Execution	21
Enforcement Of Judgment	24

Contents

CHAPTER 2

**ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION:
DEFINITION AND ITS DEVELOPMENT**

Introduction	27
Why ADR?	29
Conceptual Delineation	32
Historical Development	36
Religious Aspects	37
Past Traditions Still In Use	42
Conclusion	48

CHAPTER 3

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN ISLAM

Introduction	49
Clarification Of Terms	53
ADR Processes In Islam	60
<i>Sulh</i> (Negotiation, Mediation/Conciliation And Compromise Of Action)	60
Formula	66
Parties	66
The Substitute	66
The Subject-Matter	67
<i>Tahkim</i> (Arbitration)	69
Med-Arb	72
<i>Muhtasib</i>	73
Informal Justice By <i>Wali Al-Mazalim</i> Or Chancellor	75
<i>Fatawa</i> Of <i>Mufti</i> Or Expert Determination	76
Overview Of The Practice Of ADR In The Formative Period Of Islam	76
Conclusion	78

Contents

CHAPTER 4

**CONFIDENTIALITY AND PUBLIC POLICY
IN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

Introduction	79
Confidentiality: Privilege	80
Why Confidentiality?	84
Law And Court	86
Position In India	87
Position In The United Kingdom	88
Position In Singapore	89
Position In Other Countries	92
Position In International ADR Institutions	96
Conclusion	100

CHAPTER 5

NEGOTIATION: TYPES AND ETHICAL ISSUES

Introduction	101
Types Of Negotiation	101
Competitive Negotiation	102
Accommodative Negotiation	103
Collaborative Negotiation	105
Ethics In Negotiation	106
Self-Determination Of The Parties (Party Autonomy)	107
Negotiation Skills	107
Confidentiality Of Information	107
Impartiality Of Negotiator	108
Conflicts Of Interest	108
Process Of Negotiation	108
Fees For Negotiation	109
Conclusion	110

Contents

CHAPTER 6
WITHOUT PREJUDICE NEGOTIATIONS

Introduction	111
Policy Behind The Rule	118
Setting Aside The Veil Of 'Without Prejudice Negotiations'	121
Conclusion	122

CHAPTER 7
MEDIATION: DEFINITION, ORIGINS AND PROCESSES

Introduction	123
Definition Of Mediation	124
Traditional Mediation	125
Modern Mediation	130
Historical Background Of Mediation In Malaysia	136
Cornerstone Of Mediation	139
Voluntariness	139
Impartiality	140
Confidentiality	140
Flexibility	141
Process Of Mediation	142
Pre-Mediation Process	142
Preliminary Stage	143
Joint Session	143
Caucus (Separate Meeting) And Further Joint Meeting	144
Agreement Stage	145
Types Of Mediation	145
Facilitative Mediation	145
Evaluative Mediation	145
Transformative Mediation	146
Western Culture v. Asian Culture	146
Prevalence Of Face-Saving	147
Mediators Should Be Authoritative Figures	148
Mediators Should Assume Leadership Role	148
Communication Should Be At An Appropriate Level Of Formality	149
Base Of Trust In The Asian Context	149
Conclusion	151

Contents

CHAPTER 8

MEDIATORS: ACCREDITATION AND TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

Introduction	153
Accreditation Of Mediators	155
Accreditation Of Mediators In Selected Jurisdictions	161
Australia	161
United States	172
Canada	173
Singapore	174
United Kingdom	175
International Mediation Institute	176
Accreditation Of Mediators: Optional Or Obligatory?	180
Conclusion	182

CHAPTER 9

MEDIATION: STANDARDS OF CONDUCT

Introduction	183
Self-Determination	184
Competence	185
Confidentiality	187
Impartiality	189
Conflicts Of Interest	190
Quality Of Process	192
Fees For Service	195
Advertising And Solicitation	196
Advancement Of Mediation Practice	197
Conclusion	198

CHAPTER 10

MEDIATION: COURT ANNEXED

Introduction	199
Mediation Act 2012	200
Practice Direction No. 5 Of 2010	200
Practice Direction No. 2 Of 2013	203
Practice Direction No. 4 Of 2016	204

Contents

Referrals To Mediation	205
Judge-led Mediation	205
Mediation By The AIAC	206
Mediator Chosen By Parties	207
Mediation Agreement	209
Confidentiality	210
Period And Results Of Mediation	210
Settlement Agreement	211
Practice Direction To Be Followed Closely	212
Conclusion	213
Arahan Amalan Bil. 2 Tahun 2013	214
Practice Direction No. 4 Of 2016	219

CHAPTER 11

MEDIATION: COMMUNITY DISPUTES

Introduction	226
Position In Malaysia	229
Position In India	236
Position In Singapore	240
Conclusion	244

CHAPTER 12

MEDIATION: RELIGIOUS AND CULTURAL DISPUTES

Religious And Cultural Conflicts	245
Tolerance Of Other Religious And Cultural Practices	261
Mediation Of Religious And Cultural Conflicts	265
Conclusion	270

Contents

CHAPTER 13
MEDIATION: NATIVE DISPUTES

Introduction	271
A Brief History Of Sabah And Sarawak	272
Natives Of Sabah And Sarawak	273
Native Courts In Sabah	276
Native Court Of Appeal	277
District Native Court	278
Native Court	278
Representation In Native Courts Of Sabah	281
Native Courts In Sarawak	282
Native Court Of Appeal	284
Resident's Native Court	284
District Native Court	286
Chief's Superior Court	286
Chief's Court	288
Headman's Court	289
Power Of Sarawak Native Courts To Impose Penalties	289
Imprisonment In Default Of Penalty	289
Mediation In Native Courts	290
Interview Of Respondents	292
Sabah	293
Sarawak	294
Conclusion	297

CHAPTER 14
MEDIATION: MEDICAL DISPUTES

Introduction	299
The Importance Of Communication After The Occurrence Of Adverse Events	300
Mediation As The Most Feasible Method Of Alternative Dispute Resolution	301
Promoting The Use Of Apologies During Mediation	304
Conclusion	309

Contents

CHAPTER 15
MEDIATION: REAL ESTATE AND LAND DISPUTES

Introduction	311
Types Of Real Estate Disputes	312
Existing Dispute Resolution Mechanism	316
Homebuyers Tribunal	317
ADR Processes	318
<i>Arbitration</i>	320
<i>Mediation</i>	321
<i>Med-Arb</i>	321
<i>Mini Trial</i>	321
<i>Negotiation</i>	322
<i>Facilitation</i>	322
Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For Resolving Real Estate Disputes	323
Cost Saving	326
Speedier	327
Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation	327
Making A Binding Decision	327
Able To Preserve Relationship Between Disputing Parties	328
Benefiting From The Valuable Procedural Characteristics Of Mediation	328
Important Roles Of A Mediator Unavailable To A Judge In Court Of Law	331
Establishing Communication Between Parties	332
Transmitter Of Information	332
Distinguisher Between Wants From Needs Of Parties	332
Generator Of Options	332
Organiser And Guide	333
Reality Check	333
Absorbing Negativity	333
Experienced And Ability To Drive The Discussion	334
Predictor Of Future Issues	334
Challenges In Promoting Mediation	334
Appointment Of A Suitable Mediator	334
Incorporation Of Clauses To Mediate Disputes In Agreements	336

Contents

Liberty To Find Other Means Of Dispute Resolution If Mediation Does Not Resolve The Dispute	336
Authority To Make Decisions	336
Settlement Is Not Binding Unless Parties Reach An Agreement	337
Communications During Mediation Are Confidential	337
Preparing A Mediation Brief	337
Finalise Settlement Agreement At Mediation	338
Limitations Of Mediation	338
Conclusion	339

CHAPTER 16
MEDIATION: SPORTS DISPUTES

Introduction	341
Sports Law And Mediation	341
Trend In Mediating Sports Disputes	344
Value Of Mediation In Sports Disputes	348
Notion Of Mediation	349
Common Misconceptions About Mediation	350
Relevance And Application Of Mediation To Sport Disputes	352
Conclusion	353

CHAPTER 17
MEDIATION: PLEA BARGAINING IN CRIMINAL CASES

Introduction	355
Mediation: Meaning And Scope	356
Power Of Public Prosecutor To Institute, Substitute And Discontinue Charge	357
Pre-Trial Conference	358
Case Management	359
Plea Bargaining	359
Sentencing Arising In Plea Bargaining	365
Conclusion	368

Contents

CHAPTER 18
MEDIATION INSTITUTIONS

Introduction	369
Financial Mediation Bureau (FMB)	370
Malaysian Mediation Centre (MMC)	372
Asian International Arbitration Centre (AIAC)	376
Legal Aid Bureau (LAB)	378
Conclusion	380

CHAPTER 19
SINGAPORE MEDIATION CONVENTION:
WHETHER RULE OF LAW INTACT?

Introduction	381
Bird's Eye View Of The Convention	383
Observations	386
Conclusion	387
Appendix	389

CHAPTER 20
CONCILIATION v. MEDIATION: SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

Introduction	401
Conciliation: Meaning	402
Conciliation And Mediation: Similarities And Differences	404
Differences: An Analysis From The Definition	404

CHAPTER 21
CONCILIATION: LABOUR DISPUTES

Introduction	407
Labour Disputes	409
Conciliation At Industrial Relations Department (IRD)	414
Enhancing Conciliator's Skills And Knowledge On Negotiation Process	422
Conclusion	424

Contents

CHAPTER 22

CONCILIATION: MATRIMONIAL DISPUTES

Reconciliation In Matrimonial Disputes	425
Reconciliation: A Prerequisite For Filing Of Divorce Petition	427
Conclusion	438

CHAPTER 23

CONCILIATION: APPLICATION IN SYARIAH COURT

Introduction	439
<i>Sulh</i> : Definition	440
<i>Sulh</i> : In <i>Qur'an</i> And <i>Sunnah</i>	441
Process Of Conciliation (<i>Sulh</i>)	444
Conciliation: Practice In <i>Syariah</i> Courts	446
Process Of <i>Hakam</i> For Dissolution Of Marriage	451
Ensuring Effectiveness Of <i>Sulh</i>	455
<i>Sulh</i> Work Manual	455
Practice Direction Of JKSM On Practice Of <i>Sulh</i>	457
Continuous Training For <i>Sulh</i> Officers	459
Conclusion	460

CHAPTER 24

ARBITRATION IN MALAYSIA: AN OVERVIEW

Introduction	461
Arbitration Agreement	466
Arbitrator	471
Appointment Of Arbitrator	473
Seat Of Arbitration And Choice Of Law	475
Arbitral Procedure	478
Conclusion	482

Contents

CHAPTER 25
INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION

Introduction	483
The 1958 Convention	487
Arbitral Proceedings, Model Law And The Arbitration Act 2005: A Bird's Eye View	492
Appointment Of Arbitrator	493
Respondent's Objection To Arbitration Proceedings	494
Preliminary Conference	495
Powers Of The Arbitrator	498
Determination Of Rules Of Procedure	499
Challenge And/Or Termination Of Arbitrator	500
Award	500
Challenges In Arbitral Proceedings And Award	501

CHAPTER 26
ARBITRATION AGREEMENT

Introduction	503
Arbitration Agreement: Requirements As To Form	504
Arbitration: Definition	515
Permissive And Unilateral Arbitration Agreements	520

CHAPTER 27
ARBITRATOR: QUALIFICATION AND TRAINING

Introduction	523
Legal Position	523
Practical Position	524
CIArb London Fellowship	529
Other Grades Of CIArb Memberships	530

Contents

CHAPTER 28

ARBITRATORS: APPOINTMENT AND REMOVAL

Arbitrator: An Overview	531
Appointment Of Arbitrator	533
Revoking Authority Of Arbitrator: Application By Disputants	535
Revoking Authority Of Arbitrator: Application By Co-Arbitrator	543
Revoking Arbitrator's Authority: Required Challenge Procedure	551
Appointment Of Substitute Arbitrator	553
Conclusion	554

CHAPTER 29

ARBITRATORS: IMPARTIALITY AND INDEPENDENCE

Introduction	555
Impartiality And Independence	557
Duty Of Disclosure	558
Test For Apparent Bias	562
IBA Guidelines On Conflict Of Interest In International Arbitration	572
Conclusion	580

CHAPTER 30

STAY OF ARBITRAL PROCEEDINGS

Introduction	581
The Arbitration Act 1952	582
The Arbitration Act 2005 (Prior To The Amendment In 2011)	585
The Arbitration Act 2005 (As Amended In 2011)	588
Stay Under The Arbitration Act 2005: Arbitrability Of Subject Matter	591
Requirements Under The Arbitration Act 2005	603
Requirement As To The Seat Of Arbitration	604

Contents

CHAPTER 31
ANTI-SUIT INJUNCTION

Introduction	615
Anti-Suit Injunctions In Non-Contractual Context	618
Anti-Suit Injunctions To Prevent Breach Of Contract	622
The Narrow Approach	624
The Wide Approach	626
Considerations In Granting Anti-Suit Injunction	630
Conclusion	638

CHAPTER 32
ARBITRAL AWARD AND ENFORCEMENT

Arbitral Award	639
Setting Aside Award	642
Enforcement Of Award	650

CHAPTER 33
ARBITRAL AWARDS: GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

Introduction	655
Jurisdiction Of Supervisory Courts	658
Preconditioned Grounds For Review	663
<i>Provable Grounds For Review</i>	663
Judicially Discoverable Grounds For Review	667
Involvement Of Fraud Or Corruption	669
Breach Of Rules Of Natural Justice	669
Discretionary Power Of Courts And Its Criteria	672
Jurisdiction Of Enforcement Courts	675
Judicial Review In <i>Ex Parte</i> Proceedings	677
Validity Of Agreement	680
Form Of Agreement	680
Parties To The Agreement	682
Judicial Review In <i>Inter Partes</i> Proceedings	683
Arbitrability Of Dispute	687
Determination Of The Applicable Law	687
Validity Of The Arbitration Agreement	688
The Award Has Not Yet Become Binding	689
Conclusion	690

Contents

CHAPTER 34

INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL INSTITUTIONS

Introduction	691
Evolution Of International Arbitration And Tribunals	693
Jay Treaty Arbitration	694
Alabama Claims Arbitration	695
Permanent Court Of Arbitration	697
Usage Of International Arbitration	701
Investment Arbitration	702
International Arbitration Rules	703
Conclusion	704

CHAPTER 35

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN FINTECH

Introduction	706
Blockchain Revolution	708
Blockchain In Financial Transactions	709
Blockchain In Law	710
Blockchain-Based Smart Contracts	711
Blockchain Platform For Dispute Resolution	712
Cryptocurrency Debate	713
Decentralised Dispute Resolution	719
Online Dispute Resolution	721
Private ODR Platforms	724
Codelegit — Blockchain Arbitration Library	724
Kleros — Crypto Dispute Arbitration	725
Blockchain Arbitration Forum (BAF)	727
Jury.Online	729
Public Solutions	730
Conclusion	731

Contents

CHAPTER 36
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN
ISLAMIC BANKING AND FINANCE

Introduction	735
Arbitration	736
Arbitration From Western And Islamic Perspective	736
Arbitration For Islamic Banking And Finance Disputes	741
Challenges In Implementation Of Arbitration Laws	742
Choice Of Laws	745
Qualifications Of Arbitrators For Islamic Banking And Finance Disputes	747
Arbitral Awards	749
Harmonisation Of <i>Syariah</i> And Civil Law On Arbitration	752
Mediation	754
<i>Syariah</i> Principles In Mediation Process	756
Mediation In Islamic Banking And Finance Disputes	757
Ombudsman	758
Ombudsman In Islamic Banking And Finance Disputes	760
Scope Of Ombudsman	761
Ombudsman: A Case Study	763
Conclusion	766

CHAPTER 37
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
IN CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES

Introduction	767
Nature Of Construction Dispute	767
Emergence Of Construction Dispute Resolution	769
Dispute Avoidance Mechanisms In Construction Industry	771
Major Processes Of Construction Dispute Resolution	773
On-Site Dispute Resolution and Avoidance Mechanisms	773
<i>Dispute Review Board</i>	774
<i>Roles Of The Dispute Review Board</i>	776
<i>Dispute Adjudication Board</i>	778
Other Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	780

Contents

Off-Site Dispute Resolution And Avoidance Mechanisms	782
Expert Determination	783
Enforcement Of Expert Determination Decision	784
Statutory Adjudication	787
Construction Court	789
Conclusion	790

CHAPTER 38

STATUTORY ADJUDICATION UNDER CIPAA 2012

Statutory Adjudication	791
Appointment Of Adjudicator	796
Adjudication Process	798
Setting Aside Adjudication Decision	803
Enforcing Adjudication Decision	806

CHAPTER 39

BIRD'S EYE VIEW OF CIPAA 2012

Introduction	807
CIPAA 2012	809

CHAPTER 40

EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION IN INDUSTRIAL COURT

Introduction	815
Industrial Court Of Malaysia	817
Industrial Court's Early Evaluation Process	819
Early Evaluation Of Cases: Illustrations From Industrial Court Awards	825
Conclusion	829

FOR ACADEMIC
REPOSITORY
PURPOSES
ONLY

Contents

CHAPTER 41
OMBUDSMAN

Introduction	831
Origin And Evolution Of Ombudsman	832
Ombudsman In Chinese Legal Tradition	833
The Greek/Roman <i>Agoranomos</i>	835
Ombudsman In Islamic Legal Tradition	837
Scandinavian Ombudsman	844
Contemporary Ombudsman And The Ottoman Turks	848
Modern Ombudsman: Definitions And Institutionalisation	849
Ombudsman, Ombudswoman, Ombudsperson, Ombudet And Others	854
Ombudsman: ADR Institution Or Mechanism	856
Trends In Ombudsman Classification	857
Classical/Traditional Ombudsman	860
Legislative Or Parliamentary Ombudsman	860
Executive Ombudsman	862
Organisational Ombudsman	863
Public Sector And Private Sector Ombudsman	865
Essential Characteristics Of Ombudsman Institutions	866
Establishment	869
Accessibility	869
Independence	870
Confidentiality/Reporting	871
Effect Of Decision/Enforcement	872
Jurisdiction And Scope	872
Reporting And Accountability	873
Review Process	873
Role Of Ombudsman In Modern Business Regulation	874
Conclusion	876

Contents

CHAPTER 42
EXPERT DETERMINATION: CONVENTIONAL
AND ISLAMIC APPROACH

Introduction	877
Expert Determination: Conventional Approach	878
Qualifications Of The Expert	879
<i>A Named Individual, Firm Or Company</i>	880
<i>An Individual, Firm Or Company Holding A Particular Position</i>	881
<i>Qualification By Profession Or Experience</i>	881
<i>Criteria For The Expert's Suitability</i>	882
The Expert Clause	883
Appointing The Expert	885
Absence Of Effective Appointment Mechanism	886
Challenging Decision Of The Experts	888
<i>Bringing A Claim Against Expert</i>	889
<i>Limitation</i>	890
Grounds Of Challenge	890
<i>Lack Of Independence</i>	891
<i>Unfairness In The Decision Procedure</i>	892
<i>Unfairness In The Decision</i>	894
Expert Determination: Islamic Approach	896
<i>Fatwa And Its Significance</i>	898
Principles of <i>Fatwa</i> Issuance	899
<i>Fatwa Issuance</i>	900
<i>Scholarly Aptitude And Character</i>	900
<i>Single Juristic View</i>	900
<i>Multiple Juristic View</i>	900
<i>Reliance On Authentic Juristic Work</i>	901
<i>Prioritisation</i>	901
<i>Competing Juristic Views</i>	901
<i>Adopting The View Of Zahir Al-Riwayah</i>	902
<i>Mafhum-Mukhalif As Principle Of Interpretation Of Juristic Text</i>	902
Similarities And Differences Between <i>Al-Qadha'</i> And <i>Al-Fatwa</i>	902
Appointment Of <i>Qadhi</i> And <i>Mufti</i>	903
Application Of <i>Fatwa</i>	904

Contents

Multiple Views	904
Approved Verdicts In <i>Fatwa</i> Sentence	905
The Preferred Verdict	905
The Verdict Which Is Equal To Another	906
Conclusion	906

CHAPTER 43

**ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND ITS
APPLICATION IN FINANCIAL DISPUTES**

Introduction	907
Concept Of ODR	908
Permutations Of ODR	912
Online Ombudsman	914
Online Negotiation	914
Online Mediation	915
Online Arbitration	915
Online Hybrid Processes	915
Best Practices Of ODR In Financial Dispute Resolution	916
<i>eBay/Square Trade</i> Experiment	917
<i>Cybersettle.com, SettlementOnline</i> and <i>clickNsettle</i>	919
ODR In Credit Reporting Disputes	920
Conclusion	923

CHAPTER 44

UNIVERSITY ARBITRATION

Introduction	925
Universities As Arbitration Centres	926
Emergence Of Modern Commercial Arbitration	927
Significance Of Arbitration	930
Empowering Universities As Arbitration Centres	932
Implementing University Arbitration	933
Benefit To Stakeholders	934
The 'Feeder' To University Arbitration	935
Regimes Of Islamic Finance And One Belt, One Road (OBOR)	938
Conclusion	939

Index	941
-------	-----

CHAPTER 14

MEDIATION: MEDICAL DISPUTES*

Introduction

Victims in medical negligence disputes often pursue their claims out of anger and their desire for compensation over their physical and emotional harm. The current adjudication system, that is the tort system, allows them to be financially compensated for the harm suffered but does not offer them non-legal remedies in the form of explanation, information, and sincere apologies from the wrongdoer.¹ However, medical negligence cases are often brought to the court of law not merely to obtain monetary compensation but also to receive the appropriate explanation on the events that transpired and acceptance of responsibility by the person who caused the harm. Nevertheless, litigation has often been viewed as the last resort as its processes tend to be cumbersome and costly. Thus, engaging into methods of 'open disclosure' in providing proper explanation of the events, remedial steps for the prevention of future recurrence as well as making statements of regret with empathy, will eventually have the ability to defuse the growing anger and preserve the cordial relationship between the disputing parties.²

* This chapter is contributed by Puteri Nemie Jahn Kassim.

- 1 AJ Kellett 'Healing Angry Wounds: The Roles of Apology and Mediation in Disputes between Physicians and Patients' *Journal of Dispute Resolution* 1987 pp. 111-31.
- 2 SD Hodge, N Saitta 'Physician Apologies' *Practical Lawyer* 57 No 6 *Prac Law* 35 2011 pp. 35-44.

The Importance Of Communication After The Occurrence Of Adverse Events

Litigation often starts because the patient cannot get the information he is seeking, explanation or apology from the appropriate persons. Not all patients want to obtain financial compensation; some merely want to ensure that there is no repetition of the mishap that had occurred and to receive an apology for what had happened. Lord Woolf MR in an interim report on his Access to Justice Inquiry in June 1995, identified the needs of patients as wanting ‘impartial information and advice, including an independent medical assessment, fair compensation for losses suffered, a limited financial commitment, a speedy resolution of the dispute, a fair and independent adjudication; and (sometimes) a day in court.’³ Doctors, on the other hand, want ‘a discreet, private adjudication, which some would prefer to be by a medical rather than legal tribunal, an expert of their own or their solicitor’s choice and an economical system.’⁴ Legal proceedings should be treated as a last resort and to be used only when other means of resolving disputes have been exhausted. It is vital to find out what the aggrieved patient wishes to achieve. If substantial financial compensation is his main motive, then perhaps litigation is the best way to deal with it. But if the patient is concerned with receiving an explanation, apology and assurance, that in future such can be avoided, then litigation is not the best way to deal with the situation. Thus, effective communication is important when things go wrong.

3 Lord Woolf MR ‘Access to Justice: The Final Report to the Lord Chancellor on the Civil Justice System in England and Wales’ HMSO (1996) para. 18.

4 *Ibid* para. 19.

Mediation As The Most Feasible Method Of Alternative Dispute Resolution

Alternative Dispute Resolution (‘ADR’) methods have the advantage of preserving doctor-patient relationships and offer an alternative for those who lack the stamina to see through the litigation process. Compared to other methods of ADR, mediation seems to offer an inexpensive process of integrative bargaining. It does not emphasise on who should win or lose, who is right or wrong. Rather, it focuses on goals of reconciliation and personal transformation. In mediation, parties participate directly in what is thought to be an informal and voluntary dispute resolution process that may offer a novel and promising approach in resolving claims. Mediation has been seen as the most feasible form of dispute resolution for medical disputes as it provides speedy, economical and trauma-free alternative to litigation.

For successful mediation, the role of the mediator must be clearly defined. The mediator is not to make a decision, as that is the function of the judge or the arbitrator. The role of the mediator is simply to establish an atmosphere in which the parties work to settle a situation themselves. The good mediator constantly points out to the parties the practicalities of negotiations and the advantages and disadvantages of various approaches. Necessarily, mediators should have fair knowledge of the subject matter. This can be achieved by having independent scientific, medical and pharmaceutical experts advising mediators on aspects of medical issues. With sufficient knowledge, mediators should be able to propose settlement terms, with compensation being assessed for losses or previous temporary impairment and loss of income suffered and the effects of continuing impairment. By probing strengths and weaknesses of each side, the mediator can facilitate settlement or help to narrow the issues in dispute. The strength of evidence on one side can be brought to the attention of the other side at an early stage and this may prompt early settlement. Substantial costs and expenses can be saved.

The appointment of the mediator should be at the discretion of both parties. Impartiality should be the main assessing criteria in choosing the mediators. Mediation should be conducted without prejudice and the mediator should have the power to choose the procedure, which he thinks is fit and considered to be the most efficient, speedy and cost effective. The mediator cannot be called upon to act as an advocate adviser or witness to a litigation proceeding or be in a position that requires him to disclose information about any matter arising from the mediation. This is to ensure the confidentiality of the proceedings. The parties should bear their own costs of mediation and pay half of the mediator's fee regardless of the outcome.

Mediation also provides an early opportunity for patients' needs to be reviewed and addressed in a positive light. Unlike arbitration or court litigation, no resolution can be reached save by the consent of the parties and the mediator's decision is not binding. All discussions are without prejudice and parties can walk away at any stage. In other words, the parties should be free to continue or opt out. Settlement achieved should be on terms acceptable to all parties after each side assesses and balances the risks involved. If after a session of information-sharing and good faith negotiations, the parties cannot agree, settlement will not and should not result. Levels of compensation offered must be realistic. It must be a structured settlement and the complainant is to be told what the adequate award is for their type of injury and structured specifically for them.

Mediation is a less formal method of ADR as compared to arbitration. In arbitration, the arbitrator takes the place of the judge in coming to a decision whereas in mediation, it is the disputing parties themselves that determine the outcome. In mediation, a mediator is appointed by the disputing parties themselves to assist them to reach an agreement by structuring discussions internally without involving external rules. This means that this process is not bound by the rules of either procedural or substantive law or by rules of evidence. It is the parties

that make their own rules and only receive help from the mediator where appropriate. The exact format of the mediation session will depend upon the mediator, the parties and the type of dispute involved. The mediator's role may vary significantly, depending on the nature of the dispute. The mediator is usually involved in probing into the parties' subjective understanding of the dispute and their immediate and long-term needs. It is also the mediator's role to assist the parties in developing solutions to the conflict by applying neutral standards to these needs. The mediator generally does not render a decision or give any advisory opinion on the dispute unless requested to do so by the parties. This usually occurs after the parties fail to reach an amicable solution voluntarily. The decision made at the end of the mediation process is not binding on either party.

Mediation provides creative solutions to problems by identifying and exploiting the parties' possibly differing interests. It is in the simplest form where parties volunteer to reach a settlement without having their participation coerced by any party. It is a rational way of solving the problem by clearing up misunderstandings and clarifying issues and helping negotiations by bringing in factors such as realism and objectivity into the discussion. Quite often, the parties can reach a mutually satisfactory solution that meets their particular needs and interests. This is very much needed in medical negligence cases as the medically injured patient is usually in need of clarification of what actually happened without going through the lengthy litigation process. Often, aggrieved patients want something additional to monetary payment. They want to be heard and to have an opportunity to express their anxieties over what has happened. At times, they want an apology and an assurance that what happened to them will not be repeated. Mediation provides the proper forum for patients to express their opinion and for doctors to explain why something was done the way it was. This conceivably offers an inexpensive method for resolving disputes without going through litigation.

Promoting The Use Of Apologies During Mediation

Apology has long gained prominence as an effective tool in ADR particularly, in mediation. In ADR such as mediation, it offers higher expectations and potential to heal the relationship between the parties before the dispute is brought to court,⁵ as the disputing parties can negotiate with a neutral third party on how to achieve a mutually acceptable resolution of the points in conflict. Although an apology can only come from the parties themselves, mediators are recommended to propose for an apology even when it was not initiated by either party whenever appropriate, as it can be an effective tool in promoting the resolution of the dispute.⁶ An apology at this juncture will reduce anger as well as the hostility between the parties and since the mediation process is not restricted to the rules of evidence nor procedure, this would be a great avenue for the wrongdoer to offer a sincere apology to the victim as the apology offered cannot be used as an admission of guilt in the court of law should the mediation fail to resolve the dispute.⁷ During the mediation process, the parties involved will have the opportunity to make any retraction or correction in statements made, offering statements of regret as well as apology and this will likely affect the outcome of the dispute resolution process itself.

5 Susan Alter, Law Commission of Canada *Apologising for Serious Wrongdoing: Social, Psychological and Legal Considerations* (1999).

6 DL Levi 'The Role of Apology in Mediation' *New York University Law Review* Vol. 72 1997 pp. 1165-1210.

7 AJ Kellett 'Healing Angry Wounds: The Roles of Apology and Mediation in Disputes between Physicians and Patients' *Journal of Dispute Resolution* 1987 pp. 111-31.

There are several aspects of the mediation process that promote the making of an apology as the mediation process itself provides an opportunity for direct participation by the parties in the negotiation process and at the same time, allows it to be confidential as well as meaningful dialogue between the parties without taking into account the legal complications of the apology. Besides that, it will also allow the parties to be clear about the facts, issues and the expectations of both parties. As a neutral third party, the mediator needs to play the very important role to remind the parties that litigation is not the only way to settle their dispute. Mediation will thus, empower the parties to resolve the dispute in accordance to their choice and may provide more psychological benefits to the parties.⁸ Since an apology may serve various benefits to the parties during the mediation process as it provides a conducive platform for the parties to apologise, this has garnered attention and interest of legal scholars and legislators for apology to be used beyond mediation in the resolution of dispute process.⁹

Although an apology offers immense benefits in the dispute resolution process, the main impediment in the application of medical apology as an effective tool in the resolution of disputes is that it can be self-incriminating and viewed as an admission of guilt on the part of the medical practitioner. Due to this reason, medical practitioners constantly fear that the apology offered by them to their patients will be used against them in the court of law. The legal ramifications of medical apologies can be illustrated in the following cases. In *Gurmit Kaur Jaswant Singh v. Tung Shin Hospital & Anor*,¹⁰ a woman sought

8 RO Carroll 'When "Sorry" is the Hardest Word to Say, How Might Apology Legislation Assist?' *Hong Kong Law Journal* 44(2) 2014 pp. 491-517.

9 EA O'Hara, D Yarn 'On Apology and Consilience' *Washington Law Review* Vol. 77 2002 pp. 1121-92,

10 [2013] 1 CLJ 699, HC.

treatment from the defendant medical practitioner to remove a fibroid in her uterus. However, it was found later that a hysterectomy procedure was conducted on her. The medical practitioner was found liable and the apology given by him was considered as proof for the negligence committed. Rosilah Yop JC in delivering her judgment stated:

My view, when the Second Defendant had apologised to the Plaintiff, proves that the Second Defendant had admitted to a mistake he had done.

This can be seen as a clear illustration on how an apology can be viewed as an admission of guilt. Further consequences of an apology in medical negligence claims can also be illustrated in the case of *Norizan Abd Rahman v. Dr Arthur Samuel*.¹¹ In this case, four months after the birth of her fifth child, the plaintiff, discovered she was pregnant again and requested the defendant, an obstetrician and gynaecologist, to terminate the pregnancy and at the same time insert an intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD). However, while the procedures were being performed simultaneously, the plaintiff's right uterine wall and right artery of the uterus were perforated necessitating an emergency life-saving operation by the defendant to remove her womb and right ovary. The plaintiff claimed that they were informed that this was just a simple procedure and were not informed about any risks. The court in allowing the claim which amounted to RM220,000 in general damages and RM3,000 in special damages had also considered the contention by the plaintiff that an apology was made by the defendant and the defendant was not present to answer or deny such claim. The court held that such an apology made was considered as establishing negligence on the part of the defendants. Thus, it can be seen from this case that, an apology by the medical practitioner may be used by the court in the determination of his liability. It is clear from the judgment of these cases

11 [2013] 4 CLJ 275, HC.

that whenever a medical practitioner apologises, it may be considered as legal suicide as it could backfire against them as such apology can be construed as an admission of guilt and considered in determining the liability of the medical practitioner.¹²

Although medical apologies offer much benefit in defusing the desire for patients to litigate, it also has the effect of being a 'double-edged' sword and be seen as self-incriminating on the party who apologises. In other words, medical apology can be seen as an admission of guilt and be tendered as evidence in court proceedings against the medical practitioner. This problem has led to several countries enacting 'apology laws' that mandate open disclosure of medical errors but at the same time, shielding those who apologise from legal liability.¹³ The workings of apology law differs from one jurisdiction to another and according to what type of apology, whether full or partial they would like to protect. It is thus, important to examine the relevant jurisdictions that have implemented apology law for resolving medical disputes.

In the USA, there are 36 states which have introduced apology laws in the form of full or partial apology. Although there is no direct link between apologies and the reduction of the cost of medical negligence disputes, it was however, found that after the introduction of the apology legislations, positive implications occurred which include the reduction cost of a medical dispute process, improvement in patient safety, and restoration of trust between the medical practitioner and the patient.¹⁴ In Australia, this concept gained attention after the increase in

12 RE Ebert 'Attorneys, Tell Your Clients to Say They're Sorry: Apologies in the Health Care Industry' *Indiana Health Law Review* Vol. 5(2) 2008.

13 B Ho, E Liu 'Does Sorry Work? The Impact of Apology Laws on Medical Malpractice' *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* Vol. 43(2) October 2011 pp. 141-167.

14 CB Liebman, CS Hyman 'A Mediation Skills Model To Manage Disclosure of Errors and Adverse Events to Patients' *Health Affairs* 23(4) pp. 22-32.

the number of medical malpractice cases¹⁵ as well as medical insurance crisis.¹⁶ In addressing these concerns, the legislators in Australia recommended for a legislation that provides for medical apologies to be a mandatory part of the open disclosure process and inadmissible for medical negligence cases.¹⁷ Like the USA, the application of apology laws vary in different states throughout Australia.¹⁸ States such as New South Wales, Australian Capital Territory and Queensland enacted the 'full apology law' whereas the rest of the states such as Victoria, Northern Territory, South Australia, Tasmania and Western Australia enacted the 'partial apology law'.¹⁹ The workings of 'full apology law' in Australia require three main elements that concern the position and consequence of such apology, i.e. the declaratory element, relevance element and procedural element.²⁰ For instance, in the New South Wales Civil Liability Act 2002 s. 69(1)(a), declares that apology is not an admission of fault or liability. This refers to the first element which is the 'declaratory element'. Secondly, in determining a fault or liability on the part of the defendant, s. 69(1)(b) excludes apology from being taken into account as a relevant fact in determining fault. This provision is concerned with the 'relevance element'. Thirdly, with regards to the 'procedural element', from the law of evidence perspective, apology

15 GAB Barr 'Disingenuous or Novel? An Examination of Apology Legislation in Canada' 2009.

16 P Vines 'Apologising to Avoid Liability: Cynical Civility or Practical Morality?' 27(3) Sydney Law Review 2005 p 483.

17 *Ibid.*

18 GAB Barr 'Disingenuous or Novel? An Examination of Apology Legislation in Canada' 2009.

19 C Wheeler 'Open Disclosure and Apology — Time for a Unified Approach across Australia' AIAL Forum No 75 July 2013.

20 *Ibid.*

made is inadmissible as evidence of fault and therefore, cannot be used in court against the person who gave it (s. 69(2)). Further development took place in Canada whereby the state of British Columbia referred to the New South Wales Civil Liability Act 2002 as the basic foundation.²¹ However, the British Columbia Apology Act incorporated not only the essential elements based on the Australian legislation but also included specific provisions for insurance contracts. This is an extension of the protection where the law does not only render such apology inadmissible in court, but also prevents the insurance contract from becoming void if the apology was made.²²

Conclusion

Apology has been proven as an effective means in resolving conflicts and at the same time prevents litigation. However, there are many barriers which hinder apologies from being given by medical practitioners. This is due to the fact that apology has long been associated as evidence of guilt which have the possibility of increasing legal liability. However, apology now has gained attention particularly, in medical disputes as it can be highly beneficial to the parties as well as to the dispute resolution process itself. This can be seen by the implementation of various jurisdictions in enacting apology laws to ensure the clarity of the consequences of apologies is made within a clear legal framework. Although an apology cannot be a substitute for monetary compensation, it is nevertheless, a powerful tool that can lead to the closure of an

21 GAB Barr 'Disingenuous or Novel? An Examination of Apology Legislation in Canada' 2009.

22 C Carman 'Apology Act Promotes Dispute Resolution' 2006.

ongoing dispute and facilitate the dispute resolution process for the benefit of the relevant parties. In handling medical disputes in Malaysia, the benefits of apologising are beyond doubt but in encouraging medical practitioners to apologise, a clear legal framework needs to be established to protect the apologies made in certain circumstances for unintentional wrongdoings. The enactment of apology laws for the protection of apologies in the legal system will offer various benefits to the parties in dispute. For instance, it will encourage faster and more cost-effective resolution of medical disputes as it can be an effective means of preventing litigation. This is due to the fact that medical practitioners are given the legal platform to make apologies which may have the possibility of disarming the patient's anger. In Australia, it has been found that there is significant reduction in number of new claims for compensation, increased number of closed claims and a reduction as to the proportions of large damage awards after the notable Australian tort law reform which had allowed the doctors to apologise without the fear that it would be considered an admission of guilt. Therefore, there is a need to develop a comprehensive legal framework for the protection of apologies in the Malaysian healthcare setting to promote the usage of apology in the dispute resolution process in gaining its potential benefits. Lessons can be learned from the American, Canadian and Australian experiences in drafting and implementing apology laws as well as making amendments to the law of evidence in ensuring that apologies made are not treated as admissions of guilt. It is hoped that the establishment of a 'structured apology law' in Malaysia will reduce the number of medical negligence disputes, defuse the spur of litigation and ultimately, preserve the sanctity of the relationship between the medical practitioner and the patient.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Law & Practice

Alternative Dispute Resolution: Law and Practice is divided into 44 chapters which cover alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms in all their varieties, including negotiation, mediation, conciliation, ombudsman, arbitration, and court adjudication. These ADR mechanisms can be used alongside existing court systems and have gained widespread acceptance because of its speedy resolution of disputes and outcomes that preserve and sometimes even improve relationships.

The primary objective of this book is to enhance reader's understanding of the various regulatory framework governing ADR on diverse issues at both national and international levels. This includes the application of ADR to fintech, Islamic banking and finance, labour, and construction disputes among others. Online dispute resolution, Singapore Mediation Convention, and university arbitration are also featured in this book.

All those concerned, both the legal and non-legal community such as legal practitioners, arbitrators, mediators, academicians, and students, will find this book as a valuable aid for a good understanding of matters pertaining to ADR without having to refer to several other sources.

