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ABSTRACT

A Muslim divorced woman is entitled to several rights after dissolution of her marriage. Those rights include maintenance during 'iddah, right to accommodation during 'iddah, right to the custody of children, right to any deferred mahr, right to a share in jointly acquired property and right to mut'ah or consolatory gift. These rights are meant particularly to protect the interests and welfare of the divorced wife after dissolution of her marriage. Mut'ah is a property which is a replacement or an addition to the dower given to the divorced wife, to
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console and to assist her in facing life after divorce especially if she is divorced without just cause. This paper seeks to examine whether this right is absolute for all divorced wives or whether it is only limited to a particular situation where for example the wife is divorced without just cause or where the divorce is not initiated by the wife. For that purpose, the principle on mutʿah under the Shariʿah and provisions of Islamic law in Malaysia will be examined, to determine the extent of its application to the Muslim community in Malaysia.

THE CONCEPT OF MUTʿAH UNDER ISLAMIC LAW

Most Muslim jurists in their classical works have not discussed the concept of mutʿah as an independent subject but this concept has been discussed under the subject of dower (mahr) or as a continuation to it.¹ It is the Shāfiʿī jurists who have given more prominence to the discussion on mutʿah.² As regards the writings of the contemporary Muslim scholars,³ there are several books which deal with family and personal law (al-ahwāl al-shakhṣiyah) but these have not discussed mutʿah at all. This situation in fact reflects a basic principle that mutʿah is a concept which is interrelated with mahr or is a continuation of the right of a wife to mahr. This fact can also be proven by looking into the definition of mutʿah itself.

¹ See for example the books of the Ḥanafīs, Shafiʿīs and the Ḥanbalis.
² For example the books of the Ḥanafīs, Shafiʿīs and the Ḥanbalis.
The word *mut'ah* carries four implications which include *mut'ah* pilgrimage, temporary marriage (*mut'ah* marriage), *mut'ah* as a consolatory gift given by the husband to the wife upon divorce and *mut'ah* which refers to the property given by the wife to the husband after *'aqad* to be used for enjoyment based on the custom of certain places. The third *mut'ah* is the subject which we are discussing in this paper.

The concept of *mut'ah* as a consolatory gift given by the husband to the wife upon divorce can be illustrated based on two definitions. The first definition of *mut'ah* is property given by the husband to the wife upon divorce as a replacement to the *mahr*; while the second definition refers to the property given by the husband to the wife upon divorce as additional to *mahr*. This payment of *mut'ah* is as a consolation to the wife who is divorced by the husband.

Al-Shirbīnī who represents the Shāfī‘ī jurists has defined *mut'ah* as property which is obligatory on the husband to pay to his divorced wife whose marriage was dissolved by *talāq* or other kinds of divorce under the meaning of a *talāq*. Meanwhile, other Shāfī‘ī jurists such as al-Ḥusnī and al-Dimyāṭī, define *mut'ah* as property given by the husband to the wife upon separation of the marriage (*furqah*). The Maliki jurists define *mut'ah* as an act of kindness to the divorced wife by giving some property to her based on the husband’s financial capability.

---


In Malaysia, *mutʿah* is recognised as one of the ancillary rights of the Muslim wife after divorce. There is no specific definition of the word *mutʿah* under provisions of the law governing Muslim marriage and divorce. Nevertheless, the law regards payment of *mutʿah* as a method of consolation to the divorced wife who is divorced without just cause. The law in the Federal Territory, for example, provides as follows:

“In addition to her right to apply for maintenance, a woman who has been divorced without just cause by her husband may apply to the court for *mutʿah* or a consolatory gift ...”

**BASIS OF PAYMENT OF MUTʿAH AND ITS LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

Obligation and recommendation on payment of *mutʿah* to the wife arises from several authorities from the Qurʾān. These verses of the Qurʾān indicate the commandment to pay *mutʿah* to the wife upon dissolution of marriage. The first verse concerns payment of *mutʿah* as a replacement to the *mahār* where the Qurʾān states to the effect:

“There is no blame on you if you divorce women before consummation or before fixation of their dower; but bestow on them (a suitable gift), the wealthy according to his means, and the poor according to his means, a gift of reasonable amount is due from those who wish to do the right thing.”

“O ye who believe! When ye marry believing women, and then divorce them before ye have touched them, no period of *ʿiddah* have ye to count in respect of them: so give them a present and set them free in a handsome manner.”

---

10 Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984, s. 56.
11 Al-Qurʾān, al-Baqarah; 2:236.
12 Al-Qurʾān, Al-Ahzāb; 33:49.
Al-Qurṭubī in his commentaries of the above two verses preferred the view of the majority of the Muslim jurists including Ibn ‘Umar, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, al-Hasan bin Abī al-Hasan, Abū Qilābabah and al-Zuhri. All of them agree and regard that mut‘ah is obligatory in cases where the wife is divorced before consummation and there is no prescription of the dower (mahr) in the marriage ‘aqad.\(^\text{13}\) This includes a situation where the woman is involved in nikāh tafwīd.\(^\text{14}\) While according to Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, the second verse al-Ahzāb: 49 has been abrogated by al-Baqarah: 236.\(^\text{15}\) Some of the Ḥanafīs like al-Karkhi, al-Rāzī and al-Nakha‘i view that this verse rules that if a woman is divorced before consummation and the mahr is prescribed during ‘aqad, she is not entitled to half of the mahr but mut‘ah in value of the half prescribed mahr.\(^\text{16}\)

The Ḥanafīs, generally agree that the above two verses make the payment of mut‘ah to the wife obligatory in the case of divorce before consummation and there is no prescription of the mahr during marriage ‘aqad. Similarly in the case where the dower is prescribed but the prescription of the dower is unlawful. This is due to the fact that, mut‘ah is payable in such a case, as replacement to half mahr mithlī which is obligatory to be paid to the wife. Mut‘ah in this case is compulsory to replace what is compulsory from mahr.\(^\text{17}\) The Shāfī‘is and the Ḥanbalīs share the same view with the Ḥanafīs.\(^\text{18}\) According to

---


\(^{14}\) The situation which is described under Hukum Syara’ as nikāh tafwīd is where the wife did not accept any dower (mahr) for the marriage.


the Shafi’i is therefore, if the mahr is prescribed, the wife is not entitled to mut’ah but to half of the prescribed mahr.\textsuperscript{19}

The Mālikī jurists view that the payment of mut’ah is merely recommended based on the last words of the above verse (al-Baqarah: 236) which states that “on those who wish to do right things.” They argue that the command in the verse is only to compliment and what is a compliment is not compulsory.\textsuperscript{20}

Other verses indicate the commandment to pay mut’ah to divorced women in any occasion. The Qur’ān states to the effect:

“For divorced women mut’ah (matā’) (should be provided) on a reasonable (scale). This is a duty on the righteous.”\textsuperscript{21}

In another implication, the Qur’ān states to the effect:

“O Prophet! Say to thy consorts: “If it be that ye desire the life of this world, and its glitter, then come! I will provide for your enjoyment and set you free in a handsome manner.”\textsuperscript{22}

Al-Qurtubī in commenting on the earlier verse of al-Baqarah:241, states the view of Abū Thawr, al- Zuhrī and Sa’īd bin Jubayr to the effect that the verse rules that mut’ah is compulsory for any divorced


\textsuperscript{22} Al-Qur’ān; Al-Baqarah; 2:241.

Al-Qur’ān; Al-‘Azhāb; 33:28.
woman who is divorced after consummation of the marriage. Similarly in the case where the woman is divorced before consummation and there is no prescription of the dower. On the other hand, the Hanafis are of the view that the ruling of the above verse implicates that *mutʿah* is only recommended in any case where the divorce is after consummation of the marriage or in the case where the divorce is before consummation and the *mahr* is prescribed in the *ʿaqad*. This is because in this case, the woman deserves *mahr* either in full if there is consummation or half the *mahr* if there is no consummation. Therefore she no longer deserves an obligatory *mutʿah*. The Hanbalis who are in agreement with the Hanafis, among others state that, in this case the payment of *mutʿah* is not compulsory as the woman has already obtained her *mahr*.  

The second verse (al-ʿAḥzāb:28) was revealed in the occasion relating to the Prophet (s.a.w.) and his wives, when some of the Prophet’s wives requested the luxurious life from the Prophet (s.a.w.) like a gold necklace. After the verse was revealed, the Prophet (s.a.w.) had given the wives the option either to remain with him as his wives or to be divorced upon which he will give them some properties.

The apparent view (al-ʿaqhar) in the Shāfiʿīs is the view of al-jadīd. They opine that the above two verses rule that the payment of *mutʿah* is obligatory (*wājib*) on the wife who is divorced either under revocable divorce (*talāq ṭajjīʿ*) or irrevocable divorce (*talāq bāʿin*). This is because the wife has obtained her dower for the sexual enjoyment that her husband has had with her. However, the wife still deserves *mutʿah* for other benefits (*ibtīḍāl*) given by the wife to her husband like cooking, looking after the household, and being loyal to the husband. Nevertheless, the second view from the Shāfiʿīs (al-ʿadīm) regards that, if the wife has already been paid a dower, and the divorce is after

---

26 The new school of madhhab Shāfiʿī. This view is based on Shāfiʿī’s ijthād after he has moved to Egypt. See Wahbah al-Zuḥaylī, *al-Fiqh al-ʿIṣlāmī wa Adillatuhu*, 4th edition, vol. 1, 64.
27 The old school of madhhab Shāfiʿī. This view is based on his ijthād when he was in Iraq. See Wahbah al-Zuḥaylī, *al-Fiqh al-ʿIṣlāmī wa Adillatuhu*, 4th edition, vol. 1, 64.
sexual intercourse, the husband is not obliged to pay the mut'ah as she has already received the mahr. 28

Contemporary Muslim scholars like Zakiy al-Din Sha'abān and al-Zuḥaylī have preferred the first view of the Shāfi'īs that mut'ah is compulsory to be paid due to its strong argument and authorities. Furthermore, payment of mut'ah may reduce hardship and pain faced by the wife upon divorce. In the event that the divorce is a revocable or minor irrevocable divorce, it may also encourage the parties to get back together again. 29

Legal implications of the payment of mut'ah are also highlighted in the Syariah Court in Malaysia to be imperative and compulsory. In the case of Murshida bte Mustakim v Hassim bin Abdullah 30 the Syariah Court of Appeal, while quoting I‘ānah al-Ṭālibīn said:

“It is compulsory upon a husband to give mut'ah to his wife whom he had intercourse with, even though she is a maid, following a divorce, whereby the cause of such divorce is not due to the wife or not due to the death of either one of the parties.” 31

PHILOSOPHY BEHIND THE LEGALITY OF PAYMENT OF MUT'AH

Based on the discussion of the Muslim jurists, it can be inferred that payment of mut'ah is based on several purposes and reasons. In the case of mut'ah for the wife who is divorced before consummation and the mahr is not prescribed during 'aqad, mut'ah is given to replace the mahr by virtue of the 'aqad.

30 [2006] 4 ShLR 43.
In the case where the woman is divorced after consummation of the marriage, *mutʿah* is payable due to the benefit the husband has enjoyed from the wife. At the same time, divorce is a kind of disgrace (*imtiḥān*) to the wife. Furthermore, if the divorce is through *talāq*, the wife’s desire to be divorced is very small as compared to the case of *fasakh* due to the wife’s fault or *fasakh* by the wife on the ground of her husband’s defect or being poorly off. Moreover, the payment of *mutʿah* is to reduce hardship and pain faced by the wife upon divorce. The authors of *al-Fiqh al-Manhaji* while highlighting on the philosophy behind the legality of the payment of *mutʿah* state among others that the payment of *mutʿah* will provide consolation to the wife especially after she leaves the matrimonial home. *Mutʿah* is also aimed at reducing sorrow and sadness of the wife in relation to the divorce and to erase the feeling of loneliness and hatred that is caused by the divorce.

In Malaysia, the above philosophy was realised and highlighted in the case of *Noor Bee v Ahmad Sanusi*. In this case, the court pointed out that the reason for *mutʿah* is to avoid any wrong perception that the society might have on the wife (i.e. she is divorced due to any defect on her part). The fact that she is entitled to *mutʿah* is a sign that the divorce is not due to any defect on her part. The court also highlighted that the amount of *mutʿah* given by the husband can be utilised in order to start a new life as a single woman. In *Jaliah bte Hassan v Abu Bakar bin Wan Abdullah*, the court mentioned that *mutʿah* can be regarded as a recompense and a recognition of the benefits obtained by the husband from the love and attention given by the wife during the marriage.

---

36 (1990) 7 JH 73.
FACTORS WHICH DETERMINE THE ENTITLEMENT TO MUT’AH

From the above discussion, it appears that under the Shafi’i school of law, there are several factors which determine the wife’s entitlement to mut’ah where the husband will be obliged to pay the mut’ah to the wife. The first factor is as earlier mentioned, the case where women are divorced before consummation of the marriage and there is no prescription of the dower. This is as a replacement for the dower which is not prescribed upon ‘aqad.

Mut’ah is also compulsory in cases where women are divorced after consummation where divorce takes place through talâq or that which comes under the sub-categories of talâq like khulu’i, talâq with condition (ta’liq) or talâq tafwîd, where the husband gives the power of divorce to the wife.37 Therefore, if the divorce is talâq, mut’ah is obligatory to be paid by the husband even though the pronouncement of divorce (talâq) is due to the wife’s act, demand or persuasion.38

With regard to the application of the above principles in Malaysia, there are several cases which were decided based on the above rules. In Ahmad Shah Ahmad Tabrani v Norhayati Yusoff,39 the Kelantan Syariah Court of Appeal, quoting I’ânah al-Ţâlibîn, said that:

“The payment of mut’ah is compulsory on the husband who has divorced his wife after the marriage has been consummated and the divorce is not caused by the wife such as in the case where the wife becomes an apostate...”

The court further explained that the divorce referred to in the statement above is a normal divorce (talâq) either by mutual consent or otherwise.40 This means that in the case of talâq, the wife will be entitled

38 Al-Ḥusnî, Kifāyat al-Akhyâr, 67.
40 Ibid., 37.
to mut’ah even though in the first place she agrees to be divorced by the husband. Similarly, in the case of Murshida bte Mustakim v Hassim bin Abdullah, the Selangor Syariah Appeal Court held that a wife who has been divorced by talaq will be entitled to mut’ah.\footnote{[2006] 4 ShLR 43.}

The Syariah Court has also dealt with the case where the wife demanded a divorce and the Court had to decide whether the wife will be disentitled to the mut’ah because of the demand she made. In Sanisah binti Saad v Zulkifli bin Abd Ghani,\footnote{See also Noraini bte Abdul Rashid v Dato’ Hamzah bin Zainuddin [2005] 4 ShLR 144; Norma@Normek binti Ghazali v Mohd. Zain bin Abdullah (2005) 19 JH 278.} the court came to the view that this act would not disentitle a wife to her mut’ah.\footnote{See also Syed Bulat v Intan (1973) 2 JH 257.} The court commented that talaq is in the hands of the husband and therefore he is free to make a decision whether to divorce or not to divorce his wife. In Sharifah Sapoyah v Wan Alwi,\footnote{Ibid at pp. 263-264.} the court, quoting from Kifayah al-Akhyaar stated that:

"... if the husband retains her but subsequently divorces her at her request the rule is the same as in the case of talaq according to the correct view."\footnote{Divorce through redemption.}

In the case of khulu’,\footnote{(1988) 6 JH 259.} as illustrated in the case of Noor Bee v Ahmad Sanusi,\footnote{(1980) JH 63.} the wife is entitled to mut’ah. The court quoting from Kifayah al-Akhyaar\footnote{See Noor Bee v Ahmad Sanusi. (1980) JH at 68.} said:

"and in the case of khulu’, the position is similar to talaq (i.e. the wife is entitled to mut’ah) based on the correct opinion."\footnote{Ibid at pp. 263-264.}
Nevertheless, in *Salma v Mat Akhir* the court came to the opinion that in the case of *khulu’*, the wife is not entitled to *mut’ah*. The court, however, did not support its opinion with any argument or authority. With regard to *ta’liq* divorce, the case of *Norani binti Abdul Rahman v Md Taib bin Hanapi* illustrates that a wife is entitled to *mut’ah* in this type of divorce. Similar decision can be seen in the case of *Ainon bte Abdul Aziz v Roslan bin Abdul Rashid*.

In the case of *fasakh*, the wife’s entitlement to *mut’ah* can be divided into two situations. Firstly, the situation where the wife is entitled to *mut’ah* and secondly, where the wife is not entitled to *mut’ah*. *Mut’ah* is compulsory in the case of separation which is due to outside factors like fosterage or blood relationship, or the husband’s siblings have doubtful sexual intercourse (*wäti’ shubhah*) with his wife. The rule is similar with the rule of *talāq* in both cases that is either before or after consummation. Similarly, if the reason for the divorce or separation is due to the husband, for example, where the husband converts or becomes an apostate or accuses the wife of committing adultery (*li’an*), the rule will also follow the rule in *talāq* before or after consummation.

*Mut’ah* is no longer compulsory in the case of *fasakh* if the separation is because of the wife, such as where the wife converts to Islam or becomes an apostate, or where the wife initiates a *fasakh* divorce on the ground of inability to maintain or to pay dower due to her husband’s poverty, or defect on the part of the husband and the wife, or where the husband is missing.

---

51 *Talāq* that takes place upon fulfillment of certain condition.
54 Divorce by the order of the Court.
58 Al-Shirāzī, 81, al-Ramlī, 365; Al-Shirbīnī, 308. Al-Dimyāṭī, 358.
In the case of defect, there is no further discussion in the chapter of mut’ah. Nevertheless, if we refer to the case of fasakh divorce by the husband due to defect on the part of the wife, the Shāfi‘īs view is that, the defects in this case are incapability of having sexual intercourse or insanity on the part of the wife or having a contagious disease like leprosy or vitiligo. Nevertheless, as regards insanity, there is an opinion of some Shāfi‘īs who view that even though the wife is insane or a minor, she might be entitled to the mut’ah.

In Malaysia, generally, the Syariah Courts are of the view that mut’ah is not the absolute right of the wife in all cases of divorce especially in the case of fasakh. Nevertheless, in the case of Hajah Ramlah bt Sharif v Nor Husin bin Othman, the court seems to have come to a different view when it was decided in this case that the wife will be entitled to mut’ah in all cases of divorce. However, it might be argued in the context of the case, that when the court referred to all types of divorces, this meant in all talāq cases regardless of it being a revocable or an irrevocable divorce (talāq raj‘i or bā‘in). In the case of Ahmad Shah bin Ahmad Tabrani v Norhayati bt Yusoff, the court elaborated on the situations where a wife will not be entitled to mut’ah. This covers situations where she becomes an apostate or she initiates a fasakh due to the defect on the part of the husband such as insanity or impotency or poverty. The wife will also not be entitled to mut’ah if the husband applies for fasakh due to defect on the part of the wife such as muscle growth on the private part (ratq) or bone growth on the private part (qarn). Similar decisions were made in the cases of Ainon bt Abdul Aziz v Roslan bin Abdul Rashid and Norma @ Normek binti Ghazali v Mohd. Zain bin Abdullah.

As to the issue of defect, in the case of Nor Bee v Ahmad Sanusi, the court commented that if there are some defects on the part of the wife, she will not be entitled to mut’ah. In this case, the court

---

60 See Zakiy al-Din Sha‘bān, 484.
61 See al-Ramlī, 365.
62 (199) 13 JH.
64 Ibid., 37.
65 (2005) 19 JH 278.
pointed out that mut'ah is a gift to be paid if the divorce has not been caused by any defect on the wife's part. However, again there is no further discussion on what constitutes defect on the part of the wife. In Normaidiah v Azhari, the husband claimed that the wife was not entitled to mut'ah due to the fact that she was unable to cook or wash clothes. The court rejected the argument as the divorce was not due to any serious defect on the wife's part. While in Sabariah v Zainol, the husband also disputed the claim for mut'ah as he argued that the wife did not carry out her household duties and that he had to make his own bed and cook his own meals. The court, however, held that the wife was still entitled to mut'ah. Thus, it is presumed that what the court meant by 'defect' most probably refers to the inability to have a sexual relationship as discussed by the jurists above. Nevertheless, it needs to be mentioned here that the wife will not be entitled to mut'ah due to the defect on the part of the wife only in cases of fasakh. If the separation happens due to talāq or similar to talāq, the wife will still be entitled to mut'ah even where there is a defect on her part.

Based on the above discussion, therefore, it seems that even if the wife is the one who initiates divorce, but the ground for fasakh is not the fault of the wife such as in the case of non-payment of maintenance not due to poverty on the part of the husband, she is still entitled to mut'ah. Similarly, the wife is entitled to mut'ah in the case of cruelty on the part of the husband such as when the husband physically abuses the wife or the husband does not treat the wife equitably in the case of a polygamous marriage or any other reason which are included within the meaning of cruelty as provided by section 52(1)(h) of the Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984. The wife may also claim the right to mut'ah in the case of fasakh due to imprisonment of the husband and also in the case where the husband has failed to perform, without reasonable cause, his marital obligations for a period of one year.

67 (1979) 1 JH 91.
68 (1979) 1 JH 99.
70 See Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984, s. 52(1)(h)(i)-(vi).
71 See Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984, s. 52(1)(c).
72 Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984, s. 52(1)(d).
Besides *talāq* and *fasakh*, another way of dissolving a marriage is due to death. Majority of the jurists agree that in the case of death of either husband or wife, the wife will not be entitled to *mutʿah*. In this case, the marriage has absolutely dissolved and has come to an end. The case of *Noraini bte Abdul Rashid v Dato’ Hamzah bin Zainuddin* and *Suriah bte Hassan v Hamzah bin Mohd Nor* clearly highlight this principle.

**WHETHER A WIFE’S DISOBEDIENCE (**NUSHŪZ**) WILL AFFECT HER RIGHT TO MUTʿAH**

The law in Malaysia has raised the issue as to whether a disobedient (*nusyuz*) wife is entitled to *mutʿah*. This particular point has not been clearly highlighted in the discussion on *mutʿah* by the Muslim jurists. Basically, a wife who is *nushūz* or disobedient to the husband will be disqualified from maintenance.

In the case of *Piah binti Said v Che Lah bin Awang*, it was argued that *nushūz* on the part of the wife would affect her right to get *mutʿah*. The court, however, was of the view that *nushūz* did not affect the wife’s right to *mutʿah*. Similarly, in *Murshida bte Mustakim v Hassim*.

---

74 [2005] 4 ShLR 144.
75 [2007] 3 ShLR 81.
76 *Nushūz* is a situation where the wife is disobedient to the husband e.g. if the wife refuses the conjugal relationship with the husband, if the wife refuses the husband’s access to her at lawful times, if the wife goes out from the matrimonial home without her husband’s permission etc or if she refuses to move to the matrimonial home.
78 (1983) 3 JH 220.
bin Abdullah, the respondent husband refused to give mut′ah to the appellant wife on the grounds that she was not loyal to the husband and was having an affair with a foreigner. The Syariah Court of Appeal, however, was of the view that the grounds were not a hindrance for the wife to get mut′ah. Similar decision can be found in the case of Ainon bte Abdul Aziz v Roslan bin Abdul Rashid. Only in Jaliah bte Hassan v Abu Bakar bin Wan Abdullah, the court came to the opinion that nushūz did have an effect on the right of mut′ah. Thus, generally we may conclude that the commission of nushūz will not affect a wife’s right to mut′ah but it will most probably affect the amount of mut′ah that a wife may get as will be discussed after this.

WHETHER THE WIFE’S RIGHTS TO MUT’AH IS ABSOLUTE OR LIMITED

The above discussion indicates that mut′ah is not an absolute right of a wife. Based on the juristic discourse, the jumhūr fiqahā’ including the Shāfi’ī’s view that the wife is only entitled to mut′ah if divorce is before consummation and there is no prescription of the mahr. In another occasion, the jumhūr other than the Shāfi’ī’s regard that mut′ah is merely recommended. On the other hand, the Shāfi’ī’s are of the view that a divorced wife is also entitled to mut′ah if she is divorced after consummation in several situations. This includes if she is divorced through talāq, ta’liq talāq, khulu’ or talāq tafwīd. In the case where the divorce is through fasakh, the wife is entitled to mut′ah, if the fasakh is due to her husband’s fault like he becomes an apostate or accuses the wife of committing adultery. The wife is also entitled to mut′ah if the fasakh is due to outside factors like a suckling relationship (radā’ah). As regards the law and decisions of the Syariah Courts in Malaysia, it is clear that they follow the view of the Shāfi’ī’s. Meanwhile, before giving the decision, the Syariah Court judges tend to use their discretion and judicial creativity.

79 [2006] 4 ShLR 43.
80 Nevertheless, the act of nushūz will affect the amount of mut′ah as will be discussed under the topic of factors affecting the amount of mut′ah.
81 [2006] 4 ShLR 88.
82 (1990) 7 JH 73.
when dealing with the wife’s entitlement to mut‘ah to ensure that the right of a divorced wife is protected.

THE AMOUNT OF MUT’AH

According to the Ḥanafīs, mut‘ah of a wife can be in the form of clothes and veil and its complementary. The least amount of mut‘ah is five dirhams if the husband is poor and the maximum amount is half mahr mithli if the husband is rich. They differ on ways to assess mut‘ah. The apparent view of the Ḥanafīs regards that, it should be based on the conditions of the husband, while some others view that it should be based on the (financial) conditions of both husband and the wife. There is also a view from al-Kharqī that mut‘ah should be based on the conditions of the wife, for example, her economic status or her status in society.

The Shāfī‘īs hold that the preferred least amount of mut‘ah is thirty dirham or its equivalent in value. The highest amount is a servant and moderate clothes. This is based on the narration from Ibn ‘Abbās (ra) who said that “It is recommended to give the wife a servant or clothes as mut‘ah…” and Ibn ‘Umar said, “Give the wife thirty dirham as mut‘ah…” The mahr is recommended not to be more than half mahr mithli but if the amount is more than that it is still permissible. Nevertheless, if the parties have agreed on a certain amount, then the amount of mut‘ah is based on their agreement. If there is a dispute, then the Qādi will determine the amount based on the conditions of the husband and the wife. However, the preferred view of the Shāfī‘īs is that, the amount of mut‘ah is based on the situation of the husband.
Al-Qurṭūbī has quoted several views on the amount of mut‘ah. Among others, the view of Imam Malik is that, there is no limitation as to the amount of mut‘ah. He has also quoted the same view of Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn ‘Abbās and Ḥasan on the minimum and maximum amount of mut‘ah and the husband’s capability.90

In Malaysia, the amount of mut‘ah is not fixed under the law. Instead, the law provides:

“… the Court may … order the husband to pay such sum as may be fair and just according to Hukum Syara’.”91

In practice, the amount of mut‘ah is based on the agreement of the parties. When there is a dispute with regard to the amount which needs to be paid, the matter will be referred to the judge. This principle which is in line with Islamic law was highlighted in the case of Murshida bte Mustakim v Hassim bin Abdullah.92 In this case, the Syariah Court of Appeal, quoting Hāsyiah I‘ānah al-Ṭalibīn, said:

“When there is a conflict between the two parties concerning the rate of mut‘ah, it is for the judge to fix based on the rates given by both parties …”93

There are several important factors that will be taken into consideration by the court before fixing the amount of mut‘ah which are as follows:

1. Financial standing of the husband

The financial standing of the husband is the most important consideration in order to determine the amount of mut‘ah,94 as based on the principle of the Qur‘ān in sūrah al-Baqarah, verse 236 as stated

91 Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) Act 1984, s. 56.
92 [2006] 4 ShLR 43.
93 Ibid at 58. See also Jinah v Abdul Aziz, (1987) 6 JH 344.
94 See Ainion bt Abd Aziz v Roslan bin Abdul Rashid, [2006] 4 ShLR 88.
earlier. In order to determine the financial standing of the husband, the Syariah Court in Malaysia will particularly take into account the amount of monthly salary earned by the husband. In the case of Noor Bee v Ahmad Sanusi, RM1500 was given to the wife, taking into account that the husband, who was a soldier, was earning RM700 per month. In the case of Ida Hidayati binti Taufiq v Ahmad Shukri bin Kassim, the wife was given RM48,000 as the amount for mut’ah. In this case, the husband, a pilot, was earning around RM10,000 per month. In Ahmad Shah bin Ahmad Tabrani v Norhayati bt Yusoff, the amount of RM50,000 was paid to the wife. In this case, the husband was earning RM9,000 per month as a consultant engineer. In Norma @ Normek binti Ghazali v Mohd. Zain bin Abdullah, the husband who earned RM2,400 per month was ordered to pay RM10,000 to the wife while in the case of Saffya binti Abdullah v Ruzainee b. Muhamad, the husband was asked to pay RM27,375 as he earned RM 8000 per month.

2. Lineage and family status of the wife

Other than the financial standing of the husband, the Syariah Court will also look at the lineage and family status of the wife. This can be seen in Noor Bee v Ahmad Sanusi where the court commented that in determining the amount of mut’ah, besides financial standing of the husband, the social status of the wife’s family must be taken into consideration. Meanwhile, in Ainon bte Abdul Aziz v Roslan bin Abdul Rashid, the court highlighted the importance of knowing the family status of the wife in order to determine her lifestyle. This will help the court to determine the amount of mut’ah taking into account the way of life that she was accustomed to while she was brought up. In Tengku Anun Zahrah binte Tengku Abdul Hamid v Dato Dr Hussein bin Mohamed Yusof, the fact that the wife was from a royal family was
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taken into consideration in determining the amount of *mut'ah*. In this case the wife was given RM25,200, an amount which was considered rather large during that time.

3. **Character of the wife**

The wife’s character is also an important factor in determining the amount of *mut'ah*. In *Noor Bee v Ahmad Sanusi*, the fact that the wife resigned from her work in order to accompany the husband in Kuching was taken into consideration in determining the amount of *mut'ah*. Similarly in the case of *Ahmad Shah bin Ahmad Tabrani v Norhayati bt Yusoff*, the court took into consideration the sacrifice made by the wife while she was married to him. In this case, the wife resigned from her job to become a full time housewife in order to give full commitment to the family. In *Suriah bt Hassan v Hamzah bin Mohd Nor*, the wife had to stay with her parents for some period of time and with her parents in-law for some other period of time. This sacrifice had to be made by the wife as the husband did not provide her with a matrimonial home. The court also took into consideration another good character of the wife namely was she remained patient and loyal to the husband despite accusations that the husband had relationships with various women.

In *Norma @ Normek binti Ghazali v Mohd. Zain bin Abdullah*, the court pointed out that the wife deserved the amount decided by the court as she was a person of good and respected status. In *Noraini bt Abdul Rashid v Dato' Hamzah bin Zainuddin*, the court took into consideration the suffering and hardship which the wife had to go through in taking care of the children without any help from the husband. Furthermore, the wife resigned from her job to concentrate on the family. In this case, the wife succeeded in claiming the amount of salary for the period of twenty two years (if the wife was working) as part of *mut'ah*.

---
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A question arises as to whether the act of nushūz on the part of the wife will have some effect on the amount that is to be paid by the husband. As discussed earlier, it is clear that nushūz will not affect the entitlement of the wife to mut’ah but it would certainly affect the amount of mut’ah. This is established in the case of Hazlina bte Hamidin v Muhammad Zaidi bin Majid.\textsuperscript{108}

4. **Length of Marriage**

In general, the length of marriage will give some effect on the amount of mut’ah. In Norani binti Abdul Rahman v Md Taib bin Hanapi,\textsuperscript{109} the court considered the length of the parties’ marriage which was 16 years while calculating the appropriate amount for mut’ah. Similarly in the case of Saffya binti Abdullah v Ruzainee bin Muhamad,\textsuperscript{110} the court commented that the duration of marriage has some effect on the amount of mut’ah given to the wife.\textsuperscript{111}

Nevertheless, full reliance on the duration of marriage as the basis of calculation of mut’ah is not an acceptable method. This can be seen in the case of Rokiah bte Haji Abdul Jalil v Mohamed Idris bin Shamsudin.\textsuperscript{112} In this case, the Chief Qadhi had ordered payment of mut’ah at the rate of RM1 per day for the period of the marriage that is 35 years 3 months and 5 days amounting to a total of RM12,650. On Appeal, the Appeal Committee rejected the method of assessment adopted by the Chief Qadhi. The Committee commented that it was unable to find any juristic basis to support the trial court’s judgement. After examining many decided cases on mut’ah, the Committee decided that the appropriate amount in this case was RM 6,500.\textsuperscript{113}

5. **Reason for divorce**

The Syariah Court will also consider the reason for divorce while assessing the amount of mut’ah. In Hazlina bte Hamidin v Muhammad

\textsuperscript{108} [2005] 4 ShLR 155.
\textsuperscript{109} (2004) 17 JH 27.
\textsuperscript{111} See also Noraini bte Abdul Rashid v Dato’ Hamzah bin Zainuddin [2005] 4 ShLR 144.
\textsuperscript{112} [1989] 3 MLJ ix.
\textsuperscript{113} Ibid., xii – xiv.
Zaidi bin Majid, the husband argued that he divorced the wife because she initiated the divorce action by applying for fasakh though he did not commit any wrongdoing against her. The husband said that he did not want to have any further arguments with the wife and therefore agreed to pronounce talāq on her. With regard to this the court commented:

"... even though the divorce occurred with lafaz talāq, the court opined in the context of determining the rate, it was unfair for the defendant if the court did not consider the reasons which led to the divorce. The defendant had argued that he divorced the plaintiff on the ground that the plaintiff initiated the divorce action by applying for fasakh in this court. For the sake of not opposing the plaintiff, he agreed to divorce the plaintiff in good terms, even though he did not commit any wrong until it led the plaintiff to apply for fasakh. Based on the facts agreed by both parties in this case, the court held that there were no facts relating to the negligence and ignorance of responsibilities committed by the defendant when the marriage was still subsisting. Thus, the court accepted the arguments of the defendant that he should not be blamed because the divorce occurred due to application by the plaintiff herself (sic.)."

Hence, the fact that it was the wife who wanted the divorce in the first place was taken into consideration. It is also worth mentioning that the grounds for fasakh that had been relied upon by the wife were never proved in court. If the grounds were proved, the court might have decided differently.

---
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6. Other factors

The court may also take into account other factors which appear to the court to be relevant in order to give fair and just treatment to both parties. In Hazlina bte Hamidin v Muhammad Zaidi bin Majid, the court took into consideration the fact that the husband was required to pay a large amount of credit card debts which had been used by the wife during the marriage as a factor in determining the amount of mut'ah.

CONCLUSION

Islamic law has recognised the ancillary rights of the wife after divorce, one of which is the right to mut'ah. This recognition is further enhanced and enforced in the Syariah Court in Malaysia. This right is important to safeguard the wife who is divorced by the husband after spending a certain period of her life with the husband. Nevertheless, the right to mut'ah is only absolute in the case involving talâq, perhaps due to the fact that the divorce originates from the husband. While, in other cases of divorce or separation, the right to mut'ah is limited especially in the case of fasakh divorce. The law in Malaysia that emphasises that the right to mut'ah is limited to the case of a divorce without just cause seems to have restricted a wife’s right to mut'ah. Nevertheless, based on decided cases, the Syariah Court seems to have a tendency to grant mut’ah to the wife in many cases after taking into account several factors and reasons.

To conclude, it would appear that the court has a judicial discretion when dealing with a wife’s right to mut'ah. Therefore, in an occasion where the Court thinks that the wife is prejudiced by the divorce, the Court will grant mut'ah after taking into consideration several factors which might affect the amount of mut'ah. This can be inferred from the present practice of the Syariah court.

Ibid.