



Document details

< Back to results | 1 of 1

↗ Export ↓ Download 🖨 Print ✉ E-mail 📄 Save to PDF ☆ Add to List More... >

[Full Text](#) View at Publisher

Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience
Volume 16, Issue 9, 2019, Pages 3656-3662

Theoretical review of routing protocols used for wireless community networks (Review)

Matter, S.S.^{a,b}, Al Shaikhli, I.F.^c, Hashim, A.H.A.^c 🔍

^aDepartment of Computer Science, King Khalid University, Abha, 61421, Saudi Arabia

^bDepartment of Computer Science, Kulliyah of Information and Communication Technology, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, 50728, Malaysia

^cDepartment of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, 50728, Malaysia

Abstract

View references (22)

Wireless community networks (WCNs) are a solution for people who are living in some areas facing difficulties for accessing the internet because no ISPs are providing them with the service due to the long distance, the high cost of infrastructure, and the less no of people in these areas. So people decided to build their own internet without the need for ISPs. They build mesh networks to provide WiFi access to the internet. WCNs are considered as large-scale, distributed and decentralized systems. In addition, it consists of numerous nodes, links, content, and services. These networks are constructed in a decentralized way, mixing wired and wireless links with different routing techniques with a different range of applications and services. Therefore, they are very dynamic and diverse. There is an open peering agreement that governs these networks, where it avoids impediments for the participation in the network. The ownership, governance, and knowledge of the network are open. For that reason, WCNs are decentralized as well as they are self-managed and self-owned by community members. Moreover, they are self-growing networks in links, capacity, and services provided. This paper presents a critical analysis of the current routing protocols that are employed for WCNs. In addition, it highlights the strengths and weaknesses of each routing protocol. Copyright © 2019 American Scientific Publishers All rights reserved.

SciVal Topic Prominence ⓘ

Topic: Clouds | Internet | Community clouds

Prominence percentile: 76.771 ⓘ

Author keywords

[BABEL](#) [BMX6](#) [OLSR](#) [OLSRv2](#) [Routing](#) [WCN](#)

Metrics ⓘ View all metrics >



PlumX Metrics

Usage, Captures, Mentions,
Social Media and Citations
beyond Scopus.

Cited by 0 documents

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

[Set citation alert >](#)

[Set citation feed >](#)

Related documents

OLSRv2 for Community Networks: Using Directional Airtime Metric with external radios

Barz, C. , Fuchs, C. , Kirchhoff, J. (2015) *Computer Networks*

Advances in wireless community networks with the community-lab testbed

Navarro, L. , Vinas, R.B. , Barz, C. (2016) *IEEE Communications Magazine*

Enabling individually entrusted routing security for open and decentralized community networks

Neumann, A. , Navarro, L. , Cerdà-Alabern, L. (2018) *Ad Hoc Networks*

View all related documents based on references

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

Authors > Keywords >

ISSN: 15461955

Source Type: Journal

Original language: English

DOI: 10.1166/jctn.2019.8482

Document Type: Review

Publisher: American Scientific Publishers

-
- 1 Neumann, A., López, E., Navarro, L.
Evaluation of mesh routing protocols for wireless community networks

(2015) *Computer Networks*, Part 2 93, pp. 308-323. Cited 13 times.
<http://www.journals.elsevier.com/computer-networks/>
doi: 10.1016/j.comnet.2015.07.018

View at Publisher
-
- 2 (2018) *Picopeering Agreement v1.0*
[Accessed 11 Nov. 2018].
[Picopeer.net](http://picopeer.net)
-
- 3 Braem, B., Barz, C., Freitag, F., Blondia, C., Rogge, H., Navarro, L., Bonicioli, J., (...), Matson, M.
A case for research with and on community networks

(2013) *Computer Communication Review*, 43 (3), pp. 68-73. Cited 73 times.
<http://www.acm.org/sigs/sigcomm/>
doi: 10.1145/2500098.2500108

View at Publisher
-
- 4 Braem, B., Bergs, J., Blondia, C.
Comparing Community Networks to the Internet: An Empirical Study of BGP Behaviour

(2015) *Proceedings - 2015 International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud, FiCloud 2015 and 2015 International Conference on Open and Big Data, OBD 2015*, art. no. 7300906, pp. 788-793.
ISBN: 978-146738103-1
doi: 10.1109/FiCloud.2015.75

View at Publisher
-
- 5 Navarro, L., Vinas, R.B., Barz, C., Bonicioli, J., Braem, B., Freitag, F., Vilata-I-Balaguer, I.
Advances in wireless community networks with the community-lab testbed

(2016) *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 54 (7), art. no. 7509374, pp. 20-27. Cited 5 times.
doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2016.7509374

View at Publisher
-
- 6 Bicket, A., Aguayo, D., Biswas, S., Morris, R.
Architecture and evaluation of an unplanned 802.11b mesh network

(2005) *Proceedings of the Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, MOBICOM*, pp. 31-42. Cited 522 times.
doi: 10.1145/1080829.1080833

View at Publisher
-
- 7 Frangoudis, P.A., Polyzos, G.C., Kemerlis, V.P.
Wireless community networks: An alternative approach for nomadic broadband network access

(2011) *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 49 (5), art. no. 5762819, pp. 206-213. Cited 48 times.
doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2011.5762819

View at Publisher
-