


# ADVANCE QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY WORKSHOP for CENTRE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING

ASST. PROF. DR. NOR ZAINIYAH NORITA MOKHTAR  
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA



# Strategies for analysing qualitative data

# Workshop outline

- ▶ Principles of qualitative data analysis
- ▶ Try out two different ways of analysing data
- ▶ Report back
- ▶ Conclusions

# Principles of qualitative data analysis

▶ All qualitative analysis involves:-

- ▶ **Comprehending** the phenomenon under study
- ▶ **Synthesising** a portrait of the phenomenon, showing links and relationships between aspects
- ▶ **Theorising** about how and why these relationships appear as they do
- ▶ **Recontextualising** – i.e. putting the new knowledge about the phenomenon into context established by others

# Four different strategies

## **Grounded theory**

Constant comparative analysis, looking for patterns by comparing different pieces of data

## **Narrative analysis**

**Using the stories we tell to gather insights into experiences**

## **Phenomenology**

Uncovering underlying structures, focus on depth and detail

## **Ethnography**

Getting to know a culture, its beliefs and processes

# Same topic, different research questions

## **Grounded theory**

What influences pre-service teachers' experiences of teaching science?

## **Narrative analysis**

How do pre-service teachers experience teaching science?

## **Phenomenology**

What are pre-services teachers experiences of teaching science?

## **Ethnography**

How do pre-service teachers teach science in different contexts?

# Grounded theory

- ▶ Proposed by Glaser and Strauss, 1967
  - ▶ A simplified model involves
    - ▶ Developing categories to illuminate data
    - ▶ “Saturate” the categories with lots of examples
      - ▶ This shows the categories are relevant and useful
    - ▶ Develop the categories into an analytical framework with relevance outside the research setting
    - ▶ Re-interpret the framework as a theory based on the data
      - ▶ Hence “grounded theory”

# How do people travel to university?

**Car**

**Bus**

**Train**

**Bike**

**Walk**

**Motorised**

**Un-motorised**

**Poor personal mobility is allied to motorised modes**

**Distance influences transport mode decisions**

**Transport decisions are highly personalised**

**G  
e  
n  
e  
r  
a  
l**

**C  
o  
n  
c  
l  
u  
s  
i  
o  
n  
s**



# Grounded theory analysis

Data

- ▶ Develop categories to illuminate the data
- ▶ “Saturate” the categories with more data
  - ▶ Find lots of examples from other data that fit the categories
  - ▶ Adjust categories if necessary
- ▶ Organise categories into an analytical framework
- ▶ Develop a theory



Theory

# Advice: “Rules” for coding data

1. Don't introduce pre-conceived ideas / bias
2. Coding data is an *iterative* process – going between theory, codes several times
3. Explanations come from careful reading of the data
4. Analysis and conclusions must be firmly rooted in the data

# Preliminary steps

- ▶ Make back up copies of all original materials
- ▶ Give each respondent / source a unique code/ pseudonym for reference
- ▶ Keep data in common formats, e.g. same software package, same record cards, same size paper etc
- ▶ Collate data to allow space for researcher's notes, e.g. interview transcript on one side, space other side of page

# Get familiar with your data

- ▶ Read and re-read data many times
  - ▶ One interview can take months to analyse!
  - ▶ Look for:-
    - ▶ Implied meanings (bearing in mind the rules)
    - ▶ What hasn't been said / stated
    - ▶ Links to field notes / other data
  - ▶ Try to see the data in context
    - ▶ Cross-reference to any field notes/ other data
    - ▶ E.g. circumstances surrounding an interview / when a questionnaire was completed

# Research question

- ▶ **What influences pre-service teachers' experiences of teaching science?**

- ▶ Four interview transcripts – Daniel, Andrew, Jill, Valerie
- ▶ Four lesson observations

# Task 1

- ▶ Use grounded theory principles to analyse the data
- ▶ Generate a theory that helps to answer the research question

# Step 1: Interpret the data

- ▶ Decide on categories, devise codes
  - ▶ Code – attach tag or label to raw data
    - ▶ Name, initial, number
    - ▶ Use systematically
- ▶ Read more data, check the categories, adjust if necessary

# A possible grid ....

Person	Category 1	2	3	4	Notes
Daniel					
Andrew					
Valerie					
Jill					



## Step 2:

- ▶ Organise codes into themes, regroup data into a thematic chart

# Thematic chart

<b>Theme</b>	<b>Colleagues</b>	<b>Books</b>	<b>Assessment needs</b>
<b>Person</b>			
<b>David</b>			
<b>Jill</b>			
<b>Andrew</b>			

## Step 3: Reduce the data

- ▶ Look for consistent themes in the data that
  - ▶ Permit you to summarise lots of data succinctly
  - ▶ Give a good overview of the range of opinions
  - ▶ Link back to the raw data – evidence-based
  - ▶ Help answer the research question - lead to a theory

Feedback!

What did you find?

# Grounded theory

## Good points

- ▶ Creative activity of theory building based on empirical data
- ▶ Best used to construct ideas based on a model of social reality

- ▶ Doesn't acknowledge implicit theories that guide early work
- ▶ Categories can be like an "empty building"

# Narrative analysis

- ▶ Recognises how stories we tell provide insights about our experiences
- ▶ Aims to show how people understand and make sense of their lives

# Research question

- ▶ **How do pre-service teachers experience teaching science?**

# Generating findings from narratives

- ▶ Description
  - ▶ What terms / phrases do the pre-service teachers use to describe their experiences?
- ▶ Explanation
  - ▶ What reasons can we suggest that explain these?
- ▶ Generalisation
  - ▶ Can we suggest a general theory supporting the data?



# Description

- ▶ For each term/phrase, identify:-
  - ▶ Any background factors involved – age of students, examinations, subject specialism
  - ▶ Examples of responses – how frequently does this term/phrase occur?
  - ▶ The strength of opinion – how definite is the viewpoint/idea / position?

# Explanations

- ▶ These can be based on:-
  - ▶ reasons, motives, intentions
    - ▶ People vary in the extent of control they have
    - ▶ People use reasons as excuses
  - ▶ Beliefs and social behaviour
    - ▶ People follow written and unwritten “rules”
    - ▶ People can make choices
  - ▶ Explicit reasons - given by the participant
    - ▶ S/he says why they thought / did something
    - ▶ S/he explains in terms of factors involved

# Generalisations- need care!

## You can't:-

- ▶ generalise reliably beyond the context in which the data were collected
- ▶ give numbers / sense of numbers, e.g. "majority", "most"
- ▶ Make wild claims about the quality of your work

## You can:-

- ▶ Make links to literature to show your findings replicate those of others
- ▶ Comment on typical features of your data
- ▶ Focus on the issue / problem, not prevalence

# Example: Daniel

- ▶ Background factors tell us:-
  - ▶ Chemistry is his specialism
  - ▶ Biology and physics are “outside specialism”
  - ▶ He taught a range of classes in yr 7 – 11
- ▶ We can identify themes relating to:-
  - ▶ School documents (SoW)
  - ▶ Assessment (GCSE)
  - ▶ Other colleagues



## **Task 2**

**Carry out narrative analysis on Daniel, Jill, Valerie and Andrew's interviews**

Feedback!

What did you find?

# Reporting qualitative data (1)

## Focus on the issue

- ▶ “The training was criticised because students felt they had not been given opportunities to develop new skills”

RATHER THAN

- ▶ “FIVE students criticised the training because they had not acquired new skills”

# Reporting qualitative data (2)

**Use a variety of phrases, e.g.**

- ▶ “The training was repeatedly criticised because of ...” RATHER THAN
  - ▶ “A majority said the training was poor because...”
  
- ▶ “An alternative, but less frequent view was...”  
RATHER THAN
  - ▶ “Less than 10% said...”



# Reporting qualitative data (3)

- ▶ Minimise use of “some said”, e.g. by use of:-
  - ▶ A contrasting view expressed by those who....
  - ▶ People in favour of .... highlighted the need for ..
  - ▶ Four differing views on this were .....
  - ▶ Those opposing this indicated that ....

# Reminders

- ▶ Take advantage of “naturally occurring” data
- ▶ Don't treat a person's point of view as an explanation
- ▶ Study inter-relationships
- ▶ Begin with “how” questions, then ask “why”