

---

# JOINT OPERATING AGREEMENT

Applicability and Enforceability of  
Default Provisions

---

Eduardo G. Pereira  
Wan M. Zulhafiz Wan Zahari  
*Editors*



ROCKY MOUNTAIN MINERAL LAW FOUNDATION

# JOINT OPERATING AGREEMENT

## APPLICABILITY AND ENFORCEABILITY OF DEFAULT PROVISIONS

Eduardo G. Pereira  
Wan M. Zulhafiz Wan Zahari  
*Editors*



ROCKY MOUNTAIN MINERAL LAW FOUNDATION

Copyright © 2018 by the  
Rocky Mountain Mineral Law Foundation

All rights reserved.  
Printed in United States of America

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this volume are solely those of the authors. They should not be taken as reflecting the views of the Rocky Mountain Mineral Law Foundation. This publication is presented with the understanding that neither the Foundation nor the authors are engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. In no event, including negligence on the part of the authors, the reviewers, or the Foundation, will the authors, reviewers, or the Foundation be liable for any direct, indirect, or consequential damages resulting from the use of this material.

Copy No: 11100386610  
Initial Date: 225. 27/11/2018  
Cite as Location: Gombak

*Joint Operating Agreement: Applicability and Enforceability of Default Provisions* (Eduardo G. Pereira & Wan M. Zulhafiz Wan Zahari eds., Rocky Mt. Min. L. Fdn. 2018) or [individual author's name], [Chapter Title], in *Joint Operating Agreement: Applicability and Enforceability of Default Provisions* (Eduardo G. Pereira & Wan M. Zulhafiz Wan Zahari eds., Rocky Mt. Min. L. Fdn. 2018)

ISBN 978-1-943497-19-5

ROCKY MOUNTAIN MINERAL LAW FOUNDATION  
9191 SHERIDAN BLVD., SUITE 203  
WESTMINSTER, COLORADO 80031

TEL (303) 321-8100  
FAX (303) 321-7657  
EMAIL [info@rmmlf.org](mailto:info@rmmlf.org)  
<https://www.rmmlf.org>

## CONTENTS

Foreword .....	ix
Contributors .....	xiii
Abbreviations .....	xx
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<i>Eduardo G. Pereira (Externado University of Colombia)</i>	
<i>Wan M. Zulhafiz Wan Zahari (International Islamic University Malaysia)</i>	
<b>CHAPTER 1: DEFAULT AND ITS REMEDIES IN THE CONTEXT OF THE JOA.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Eduardo G. Pereira (Externado University of Colombia)</i>	
<i>Aina Gómez Picanyol (Clifford Chance)</i>	
<i>Waniss A. Otman (Petrosynergy Development)</i>	
1.0 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Defining Default Under JOAs.....	3
1.2 The Relevance of Default and Its Remedies .....	7
1.3 Default Mechanisms .....	10
1.3.1 Common First Steps .....	11
1.3.2 Right to Access to Data .....	13
1.3.3 Right to Call, Attend, and Vote at Any Operating Committee Meetings .....	13
1.3.4 Right to Effect a Transfer of Interests .....	15
1.3.5 Right to Withdrawal .....	16
1.3.6 Petroleum Entitlements.....	16
1.4 Other Implications and Considerations.....	17
1.4.1 Default and Decommissioning .....	17
1.4.2 Default and Host Government Issues .....	18
(a) Forfeiture and Its Nuanced Variants.....	19
(b) Pure Forfeiture.....	20
(c) Withering Interest.....	22
(d) Buy-Out (Compulsory Sale of Defaulter's Interest).....	24
(e) Lien, Mortgage, and Security Interest .....	26
1.5 Alternative and Complementary Remedies .....	29
1.5.1 Upfront Cash or Escrow Account.....	29
1.5.2 Corporate Company Guarantee .....	30
1.5.3 Bank Guarantees, Letters of Credit, and Insurance Guarantees .....	30
1.5.4 Cross-Charges.....	31
1.5.5 Payment of Interest/Premium .....	31
1.5.6 Setoff .....	32
1.5.7 General Law .....	32
1.6 Conclusion .....	33

<b>CHAPTER 2: DEFAULT PROVISIONS IN JOINT OPERATING AGREEMENTS: A CIVIL LAW PERSPECTIVE</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<i>Eduardo G. Pereira (Externado University of Colombia)</i>	
<i>Giovani Ribeiro Loss (Mattos Filho)</i>	
<i>Stephanie Blattler (Eleva Educação)</i>	
<i>Tito Feliciano Ribeiro da Rosa (Mattos Filho)</i>	
2.0 Introduction .....	37
2.1 The Concept of Default .....	37
2.2 Obstacles for Enforcing Certain Default Remedies in Civil Law Jurisdictions .....	39
2.2.1 Forfeiture .....	40
2.2.2 Buy-Out .....	44
2.2.3 Withering Interest .....	45
2.2.4 Lien Enforcement .....	47
2.2.5 Brazilian Insolvency Regime .....	48
2.3 Conclusion .....	49
<b>CHAPTER 3: OPERATOR'S DEFAULT</b> .....	<b>51</b>
<i>Eduardo G. Pereira (Externado University of Colombia)</i>	
<i>David Sweeney (Akin Gump)</i>	
3.0 Introduction .....	51
3.1 Financial Obligations of the Parties and Main Duties of the Operator .....	53
3.2 Consequences of Operator Default .....	56
3.2.1 Removal and Replacement of Operator .....	56
3.2.2 Operations Pending Appointment of a New Operator .....	58
3.2.3 Appointment of a New Operator .....	59
3.3 Conclusion .....	61
<b>CHAPTER 4: GOVERNING LAW AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN CASE OF DEFAULT</b> .....	<b>63</b>
<i>Tuuli Timonen (White &amp; Case LLP)</i>	
<i>Nika Larkimo (White &amp; Case LLP)</i>	
4.0 Introduction .....	63
4.1 Impact of the Governing Law and Dispute Resolution Clauses on Default Mechanisms .....	64
4.1.1 Governing Law Clause .....	64
4.1.1.1 Choosing the Governing Law .....	64
4.1.2 Role of the Governing Law in Case of Default .....	65
4.1.2.1 What Is a Default .....	65
4.1.2.2 Different Approaches of Common and Civil Law Jurisdictions that Impact the JOA's Default Provisions .....	66
4.1.2.2.1 Liquidated Damages and Penalty Clauses .....	67
4.1.2.2.2 Changed Circumstances .....	67

4.1.2.2.3 Interference in Contractual Relationship .....	71
4.1.2.2.4 Other Specific Questions .....	72
4.2 Dispute Resolution Clause .....	73
4.2.1 Purpose of the Dispute Resolution Clause .....	73
4.2.2 Disputes over Default Mechanisms .....	74
4.2.2.1 Defaults Are Prone to Disputes by and Between Multiple Parties .....	74
4.2.2.2 Adequate Dispute Resolution Clause Can Have a Determining Impact on the Enforcement of a Default Mechanism .....	75
4.2.2.3 Arbitration as the Preferred Method for Resolving International Disputes Is the Best Adapted Method for Defaults .....	76
4.2.2.4 Multitiered Dispute Resolution Clauses Are Often Suitable for Disputes Arising out of JOAs .....	79
4.3 Practical Solutions .....	81
4.3.1 What to Take into Account in Case of a Default .....	81
4.3.1.1 "Pay Now, Argue Later" .....	81
4.3.1.2 Giving Notice .....	81
4.3.1.3 Securing Funding Despite Default .....	83
4.3.1.4 Disputed Default or Default Remedy .....	84
4.3.1.5 Ensuring Continuous Accountability of the Operator .....	84
4.3.2 How to Draft Efficient Governing Law and Dispute Resolution Clauses in a JOA .....	85
4.3.2.1 Governing Law Clause .....	85
4.3.2.2 Dispute Resolution Clause .....	86
4.4 Conclusion .....	88
<b>CHAPTER 5: INSOLVENCY</b> .....	<b>91</b>
<i>Eduardo G. Pereira (Externado University of Colombia)</i>	
<i>David Sweeney (Akin Gump)</i>	
5.0 Introduction .....	91
5.1 Financial Obligations of the Parties .....	91
5.2 Results of Party Insolvency .....	95
5.2.1 Operator .....	95
5.2.2 Non-Operators .....	97
5.2.3 Contractors .....	98
5.3 Potential Methods of Protection .....	99
5.3.1 JOA Protections .....	99
5.3.2 Extra-Contractual Solutions—Diligence and Foresight .....	100
5.3.3 Credit Support and Security .....	101
5.3.4 Offset .....	102
5.4 Conclusion .....	102

<b>CHAPTER 6: CHALLENGES AND CONCERNS REGARDING NATIONAL OIL COMPANY PARTICIPATION.....</b>	<b>103</b>
<i>Eduardo G. Pereira (Externado University of Colombia)</i>	
<i>Miriam Grunstein (Universidad Panamericana, Mexico)</i>	
<i>Hirdan Costa (University of Sao Paulo)</i>	
6.0 Introduction .....	103
6.1 NOC Roles in the Petroleum Industry and Joint Ventures .....	104
6.2 General Concerns for IOCs and NOCs Regarding the Negotiation of a Joint Operating Agreement.....	112
6.3 Practical Concerns for a Default Provision in a JOA .....	115
6.4 Examples: Brazil and Norway .....	116
6.4.1 Brazil.....	116
6.4.2 Norway.....	118
6.5 Additional Considerations for a Default Provision .....	119
6.6 Conclusion.....	120
<b>CHAPTER 7: FORFEITURE, BUY-OUT PROVISIONS, AND ENFORCEABILITY UNDER ENGLISH LAW AFTER THE MAKDESSI DECISION .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<i>Aaron M. Cooper (Coventry University)</i>	
<i>Dr. Flavio Inocencio (New University of Lisbon Law School)</i>	
7.0 Introduction .....	123
7.1 Penalty Clauses vs. Liquidated Damages .....	124
7.2 The <i>Makdessi</i> Judgment .....	129
7.3 Other Common Law Jurisdictions.....	133
7.4 Conclusion.....	140
<b>CHAPTER 8: SOLE RISK, NON-CONSENT, AND DEFAULT: THE CURSE OF MUTATIS MUTANDIS.....</b>	<b>143</b>
<i>Reg Fowler (Karachaganak Petroleum Operating B.V.)</i>	
8.0 Introduction .....	143
8.1 Sole Risk and Non-Consent; the Fragmentation of the Joint Venture .....	146
8.2 Default Remedies—Protecting the Position of the Non-Participant.....	151
8.3 Oil and Gas UK Industry Model Form: Joint Operating Agreement (January 2009) .....	154
8.4 AIPN 2012 Model International JOA.....	164
8.5 The Australian Model JOA .....	169
8.6 Trusts, Bifurcated Title, and the Incomplete Transaction .....	174
8.7 Conclusion.....	178

<b>CHAPTER 9: UNITIZATION AND UNIT OPERATING AGREEMENTS.....</b>	<b>181</b>
<i>Eduardo G. Pereira (Externado University of Colombia)</i>	
<i>Felipe Alice (Morgan Lewis)</i>	
9.0 Introduction.....	181
9.1 Unitization and Unit Operating Agreement (UUOA).....	182
9.2 AIPN Model Form UUOA.....	183
9.3 Default Provisions.....	183
9.4 Covering the Default.....	185
9.5 Rights and Remedies.....	188
9.6 Conclusion .....	190
<b>CHAPTER 10: DEFAULT AND ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES... 193</b>	
<i>Carlos Eduardo Silva (Petrobras)</i>	
<i>Gilberto Santiago (Petrobras)</i>	
10.0 Introduction.....	193
10.1 The Effects on Defaulting Parties and Non-Defaulting Parties ....	194
10.1.1 Example: Composition of the Consortium to Explore Block X1:.....	195
(a) Issuance of Cash Call by the Operator: .....	195
(b) Default of Company C and Issuance of Default Notice: ...	195
10.2 Removal of Company C from the Consortium, Due to Continued Default.....	199
10.2.1 Removal Without the Pricing of the Working Interest in the Consortium .....	199
10.2.2 Removal with the Pricing of the Working Interest in the Consortium .....	200
10.2.3 Withering Provision—An Alternative Way .....	201
10.2.3.1 Example: Company C Does Not Remedy Its Default and Company B Uses the Withering Provision .....	203
10.3 “Default Agreed Interest Rate” .....	205
10.4 Conclusion .....	205
Bibliography .....	207

## CONTRIBUTORS

**EDUARDO G. PEREIRA, Editor**, is a professor of Energy Law and partner at the International Energy Law Advisory Group. He has been active in the oil and gas industry for more than 10 years and is an international expert on joint operating agreements. His experience in this area – both academic and practical – is extensive. He has practical experience in over 40 jurisdictions covering America, Europe, Africa, and Asia. Prof. Pereira concluded his doctoral thesis on oil and gas joint ventures at the University of Aberdeen. He is a professor of natural resources and energy law at the Externado University of Colombia (Colombia), adjunct professor of energy law at the University of Eastern Finland (Finland), adjunct professor of energy law at the South Texas College of Law (USA), visiting professor of energy law at the University of Reykjavik (Iceland), visiting professor at the Centre de Estudos de Ciências Jurídico-Econômicas e Sociais – Agostinho Neto University (Angola), research fellow at the Scandinavian Institute for Maritime Law – University of Oslo (Norway), research fellow at Centro de Estudos em Regulação e Infraestrutura – FGV (Brazil), International Program Manager of Extractive Resources Governance at the Strathmore Extractives Industry Centre – Strathmore University (Kenya), managing editor for African Journal on Energy, Natural Resources and Environmental Law and an associate editor of OGEL. He is also the author and editor of several leading oil and gas textbooks and has been a speaker at several Rocky Mountain Mineral Law Foundation short courses and institutes. Further information about his profile and publications can be found at: [www.eduardogpereira.com](http://www.eduardogpereira.com).

**WAN MOHD ZULHAFIZ BIN WAN ZAHARI, Editor**, is an advocate and solicitor of the High Court of Malaya, Malaysia with expertise in Energy Law (in particular Upstream Oil and Gas). Wan graduated with a Ph.D. in Law from the University of Aberdeen in 2016, and his thesis was entitled “Unbalanced Indemnities: A Comparative Analysis of Risk Allocation in Oilfield Service Contracts in Malaysia, the U.K. and U.S.A.” Wan is among the very first to specialize in oil and gas law in Malaysia. Wan is Deputy Legal Adviser at the Office of the Legal Adviser and an Assistant Professor at International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) where he lectures in, amongst other areas, Energy and Oil and Gas Law, and also supervises doctoral students in these areas. Wan has research interests in Energy Law, Oil and Gas Law, Corporate Law, and Commercial Contracting. He has published over 20 papers in journals, inter-

## CONTRIBUTORS

national conferences, and popular media. Wan has expertise in a number of areas including Oilfield Service Contracts and Due Diligence. He is a member of the International Energy Law Research Institute (IELRI) and represents Malaysia on the advisory board of the International Energy Law Advisory Group (IELAG). He is also a Committee Member of the Malaysian Corporate Counsel Association (MCCA) and ASEAN Energy Law Network. He has won several awards, notably the Ede & Ravenscroft Prize Award in 2014.

**FELIPE ALICE** is a partner in the Houston office of Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP and a member of its Corporate and Business Transactions Practice. Mr. Alice focuses his practice on local and international energy transactions and infrastructure projects covering energy, finance, and corporate issues involving complex contracts, corporate restructuring, project development, and finance. Mr. Alice is admitted to practice in Brazil, New York, and Texas and in England and Wales as a Solicitor.

**STEPHANIE BLATTLER** is a lawyer focused on Corporate, Compliance and Regulatory Matters. She graduated from Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio) and has a Master's Degree in Regulation from Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV Direito Rio). She is a visiting professor at Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV Direito Rio). She worked for 5 years on the Oil and Gas team of the Brazilian law firm Mattos Filho, and is currently the Legal Manager and head of Corporate law and Compliance at the Brazilian education company Eleva Educação.

**AARON MARTIN COOPER, LL.B, LL.M.** is the Director of the postgraduate degree in Oil, Gas and Energy Law at Coventry University. In a short career he has developed a diverse range of abilities, proving himself to be efficient at program management and research in International Environmental Law, most recently contributing to the post-Brexit environmental law plan. Aaron spearheaded the creation of the new postgraduate degree in Oil, Gas and Energy Law and worked as the course director for the highly successful Sino-UK commercial Law Degree program. He continues to build the international profile of the University and Energy Law within Coventry Law School. His research is concentrated on examining the development of the energy sector and resource extraction in the context of climate change. This research has been vital for his role as a visiting lecturer at the Siberian Federal University in Krasnoyarsk, Russia.

