Document details

Back to results | 1 of 1

Advanced Science Letters
Volume 23, Issue 4, 2017, Pages 3128-3131

Post occupancy evaluation for sustainable neighborhood development (Article)

Yaman, R.[ab], Thadaniti, S.[a], Suntornvongsagul, K.[a], Adnan, H.[b], Ahmad, N.[c]

[a]Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
[b]Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia
[c]International Islamic University Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract

View references (18)

This paper present the preliminary study of post occupancy evaluation (POE) model on neighborhood assessment criteria towards sustainable urban development. The aim of the study is to developed a POE model based on sustainable pillar dimensions. The objective is to identify and formulate POE model towards sustainable neighborhood development and to implement the model in assessing and evaluate GBI certified neighborhood towards sustainable urban development for Malaysia. The consensus-based approached is used in this study in order to gather experts' opinion regarding the proposed POE model for sustainable neighborhood development for the certified GBI neighborhood project. The significant contribution of the research is the POE model for future sustainable neighborhood development for Malaysia and similar development phenomenon in the region of ASEAN nations and country throughout the world.

Author keywords

Neighborhood Post occupancy evaluation Sustainable development

ISSN: 19366612
Source Type: Journal
Original language: English
DOI: 10.1166/asl.2017.7674
Document Type: Article
Publisher: American Scientific Publishers

References (18)

View in search results format

All Export Print E-mail Save to PDF Create bibliography

1 Kraas, F.
Megacities and global change: Key priorities
(2007) *Geographical Journal*, 173 (1), pp. 79-82. Cited 51 times.
doi: 10.1111/j.1475-4959.2007.232_2.x
View at Publisher

2 Gbi, G.
Township Rating Tool, Seminar on Sustainable Cities–Sharing Swedish Experience
(2010) *Park Royal Hotel*
Kuala Lumpur,–(Asian Green Cities Index)

3 Poston, A., Emmanuel, R., Thomson, C.
Developing Holistic Frameworks for the Next Generation of Sustainability Assessment Methods for the Built Environment

Metrics

0 Citations in Scopus

0 Field-Weighted Citation Impact

PlumX Metrics

Usage, Captures, Mentions, Social Media and Citations beyond Scopus.

Cited by 0 documents

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

Set citation alert

Set citation feed

Related documents

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

Authors Keywords

4 Choguill, C.L.
Developing sustainable neighbourhoods

(2008) *Habitat International*, 32 (1), pp. 41-48. Cited 53 times.
www.elsevier.com/inca/publications/store/4/7/9/
doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2007.06.007

View at Publisher

5 Singh, R.K., Murty, H.R., Gupta, S.K., Dikshit, A.K.
An overview of sustainability assessment methodologies

(2009) *Ecological Indicators*, 9 (2), pp. 189-212. Cited 519 times.
doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2008.05.011

View at Publisher

6 Sullivan, L.J., Rydin, Y., Buchanan, C.
(2014) *Neighbourhood Sustainability Frameworks—A Literature Review*. Cited 4 times.

7 Robson, C.
(2002) *Real World Research: A Resource for Social Scientists and Practitioner-Researchers*, p. 2. Cited 4674 times.

8 Garde, A.
Sustainable by design?: Insights from U.S. LEED-ND Pilot Projects

(2009) *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 75 (4), pp. 424-440. Cited 53 times.
doi: 10.1080/01944360903148174

View at Publisher

9 Kyrkou, D., Karthaus, R.
Urban sustainability standards: Predetermined checklists or adaptable frameworks?

(2011) *Procedia Engineering*, 21, pp. 204-211. Cited 17 times.
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18777058
doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.2005

View at Publisher

10 Sharifi, A., Murayama, A.
Neighborhood sustainability assessment in action: Cross-evaluation of three assessment systems and their cases from the US, the UK, and Japan

(2014) *Building and Environment*, 72, pp. 243-258. Cited 49 times.
doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.11.006

View at Publisher

11 Berardi, U.
Sustainability assessment of urban communities through rating systems

(2013) *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 15 (6), pp. 1573-1591. Cited 42 times.
doi: 10.1007/s10668-013-9462-0

View at Publisher

12 Haapio, A.
Towards sustainable urban communities

(2012) *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 32 (1), pp. 165-169. Cited 75 times.
doi: 10.1016/j.eiar.2011.08.002

View at Publisher

13 Masri, M., Yunus, R.M., Ahmad, S.S.
(2015) *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 168, p. 249. Cited 2 times.

14 Ali, A.
(2014) *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, 5, p. 124.

15 Bakar, A.H.A., Cheen, K.S.
(2013) *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 85, p. 484.

16 Shen, L.
(2013) *Guo, International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, p. 3.

17 Shika, S.A., Sapri, M., Jibril, J.D.A., Sipan, I., Abdullah, S.
(2012) *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 65, p. 644. Cited 4 times.

18 Poston, A., Emmanuel, R., Thomson, C.
Developing Holistic Frameworks for the Next Generation of Sustainability Assessment Methods for the Built Environment

Yaman, R.; Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand