

International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues

ISSN: 2146-4138

available at <http://www.econjournals.com>

International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 2016, 6(4), 1895-1905.



The Co-movement of Selective Conventional and Islamic Stock Indices: Is there any Impact on *Shariah* Compliant Equity Investment in China?

Buerhan Saiti^{1*}, Mansur Masih²

¹Institute of Islamic Banking and Finance, International Islamic University Malaysia, Jalan Gombak, 53100 Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, Malaysia, ²Department of Finance and Econometrics, INCEIF, The Global University of Islamic Finance, Malaysia.

*Email: borhanseti@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the dynamic causal linkages in the daily returns among four conventional and three *Shariah* compliant indices (such as, Financial Times Stock Exchange *Shariah* China Index, Asia *Shariah* Index, Malaysia EMAS *Shariah* Index, China Shanghai Stock Exchange [SSE] Composite Index, Hang Seng Index, Nikkei 225 and KOSPI) in Asia region through the application of the standard time series techniques. Essentially, the purpose of this research is to identify the extent of influence of conventional and Islamic, regional and international equity markets on *Shariah* compliant equity investment in China. Our study is focused on investigating the following empirical questions: (i) Which indices do the *Shariah* China Index commove with? (ii) Which indices is the *Shariah* China Index Granger-causally related with? and (iii) Which major stock index was driving the selective conventional and *Shariah* compliant stock indices? Our findings tend to suggest: (i) The *Shariah* China Index appears to have a theoretical and long-run comovement with all the select conventional and *Shariah* compliant stock indices (as evidenced in the Cointegration and LRSM tests) (ii) The *Shariah* China Index is Granger-caused by all the conventional and *Shariah* compliant stock indices (as evidenced in the vector error correction modelling tests) (iii) Finally, what stands out is the leadership of the China conventional SSE market followed by the Malaysia *Shariah* market in driving all indices including the *Shariah* China Index (as evidenced in the VDCs tests).

Keywords: Islamic Finance, *Shariah* Compliant Equity Investment, Time Series Analysis

JEL Classifications: C22, F21, F36, G15

1. INTRODUCTION

Trade and financial liberalization, since the late 20th century, enhanced due to the process of globalization, trade ties and economic synchronization, and integration of international stock market indices. A decision by a country's government to permit foreigners to buy stocks in that country's stock market is called stock market liberalization. The rationale behind financial liberalization is to restore growth and stability by raising savings and improving economic efficiency. Following the collapse of the Bretton Woods system¹, the developed countries initiated the international financial liberalization process (Saiti et al., 2014).

There is plenty of literature on stock market interdependence and integration. Following the influential works of Engle and Granger (1987), Johansen (1998) and Johansen and Juselius (1990), many studies beginning with Taylor and Tonks (1989), Kasa (1992) and, subsequently, Masih and Masih (1997; 2001), Chowdhry (1994) and Chowdhry et al. (2007) have employed the cointegration hypothesis to identify the international integration of financial markets. But, depending on the data, methodology, and theoretical models employed, there is no clear resolution of the issues relating to dynamic causal linkages among the stock markets as yet. These issues have been addressed by numerous studies (Errunza and Losq, 1985; Hietala, 1989; Masih and Masih, 1997; 1999; 2001). However, because of the inconsistent results, they are placed under critical examination (Masih and Masih; 2001). If there is a common long-term relationship among stock

¹ Set of multilateral agreements on international economic relations, negotiated at the UN Monetary and Financial Conference held in July 1944 attended by the finance ministers of the UK, US, and other Allied countries.

markets, the benefits of diversifying portfolios in international assets is doubtful. A common long-run relationship indicates relatively high long-term cross-market correlations, thereby reducing any possible diversification benefit over the long-run. Any potential achievement from international diversification of a portfolio is inversely correlated to the extent of stock market integration. A low correlation between returns on stock market indices allows investors to minimize portfolio risk by international diversification. According to Masih and Masih (1997; 1999; 2001), another significant implication of integrated markets is that assets associated with similar degrees of risk in different countries should also lead to a similar degree of return. Therefore, an analysis of the long-run comovement of stock market prices and their short-run temporal relationships is significant for dealing with an international portfolio.

Most researchers (Masih and Masih, 1997; 1999; 2001) have focused on the interdependencies of conventional stock indices and empirical works on Islamic stock indices are either not available or inconclusive. Given the conflicting conclusions of the research in this field, further highlights should be made available through an investigation of an alternative set of financial markets, particularly, a set of Islamic stock indices, at least, from an international diversification perspective. Islamic stock indices can most likely offer a far greater diversification potential for attracting global portfolios. Furthermore, investigations into the dynamic linkages of conventional and Islamic stock indices over time and across markets are of significant importance to investors and financial policy makers; a more fundamental issue, at least from the perspective of the *Shariah* compliant equity investors, is to identify the impacts of conventional and Islamic, regional and international equity markets on *Shariah* compliant equity investment in China.

Basically, this paper has three research questions, namely: (i) Which indices do the *Shariah* China Index commove with? (ii) Which indices is the *Shariah* China Index Granger-causally related with? and (iii) Which major stock index was driving the selective conventional and *Shariah* compliant stock indices?

These questions have been previously addressed by some researchers purely on conventional stock markets (Masih and Masih, 1997; 1999; 2001). However, over the recent years mainly because of the financial crises, the investors have been looking for alternative sources of investment such as, the fast growing Islamic finance. This paper, therefore, wants to shed more light on these issues by adding several *Shariah* compliant stock indices. Furthermore, China has received less attention in previous researches on the Asian stock market integration. This study wants to fill up these gaps.

This paper is organized as follows. Following this introduction, Section two gives a brief review of the Islamic investment criteria and an overview of selective stock exchange markets; Section three reviews the related literature and Section four introduces a brief description on the methodology used in this study. This is followed in Section five by a discussion of data and empirical results. Concluding remarks, limitations of

study and directions of future research are given at the end of the paper.

2. ISLAMIC INVESTMENT CRITERIA

Islamic investment is growing rapidly in South East Asia, GCC and MENA regions as an alternative investment class for all investors, both Muslim and non-Muslim, for its foundation in ethical business practices, social responsibility and fiscal conservatism. While Islamic investors may be mandated to invest only in a *Shariah* compliant manner, other investors do so for the benefits they derive, including greater stability of returns, transparency and diversification (Saiti et al., 2014).

In Islamic finance, a market should be free from prohibited activities and elements such as *riba* (usury), *maisir* (gambling), *gharar* (ambiguity), and also other activities like gambling, alcohol, and so on. To describe the Islamic principle in detail, *riba* technically is defined as the “premium” which should be paid by the borrower to the lender together with the principal amount as a condition in the contract of the loan or for an extension in the duration of loan (Iqbal and Mirakhor, 2007). More specifically, both the premium and the principal are guaranteed regardless of the investment performance. Islamic stock must not include firms that pay or receive interest of any form. However, the percentage of today’s listed firms that are fully in compliance with the *Shariah* is in small number (Saiti et al., 2013; 2014). Some degree of tolerance therefore is required.

The modern *Shariah* scholars have provided general rules for *Shariah* compliant investors to evaluate or screen whether a particular company is *halal* (lawful) or *haram* (unlawful) for investment (Wilson, 2004; Derigs and Marzban, 2008). There are two types of stock screening approaches such as qualitative and quantitative screens. The first one is qualitative screen, the screening process that focuses on the activity of a company that is used as the main principle in Islamic investment criteria. The second one is quantitative screen, where Islamic scholars have applied a principle of tolerance associated with filtering criteria, namely²:

1. Debt/equity ratio. If a company’s debt financing is more than 33% of its capital, then it is not permissible for investment.
2. Interest-related income. If interest-related income of a company is more than 10% of its total income, then it is not permissible for investment. This income, however, should not come from its main business activities but from placing its surplus funds in investments that could yield interest income (Abdul et al., 2010).
3. Monetary assets. This parameter refers to the composition of account receivables and liquid assets (cash at banks and marketable securities) compared to total assets. Various minimums have been set for the ratio of non-liquid assets (assets that are not in the form of money) necessary to make an investment permissible. Some set this minimum at 51% while a few cite 33% as an acceptable ratio of non-liquid assets to total assets.

2 <http://www.djindexes.com/islamicmarket/>

Chapra (2008) and Chapra et al. (2008) who mention that there are four basic conditions in Islamic finance that may prevent the two main causes of financial crisis, which are excessive leverage and the formation of speculative bubbles in credit markets. Firstly, all transactions have to be based on real assets rather than merely fictitious or notional assets. This may discourage all speculative transactions which involve excessive ambiguity or gambling. Secondly, the transaction must involve the possession of exchange objects on the seller/lesser where this condition may guarantee that, to obtain certain return, the owner will share the risk with his partner. Thirdly, the transaction must be genuine with full intention to give and take delivery which in turn will prevent the excessive speculation using imaginary assets. Lastly, the credit risk must be borne by the creditor up to the maturity, which is subject to the rule of prohibition of selling the debt except at par value (Saiti et al., 2016). This is to ensure that the creditor cannot transfer the risk by selling the debt to the market within a speculative and derivative transaction and that prevents the excessive growth of the debt beyond reasonable limits.

2.1. Overviews of Selective Stock Markets

In the middle of the 1990s, the international financial market had witnessed a drastic growth of Islamic funds all over the world. The reason of this growth was due to the awareness of and demand from Muslims to participate in capital market investment³. Dow Jones Index launched its Islamic Index family in 1999 in order to facilitate and enable Islamic fund managers to transact business in the capital market world. After that, this innovation was followed by other stock markets and financial institutions in developing their own Islamic Indices, such as the Financial Times Stock Exchange (FTSE), the Wellington Islamic Index, the Citi Bank Islamic Index, the Global Alliance Islamic Index, etc. The first layer of qualitative Dow Jones Islamic Market (DJIM) screening removes companies involved in *Shariah* prohibited transactions such as alcohol, pork, conventional financial services, entertainment, tobacco, and weapons and defence. A second layer of quantitative DJIM screening based on financial ratios, is intended to remove companies based on debt and interest income levels in their balance sheets⁴.

In Malaysia, the Securities Commission launched the Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange *Shariah* Index in 1997 as an important mechanism to accelerate the achievement of an Islamic capital market (ICM) plan. The ICM refers to the market where transactions are transacted in ways that do not conflict with the conscience of Muslims and the religion of Islam. In other words, the ICM represents an assertion of Islamic law in capital market transactions where the market should be free from the involvement of prohibited transactions by *Shariah*.

In general, there are two screening methods used by the *Shariah* advisory board to determine for company admissibility status; namely business activity screening and financial ratio screening. The business activity screening is undertaken to determine that

the company under consideration is not involved in any activities prohibited by the *Shariah* (Table 1).

FTSE *Shariah* China Index, FTSE *Shariah* India Index and FTSE *Shariah* USA Index were launched by FTSE *Shariah* Global Equity Index Series in 26 October 2007⁵. Screening is then undertaken by *Shariah* consultants, Yasaar Research Inc., against a clear set of guiding principles. Yasaar scholars, who apply the *Shariah* screening to the FTSE Global Equity Index Series, represent all of the major *Shariah* schools of thought, creating a best practice approach that has credibility across all regions of the Islamic world.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

One of the most important topics that have been studied extensively in the conventional finance literature is the comovements of the equity market indexes around the world. In a selected survey of the literature, the empirical works on conventional stock markets integration and interdependencies can be separated into two major groups. One group of researchers looked at the co-movements of stock market indices around the world by applying mainly the correlation and cointegration tests (Taylor and Tonks, 1989; Kasa, 1992; Chung and Liu, 1994; Corhay, et al. 1995).

The second group of researchers employed time series techniques that have allowed a more rigorous analysis to be conducted, such as cointegration tests, vector autoregression (VAR) modelling, vector error correction modelling (VECM), variance decomposition and impulse response analysis (Masih and Masih, 1997; 1999; 2001; Ratanapakorn and Sharma, 2002). Instead of just evaluating the co-movements of stock price indices, this group of studies examined both the long run and short run aspects of market linkages and to further investigate the structure of these linkages, in terms of the speed and persistence of the interaction among markets.

Previously, the Asian stock markets were getting some attention from researchers, partially due to their high economic growth rates and the 1997 Asian financial crisis. In this regard, Masih and Masih (1997; 1999; 2001) made significant contributions to the conventional finance literature, not only by addressing the fundamental issue of stock markets interdependencies, but also providing further understanding of the trends of these linkages and the nature of the propagation mechanism driving the Asian stock market fluctuations. In the study of Masih and Masih (1997), the cointegration results showed that all the Asian Newly Industrializing Countries (NIC) of Hong Kong, Singapore, South Korea and Taiwan share long run relationship with the more established markets (Japan, U.S., U.K. and Germany). Further analysis by using the dynamic VECM consistently revealed to suggest the relatively leading role of all established markets in driving the fluctuations in the Asian NIC stock markets. Masih and Masih (1999) applied time series econometric techniques to examine the long- and short-term dynamic linkages among a set of eight international stock market indices, with a particular focus

3 Ali, Syed Salman (2011), *Islamic Banking in the MENA Region*, Washington, DC, USA. http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTMNAREGTOPPOVRED/Resources/MENAFIflagshipIslamicFinance2_24_11.pdf

4 DJ website.

5 <http://www.ftse.com/products/indices/Global-Shariah>

Table 1: Screening method

No	Screening criteria	Screening method	
		Securities commission of Malaysia (the SC)	Dow Jones Islamic market index
1	Business activities screening	Exclude companies involved in: Financial services based on <i>riba</i> (interest); Gambling and gaming; Manufacture or sale of non-halal products or related products; Conventional insurance; Entertainment activities that are non-permissible according to <i>Shariah</i> ; Manufacture or sale of tobacco-based products or related products; Stockbroking or share trading in <i>Shariah</i> non-compliant securities; Other activities deemed non permissible to <i>Shariah</i>	Excludes companies involved in: Alcohol; Tobacco; Pork-related products; Conventional financial services (Banking, insurance etc.); Weapon and defence; Entertainment (hotels, casinos/gambling, cinema)
2	Benchmarks for a mix between both permissible and non-permissible activities, or based on the financial ratios screening	Exclude companies that have more than: 5% To assess the level of mixed contributions from activities that are clearly prohibited such as <i>riba</i> ' based activities, gambling, liquor and pork; interest income from conventional accounts and instruments and tobacco-related activities; 20% To assess the level of contributions of mixed rentals from <i>Shariah</i> non-compliant activities; To assess the level of mixed contributions from activities that are generally permissible according to <i>Shariah</i> and have an element of <i>maslahah</i> (public interest), but there are other elements that may affect the <i>Shariah</i> status of these activities e.g., hotel and resort operations Financial ratio benchmarks Compute the financial ratios: <33% Debt/total assets; Cash and cash equivalent/total assets	Exclude companies that have more than: 33% of total debt divided by trailing 12-month average market capitalizations; 33% of total cash and interest bearing securities divided by trailing 12-month average market capitalisation; 45% of accounts receivables divided by total assets

SC: Securities commission

on four Asian emerging stock markets: Hong Kong, Singapore, Thailand and Malaysia. In addition to the evidence of significant interdependencies among these markets, their analysis showed the driving role of the US at the global level, whereas, the leader in the Southeast Asian region is Hong Kong. By applying similar methodologies, Masih and Masih (2001) investigated the dynamic causal linkages amongst nine major international stock indices. One of the interesting statistical findings was the growing role of the Japanese market as a long run leader in influencing the propagation mechanism driving international stock market linkages, including the emerging Asian stock markets.

In the conventional finance literatures, concerning researches on the causality of stock market interdependencies in Asia, empirical results are mixed. The role of Japan as the leader in the region has been highly controversial in this debate. As Masih and Masih (2001) investigated as well as Ghosh et al. (1999) concluded that Japan is a market leader, other researches (Yang et al., 2003) suggest that Japan does not play a pivotal role in non-crisis periods. A few other studies have shown that especially Hong Kong is the most influential stock market in Asia (Masih and Masih, 1999; Dekker et al., 2001).

This paper will try to answer the following new questions: Firstly, which equity (conventional or *Shariah*) markets are affecting the comovement of China *Shariah* compliant stock returns? Secondly, which stock indices (local, regional and international) will have more causal impact on China *Shariah* compliant stock returns? Last but not least, which major stock market dominated the selective Asian emerging markets? While these questions have been previously addressed by some researchers, this paper is able to shed more light on these issues by adding several *Shariah* compliant stock markets. Furthermore, China has received less attention in previous researches on the Asian stock market integration.

The study attempts to fill the gap in the literature in the following two aspects. First, previous researchers solely focus on the conventional stock markets whereas this study wants to analyze selective East Asian stock markets by adding several *Shariah* compliant stock markets. Second, as far as we are aware, analyzing the comovement and Granger-causality among conventional and Islamic Indexes have not been investigated before in a growing market such as, China.

Table 2: List of variables

Variables	Country	Symbol	Level form	Difference form
FTSE <i>Shariah</i> China Index	China	CHISHA	LCHISHA	DCHISHA
S&P Pan Asia <i>Shariah</i> Index	Dow Jones	ASIASHA	LASIASHA	DASIASHA
FTSE Bursa Malaysia EMAS <i>Shariah</i> Index	Malaysia	MYEMAS	LMYEMAS	DMYEMAS
SSE Composite Index	China	CHISSE	LCHISSE	DCHISSE
Hang Seng Index	Hong Kong	HANGSE	LHANGSE	DHANGSE
Nikkei 225	Japan	NEKKEI	LNEKKEI	DNEKKEI
Kospi	Korea	KOSPI	LKOSPI	DKOSPI

FTSE: Financial Times Stock Exchange, SSE: Shanghai Stock Exchange

4. DATA, EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1. Data

This paper investigates the dynamic causal linkages in the daily returns amongst four conventional and three *Shariah* compliant indices in Asia region, namely, FTSE *Shariah* China Index, Asia *Shariah* Index, Malaysia EMAS *Shariah* Index, Shanghai Stock Exchange (SSE) Composite Index, Hang Seng Index, Nikkei 225 and KOSPI from 26 October 2007 to 1 March 2011 all obtained from Datastream at INCEIF (International Centre for Education in Islamic Finance). The sample of observation starts from October 2007 because the FTSE Islamic stock indices just started from the year 2007. The lack of dataset has shifted the focus of the study to the investment universe during both the U.S. subprime crisis as well as the Eurozone crisis in 2010. However, the readers should be warned that our findings cannot be generalized to the case of the other crisis periods such as the Asian crisis, Russian crisis, dotcom crisis, Enron's collapse, and so on. Also, the findings cannot be applied to the strategic allocation for the long term since the length of our observation is only five years, which is more appropriate for those investors with dynamic/tactical strategies. Refer to Table 2 for the complete list of indices.

We want to apply the standard cointegration, long run structural modeling (LRSM), vector error correction and variance decomposition techniques to address the issues of this paper.

4.2. Empirical Results and Discussions

In order to better estimate our results, we have included a dummy variable for financial crisis period from 2 June 2008 to end of 2008 in our analysis.

The traditional regression analysis usually assumes that all variables are stationary, and then the ordinary least square (OLS) method was applied. In the real world, the variables are usually non-stationary, if so, in this situation the OLS method cannot be applied. Therefore, we need to test each variable whether it is non-stationary or stationary by using Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test on each variable (in both level and differenced form).

Results in Table 3 tend to indicate that while all variables contain a deterministic trend, we cannot reject the presence of a unit root for any of the variables. Therefore, overall, we could not find evidence that the variables are not I(1) i.e., variables were found non-stationary at the "level" form but stationary after "first-differencing" (Δ).

Before proceeding with the cointegration tests, the authors had to determine the "optimal" order for the VAR, that is, the number of lags to be used. Results in Table 4 suggested that Akaike information criterion favors an order of 2 while Schwarz Bayesian criterion favors one lag.

In this analysis we employ the Engle-Granger (1987) Method and Johansen and Juselius (JJ) (1993) procedure for testing the presence of multiple cointegrating vectors. A study by Gonzalo (1994) provides empirical evidence to support the Johansen procedure's relatively superior performance over other methods for testing the order of cointegration rank. In order to derive estimates of the long run coefficients of the cointegrating vectors, however, we employ both the JJ MLE and the residual method developed by Engle-Granger (1987).

According to Engle-Granger Method, the Table 5 shows that the test statistic is greater than the critical value which means the variable is stationary; it implies that the gap between variables get narrower in the long run, therefore, there is a cointegration. However, this method could not give us all possible number of cointegration vectors. Therefore, we conducted JJ's method which gives us all possible co-movements.

The results based on Johansen's (Johansen, 1988; Johansen and Juselius, 1990) multivariate cointegration tests (Table 6) tend to suggest that these seven variables are bound together by long-run equilibrium relationship.

Evidence of cointegration among these four conventional and three *Shariah* compliant indices have several implications. First, it rules out "spurious" or "accidental" comovements and also implies at least a unique channel for Granger-causality to emerge (either unidirectional or bidirectional). Secondly, the actual number of cointegrating (or equilibrium) relationships found in Table 6 shows that maximal Eigenvalue indicates there is only one cointegrating vector, whereas the trace value indicates that there are three cointegrating vectors.

The authors tend to believe that there is one cointegrating vector based on intuition as long as the financial markets continue to become increasingly integrated internationally⁶; Based on the above statistical results as well as the purpose of this study regarding the comovement of the conventional and Islamic indices with the China *Shariah* compliant index, we shall assume that

6 International Dimensions to U.S. Monetary Policy, available at: <http://www.house.gov/jec/fed/intern.htm>

Table 3: Tests of the unit root hypothesis of individual stock price indices

Augmented Dickey-Fuller		
Variable	τ_u	τ_τ
Levels		
LCHISHA	-2.5767	-3.4173
LASIASHA	-1.5202	-3.4173
LMYEMAS	-1.4420	-3.4173
LCHISSE	-1.8560	-3.4173
LHANGSE	-2.1228	-3.4173
LNEKKEI	-1.9495	-3.4173
LKOSPI	-2.1181	-3.4173
First-differences (Δ)		
DCHISHA	-33.7200	-2.8653
DASIASHA	-18.5343	-2.8653
DMYEMAS	-26.6178	-2.8653
DCHISSE	-12.9218	-2.8653
DHANGSE	-31.3272	-2.8653
DNEKKEI	-15.1723	-2.8653
DKOSPI	-29.0941	-2.8653

Variables represent aggregate national stock market price indices for FTSE *Shariah* China Index (CHISHA), Asia *Shariah* Index (ASIASHA), FTSE Bursa Malaysia EMAS *Shariah* Index (MYEMAS), SSE Composite Index (CHISSE), Hang Seng Index (HANGSE), Nikkei 225 (NEKKEI) and KOSPI. The optional lag used for conducting the ADF test statistic was selected based on an optimal criteria (Akaike's final prediction error) using a range of lags

Table 4: Selection of optimal order

Optimal order	Choice criteria	
	AIC	SBC
6	17713.8	16963.5
5	17738.4	17104.8
4	17756.7	17239.9
3	17766.0	17366.0
2	17766.1**	17482.5
1	17732.2	17565.5*
0	17592.8	17542.8

**Indicates that optimal order based on AIC whereas *indicates optimal order based on SBC. The optimal lag structure for each of the VAR models was selected by maximizing the Akaike's information criteria. In the final analysis, we use a lag of 2. AIC: Akaike information criterion, VAR: Vector autoregression, SBC: Schwarz Bayesian criterion

there is one cointegrating vector, or long-run relationship. This answers the first question of our study.

This finding is consistent with the studies by Masih and Masih (2001), among others, who find that nine major international equity markets possess at least one cointegrating vector.

After that, we try to test the coefficients of this cointegrating vector against the theoretically expected coefficients. By doing this, we are able to compare our statistical findings with the theoretical (or intuitive) expectations. We normalize on our focused variable (index) based on the maximum likelihood estimates subject to exactly identifying, the China *Shariah* Index, by relying on the LRSM. We initially obtained the results in the Vector 1 of Table 7. We found three variables (indices) are significant – SSE Composite Index, Hang Seng Index and Nikkei 225 by calculating the t-ratios manually.

Furthermore, the authors decided to verify the significance of the variables by subjecting the estimates to over-identifying

Table 5: Engle–Granger Method

ADF		
Variable	τ_u	τ_τ
Residual	-5.5652 (AIC)	-2.8653
	-6.0232 (SBC)	-2.8653

In this method, we conducted ADF test for the variable “Residual”. AIC: Akaike information criterion, SBC: Schwarz Bayesian criterion, ADF: Augmented Dickey-Fuller

Table 6: Johansen’s test for multiple cointegrating vectors

Vector: (LCHISHA, LASIASHA, LMYEMAS, LCHISSE, LHANGSE, LNEKKEI, LKOSPI)		Critical values (95%)			
H_0 :	H_1 :	Eigenvalue	Trace	Eigenvalue	Trace
$r=0$	$r=1$	57.864**	186.930**	45.630	124.620
$r\leq 1$	$r=2$	38.984	129.066**	39.830	95.870
$r\leq 2$	$r=3$	32.256	85.081**	33.640	70.490
$r\leq 3$	$r=4$	24.659	42.826	27.420	48.880
$r\leq 4$	$r=5$	13.538	28.166	21.120	31.540

r indicates the number of cointegrating relationships. **Indicates significance at the 5% level

restrictions. We have done over-identifying restrictions in vector 2 of Table 7 for all the insignificant variables one by one and the results confirmed earlier findings that only SSE Composite Index, Hang Seng Index and Nikkei 225 were significant.

From the above analysis (Table 7), we concluded that SSE Composite Index, Hang Seng Index and Nikkei 225 may have greater impact on China *Shariah* compliant stock, whereas, none of *Shariah* compliant indices have any significant influence on this particular China *Shariah* compliant market. However, since all the variables were earlier found cointegrated (i.e., theoretically related), we proceed with all the variables for our Granger-causality test in VECM.

Cointegration test, however, cannot tell us the direction of Granger-causality among the variables as to which variable is leading and which variable is following (i.e., which variable is exogenous and which variable is endogenous). To identify the endogeneity/exogeneity of the variables, we applied the VECM technique. Information on the direction of Granger-causation can be particularly useful for the investors. Investors can better forecast or predict expected results of their investments by identifying which variable is exogenous and endogenous. Typically, an investor is interested in knowing which index is the exogenous, then the investor is able to monitor the performance of that index closely as it would have significant bearing on the expected movement of other indices in which the investor has invested. This exogenous index would be the index of interest to the investor.

Summary results, based on the VECM formulation, are presented in Table 8 and are of some interest. By looking at the significance or otherwise of the coefficient of the error-correction term⁷ (if 0.116

7 The coefficient is divided by the error term, if it is more than 2, that particular variable will be endogenous; otherwise, it will be exogenous.

Table 7: ML estimates subject to exactly and over-identifying restriction

Variable	Vector 1	Vector 2
FTSE <i>Shariah</i> China Index	1.0000 (*NONE*)	1.0000 (*NONE*)
Asia <i>Shariah</i> Index	0.32943 (0.23828)	0.00 (*NONE*)
FTSE Bursa Malaysia EMAS <i>Shariah</i> Index	-0.20375 (0.29043)	-0.15890 (0.31678)
SSE Composite Index	-0.37902** (0.10177)	-0.39708** (0.11048)
Hang Seng Index	-0.53405** (0.16506)	-0.53596** (0.17576)
Nikkei 225	-0.45189** (0.15059)	-0.27887** (0.087459)
KOSPI	-0.14197 (0.23507)	0.034049 (0.22418)
Log likelihood	17933.6	17932.7
Chi-square [P value]	None	1.8038 [0.179]

The output above shows the maximum likelihood estimates subject to exactly identifying (Vector 1) and over identifying (Vector 2) restrictions. Both results show that SSE Composite, Index, Hang Seng Index and Nikkei 225 are significant variables while the rest of them are insignificant based on t-ratio. In over-identifying restrictions, $P > 0.05$ which implies that H_0 is accepted. **Indicates the significant variables

Table 8: Vector error correction estimates

Dependent variable	China <i>Shariah</i>	Asia <i>Shariah</i>	Malaysia <i>Shariah</i>	China SSE	Hang Seng	Nikkei 225	KOSPI
DChina <i>Shariah</i> (1)	-0.307 (0.053)	0.018 (0.025)	0.013 (0.016)	0.035 (0.038)	0.037 (0.036)	0.012 (0.032)	0.048 (0.028)
DAsia <i>Shariah</i> (1)	-0.232 (0.196)	-0.307 (0.093)	0.083 (0.058)	-0.244 (0.140)	-0.333 (0.132)	-0.438 (0.118)	-0.069 (0.103)
DMalaysia <i>Shariah</i> (1)	0.244 (0.135)	0.073 (0.064)	0.025 (0.040)	-0.052 (0.096)	0.106 (0.091)	0.028 (0.081)	0.060 (0.071)
DChina SSE (1)	-0.142 (0.054)	-0.104 (0.025)	-0.013 (0.016)	0.044 (0.038)	-0.153 (0.036)	-0.131 (0.032)	-0.092 (0.028)
DHang Seng (1)	0.457 (0.097)	0.184 (0.046)	0.056 (0.029)	0.025 (0.069)	-0.040 (0.065)	0.262 (0.058)	0.042 (0.051)
DNikkei 225 (1)	-0.020 (0.123)	0.058 (0.058)	-0.107 (0.037)	-0.011 (0.088)	0.083 (0.083)	0.032 (0.074)	-0.053 (0.065)
DKOSPI (1)	0.231 (0.108)	0.058 (0.051)	0.019 (0.032)	0.116 (0.078)	0.226 (0.073)	0.159 (0.065)	0.028 (0.057)
ECM1 (-1)	-0.116* (0.028)	-0.008 (0.013)	0.010 (0.008)	0.039 (0.020)	-0.022 (0.019)	0.008 (0.017)	-0.006 (0.015)
Chi-sq	2.565[0.109]	0.220[0.639]	4.976[0.026]	0.051[0.821]	0.093[0.760]	3.573[0.059]	0.337[0.562]
SC (1)							
Chi-sq FF (1)	73.071[0.0]	0.041[0.840]	1.020[0.313]	1.611[0.204]	0.033[0.857]	2.236[0.135]	3.409[0.065]
Number of days to return to equilibrium	8.62	125.00	100.00	25.64	45.45	125.00	166.67

The above within-sample results tend to indicate that in the long term China *Shariah* is endogenous, whereas the rest of variables are exogenous

is divided by 0.028, it will be 4.14, the remaining variables will be < 2 , we conclude that the FTSE *Shariah* China Index variable is the only endogenous (i.e., dependent) variable whereas the rest of variables are exogenous. That tends to indicate that the FTSE *Shariah* China Index is the follower whereas the rest of the variables are the drivers. This finding is consistent with the studies by Masih and Masih (1997) among others, who find the relatively leading roles of all the established markets in driving the fluctuations in the Asian NIC stock markets.

The error-correction model also helps us distinguish between the short term and long term Granger-causality. The error-correction term stands for the long-term relations among the variables. The speed of short-run adjustment to bring about the long term equilibrium is given by the coefficient of the error-correction term. The results tend to indicate that if the long term equilibrium between the variables is disturbed by any shocks, they will take about between 8.62 and 166.67 days to restore the equilibrium. The FTSE *Shariah* China Index would get back to long-run

equilibrium within the shortest period, while the KOSPI would take the longest period.

Percentage of forecast variance explained by innovations in Table 9.

Although the error-correction model tends to indicate the endogeneity/exogeneity of a variable, we applied the Orthogonalized Variance Decomposition Analysis (Table 9) to identify the relative degree of endogeneity or exogeneity of the variables. The relative exogeneity or endogeneity of a variable can be determined by the proportion of the variance explained by its own past. The variable which is explained mostly by its own shocks (and not by others) is deemed to be the most exogenous of all.

Percentage of forecast variance explained by innovations in Table 10.

Firstly, it assumes that when a particular variable is shocked, all other variables are "switched off." Secondly, orthogonalized VDCs do not produce a unique solution. The generated numbers are

dependent upon the ordering of variables in the VAR. Typically, the first variable would report the highest percentage and thus would likely to be specified as the most exogenous variable. This is the case in our variables, where CHISHA, which appears first in the VAR order, is reported to be the most exogenous. In order to get more intuitive solutions, we have applied Generalized Variance Decomposition Analysis which does not impose such unrealistic assumptions of the orthogonalized VDCs.

In order to interpret the numbers generated by the Generalized Variance Decomposition Analysis, we need to conduct additional computations. If we add up all row numbers, it is more than 1.0, whereas in the case of orthogonalized VDCs it is only 1.0. For a given variable, at a specified horizon, we add up the numbers of

the given row and we then divide the number for that variable by the computed total. In this way, the numbers in a row will now add up to 1.0 or 100%. These results are shown in Table 10.

In Table 10, at the end of the forecast horizon number 30, we find that 39.62% of the forecast error variance of FTSE Bursa Malaysia EMAS *Shariah* Index is explained by its own shocks, and in the case of FTSE *Shariah* China Index that proportion is only 21.08%. But in the case of China SSE Composite Index, 49.66% of the forecast error variance of China SSE Composite Index is explained by its own shocks. That tends to indicate that China SSE Composite Index is the most exogenous of all. These out-of-sample variance forecast results given by the generalized variance decompositions are consistent with our earlier within-sample results given by the

Table 9: Orthogonalized variance decomposition analysis

Days	China <i>Shariah</i>	Asia <i>Shariah</i>	Malaysia <i>Shariah</i>	China SSE	Hang Seng	Nekkei 225	KOSPI
Δ China <i>Shariah</i>							
30	82.00	5.42	2.21	2.37	5.20	1.11	1.68
60	76.31	7.04	2.67	3.98	6.27	1.68	2.05
Δ Asia <i>Shariah</i>							
30	38.41	58.12	0.44	1.00	1.52	0.20	0.31
60	37.21	59.27	0.47	0.86	1.61	0.24	0.34
Δ Malaysia <i>Shariah</i>							
30	29.22	6.02	63.43	0.15	0.31	0.84	0.03
60	29.45	5.99	63.20	0.16	0.31	0.86	0.03
Δ China SSE							
30	39.19	1.50	0.09	58.64	0.08	0.40	0.10
60	42.70	1.74	0.07	54.78	0.11	0.52	0.08
Δ Hang Seng							
30	50.32	15.67	1.76	0.10	30.54	0.32	1.29
60	48.03	16.60	1.89	0.08	31.61	0.41	1.39
Δ Nekkei 225							
30	35.01	40.12	0.35	3.17	0.66	19.95	0.75
60	35.31	39.83	0.35	3.29	0.65	19.81	0.75
Δ KOSPI							
30	28.83	29.77	2.28	0.58	1.23	0.05	37.26
60	27.98	30.26	2.35	0.50	1.28	0.04	37.60

Table 10: Generalized variance decomposition analysis

Days	China <i>Shariah</i>	Asia <i>Shariah</i>	Malaysia <i>Shariah</i>	China SSE	Hang Seng	Nekkei 225	KOSPI
Δ China <i>Shariah</i>							
30	21.08	14.17	9.11	7.89	21.67	12.04	14.04
60	19.33	14.25	9.22	8.75	21.56	12.50	14.39
Δ Asia <i>Shariah</i>							
30	10.08	25.31	7.45	1.33	17.15	20.91	17.77
60	9.79	25.37	7.46	1.34	17.10	21.06	17.87
Δ Malaysia <i>Shariah</i>							
30	11.84	11.46	39.62	3.08	13.86	8.02	12.11
60	11.92	11.48	39.52	3.06	13.90	8.01	12.12
Δ China SSE							
30	20.74	4.84	4.23	49.66	13.18	2.35	4.99
60	22.05	5.02	4.34	47.54	13.58	2.38	5.10
Δ Hang Seng							
30	14.61	16.51	8.43	3.58	27.79	13.12	15.96
60	14.03	16.57	8.47	3.68	27.81	13.30	16.14
Δ Nekkei 225							
30	10.39	22.19	6.91	0.60	15.16	28.16	16.59
60	10.47	22.16	6.92	0.57	15.20	28.08	16.60
Δ KOSPI							
30	9.42	18.97	8.61	1.42	14.69	14.59	32.31
60	9.19	18.99	8.63	1.43	14.63	14.65	32.49

error-correction model that FTSE *Shariah* China Index is the only endogenous variable. The relative rank in exogeneity is exactly same as in 30 days and 60 days time horizon.

Now we obtained intuitively more sensible rank of the indices by relative exogeneity, as shown in the Table 11. The Generalized VDCs method gives us the relative exogeneity of the variables regardless of the order of the variables.

We have already identified that the generalized VDCs gives us more reliable information compared to the orthogonalized VDCs. So we just conducted generalized impulse response functions (IRFs) analysis in favor of its reliability. The generalized IRFs essentially produce the same information as the generalized VDCs, except that they can be presented in graphical form. We then applied the generalized IRFs (available upon request) and found that, consistent with the earlier results, the SSE Composite Index variable is the least sensitive to a one standard deviation shock to other variables while FTSE *Shariah* China Index is the most sensitive. These results are consistent with our earlier results in VECM and generalized VDCs.


Last but not the least, an application of the persistence profile analysis (Figure 1) indicates that if the whole cointegrating relationship is shocked, it will take about 20 periods/days for the equilibrium to be restored. The figure shows the persistence profile for the cointegrating equation of this study.

Our results hold several implications in the area of financial research concentrating on linkages among a set of conventional and Islamic equity markets, on both methodological and substantive levels. We briefly discuss the methodological implications of this analysis and also the implications that the derived results hold

Table 11: Relative exogeneity based on generalized variance decomposition analysis

No.	Variable relative exogeneity At horizon=30 and 60
1	LCHISSE
2	LMYEMAS
3	LKOSPI
4	LNEKEI
5	LHANGSE
6	LASIASHA
7	LCHISHA

Figure 1: Persistence profile analysis



for issues associated with: (i) Which indices does the *Shariah* China Index commove with? (ii) which indices is the *Shariah* China Index Granger-causally related with? and (iii) which major stock index was driving the selective conventional and *Shariah* compliant stock indices?

The results of cointegration and causal linkages among conventional and *Shariah* compliant stock indices provide some interesting evidence to compare with current and previous research. Foremost interest in much of the empirical international financial literature is the extent to which equity markets have become internationally integrated. We found that there is a long-run relationship among the conventional and *Shariah* compliant stock indices. When stock indices are evidenced to share a single common comovement or cointegration, it reveals that these stock indexes are perfectly correlated over the long-run and, therefore, benefits of international diversification will diminish or disappear over the long-time horizon. This finding is consistent with studies by Masih and Masih (2001) among others, who find that nine major international equity markets possess at least one cointegrating vector. Furthermore, based on evidence using similar techniques on a system of five OECD equity markets, Masih and Masih (1997) find evidence that the crash did not affect the number of common stochastic trends within this particular system.

By conducting LRSM, we concluded that China SSE Composite Index, Hang Seng Index and Nikkei 225 may have greater impact on China *Shariah* compliant stock. The implication of VECM is that, other than China *Shariah* compliant index, the rest of indices are exogenous variables which would receive market shocks initially and then transmit those shocks to other indices. An investor who invests in say, China *Shariah* compliant stock, would be interested to monitor movements in all the selected indices other than China *Shariah* compliant stock, as changes to those indices are likely to affect his investments in a significant way. To sum up, for an investor that is bound by *Shariah* compliant equity investment rules, both local (China) and regional or international equity markets have more bearing on China *Shariah* returns.

Another interesting statistical finding that comes out of Table 10 is that the China SSE Composite Index (rather than Japan or Hong Kong) appears to be the more dominant market leader in the long run. The role of China as the leader in the region has been somewhat controversial. Masih and Masih (2001) investigated as well as Ghosh et al. (1999) concluded that Japan is a market leader. We believe that the Chinese market requires some explanations. The Chinese stock market, as often measured by SSE composite index, has been the very best stock index within the world⁸. Girardin and Liu (2007) explored the potential time-varying cointegration among the stock exchanges of Shanghai, Hong Kong, and New York during 1992-2005. They found that China's stock market integration within Asia and even the world may have been affected, given its huge economic growth, its enhanced economic interactions with the world through FDI and imports/exports, and the fast development of its stock markets since the beginning of the 1990s. According to Huyghebaert and Wang (2010), China, longing for a greater role in the region and in the world, has played

8 www.stock-market-today.org

a crucial role in curbing this crisis. Firstly, China did not devalue its currency, which alleviated the burden for its Asian neighbors that were devaluing theirs, by allowing these countries to increase their competitive position in terms of FDI inflows and exports. Second, China strongly advocated substantial funding packages at low conditions for the afflicted Asian economies. China's sense of unity with its neighbors was further demonstrated by its willingness to contribute to these support packages.

Furthermore, the new Government in Taiwan also started to ease their past restrictions on Taiwanese investment in mainland China and vice versa China investment in Taiwan. These new policies will help boost both Taiwan and China Stock Markets. Last but not the least, China has leapfrogged Japan to become the world's second-largest economy, a title Japan has held for more than 40 years.

Another interesting finding is that FTSE Bursa Malaysia EMAS *Shariah* Index is ranked number two among the selected stock markets. Malaysia is a fast growing economy with liberal market policies aimed to promote trade, entrepreneurship, industrial and economic development. Initiatives undertaken by the government and the private sector have always been investor-centric and business-friendly with the aim of encouraging market development. All these factors have enabled Malaysia to grow into one of the most dynamic business environments in South East Asia. According to the Financial Development Report 2010 which is published by World Economic Forum, Malaysia's financial development overall index is ranked 17 in the world in 2010⁹.

Finally, FTSE *Shariah* China Index is the most followed in the ranking list. It is still largely influenced by the national conventional stock markets such as, China SSE Composite Index. The scope of Islamic financial services in China goes beyond those laid out in the country's commercial banking law and therefore the introduction of Islamic financial services will require special permissions from various departments¹⁰. To sum up, the lack of adoption of global regulatory, legal and *Shariah* practices may lead to the FTSE *Shariah* China Index being still the lagging index at the current stage.

As a conclusion, among the equity markets of China, Hong Kong, Japan, Malaysia and Korea, it is the China SSE conventional composite Index followed by Malaysia *Shariah* market that are driving all other markets including the *Shariah* compliant Chinese equity market.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS, LIMITATIONS AND FURTHER STUDIES

This paper investigates the dynamic causal linkages in the daily returns amongst four conventional and three *Shariah* compliant indices in the Asia region, namely, FTSE *Shariah* China Index, Asia *Shariah* Index, Malaysia EMAS *Shariah* Index, SSE China Composite Index, Hang Seng Index, Nikkei 225 and KOSPI covering the period from 26 October 2007 to 1 March 2011. In order to find

out the causal transmission patterns, we employ the standard time series methods of: (i) Unit root test, (ii) Optimal order of a VAR, (iii) Cointegration test, (iv) Long-run Structural modeling, (v) VECM (vi) Variance decompositions (vii) IRFs and (viii) Persistent Profiles. These methods essentially provide robust and very useful information to international financial analysts and investors. Moreover, we tried to support the mechanical statistical results with economic intuition and policy implications, unlike many applied papers that concentrate mainly on methodological innovations.

At a more substantive level, this study provides further evidence of significant interdependencies among the selective conventional and Islamic stock indices, and the leadership of the China conventional SSE market followed by the Malaysia *Shariah* market in driving all indices including the China *Shariah* Index.

5.1. Limitations and Further Studies

A useful extension of the paper would be to adjust for differences in relative market sizes. The selection of indices is somewhat arbitrary. Many other available indices could have been considered and might have produced additional or even different results. One could have used many other indices – the Straits Times Index, the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE 30), the Shanghai Composite, the Australian All Ordinaries, and many others. Other deficiencies of this analysis include the lack of longer period data of *Shariah* compliant stock indices. Our study covers only around three and a half years' data. A more detailed study focusing on these events and using data observed at longer period could provide a positive and practical step for future research in international finance and financial economics. Last but not the least, the theoretical relation and framework of this study also leave something to be desired. Underlying theories behind the empirical works are important or otherwise studies such as this may be attacked as purely an exercise of number crunching or statistical data mining. However, developing theories in such an area would be challenging as Islamic finance is at its initial stage of development. Nonetheless, efforts should be directed towards this end in the future.

REFERENCES

- Abdul, R., Azhar, Y., Mohd, A., Mohd, N., Mohd, H. (2010), Islamic norms for stock screening: A comparison between the Kuala Lumpur stock exchange Islamic Index and the Dow Jones Islamic market index. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 3(3), 228-240.
- Chapra, M. (2008), The global financial crisis: Can Islamic finance help minimize the severity and frequency of such a crisis in the future? Islamic Development Bank, Forum on the Global Financial Crisis.
- Chapra, M., Ebrahim, M.S., Mirakhor, A., Siddiqi, M.N. (2008), Discussion forum: Financial crisis from Islamic perspectives. *Journal of Economics and Management*, 16, 111-138.
- Chowdhry, A.R. (1994), Stock market interdependencies: Evidence from the Asian NIEs. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 16(4), 629-651.
- Chowdhry, T., Lu, L., Peng, K. (2007), Common stochastic trends among far Eastern stock prices: Effects of Asian financial crisis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 16(3), 242-261.
- Chung, P.J., Liu, D.J. (1994), Common stochastic trends in Pacific Rim stock markets. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 34, 241-259.

⁹ www.mife.com

¹⁰ ribh.wordpress.com/2009/08/27/islamic-finance-reaches-china/

- Corhay, A., Tourani, R.A., Urbain, J.P. (1995), Long run behaviour of Pacific-Basin stock prices. *Applied Financial Economics*, 5, 11-18.
- Dekker, A., Sen, K., Young, M. (2001), Equity market in the Asia Pacific region: A comparison of the orthogonalized and generalized VAR approaches. *Global Finance Journal*, 12, 1-33.
- Derigs, U., Marzban, S. (2008), Review and analysis of current *Shariah*-compliant equity screening practices. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 1(4), 285-303.
- Engle, R., Granger, C. (1987), Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation and testing. *Econometrica*, 55, 251-276.
- Errunza, V., Losq, E. (1985), International asset pricing under mild segmentation: Theory and test. *The Journal of Finance*, 40(1), 105-124.
- Ghosh, A., Saidi, R., Johnson, K. (1999), Who moves the Asia-Pacific stock markets – US or Japan? Empirical evidence based on the theory of cointegration. *Financial Review*, 34, 159-170.
- Girardin, E., Liu, Z. (2007), The financial integration of China: New evidence on temporally aggregated data for the A-share market. *China Economic Review*, 18(3), 354-371.
- Gonzalo, J. (1994), Five alternative methods of estimating long run equilibrium relationships. *Journal of Econometrics*, 60, 203-233.
- Hietala, P.T. (1989), Asset pricing in partially segmented markets: Evidence from the Finnish market. *The Journal of Finance*, 44(3), 697-718.
- Huyghebaert, N., Wang, L. (2010), The co-movement of stock markets in East Asia did the 1997-1998 Asian financial crisis really strengthen stock market integration? *China Economic Review*, 21, 98-112.
- Iqbal, Z., Mirakhor, A. (2007), *An Introduction to Islamic Finance: Theory and Practice*. Singapore: John Wiley and Sons.
- Johansen, S. (1988), Statistical analysis of cointegration vectors. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 12, 231-254.
- Johansen, S., Juselius, K. (1990), Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration with applications to money demand. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 52, 169-210.
- Kasa, K. (1992), Common stochastic trends in international stock markets. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 29, 95-124.
- Masih, A.M.M., Masih, R. (2001), Long and short term dynamic causal transmission amongst international stock markets. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 20(4), 563-587.
- Masih, A.M.M., Masih, R. (1997), A comparative analysis of the propagation of stock market fluctuations in alternative models of dynamic causal linkages. *Applied Financial Economics*, 7, 59-74.
- Masih, A.M.M., Masih, R. (1999), Are Asian stock market fluctuations due mainly to intra-regional contagion effects? Evidence based on Asian emerging stock markets. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 7, 251-282.
- Ratanapakorn, O., Sharma, S.C. (2002), Interrelationships among regional stock indices. *Review of Financial Economics*, 11, 91-108.
- Saiti, B., Bacha, O.I., Masih, M. (2014), The diversification benefits from Islamic investment during the financial turmoil: The case for the US-based equity investors. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 14(4), 196-211.
- Saiti, B., Bacha, O.I., Masih, M. (2016), Testing the conventional and Islamic financial market contagion: Evidence from wavelet analysis. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 52(8), 1832-1849.
- Saiti, B., Masih, A.M.M., Dewandaru, G. (2013), A wavelet-based approach to testing Shari' ah compliant stock market contagion: Evidence from the ASEAN countries. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 7(7), 268-280.
- Taylor, M., Tonks, I. (1989), The internationalization of stock markets and the abolition of U.K. Exchange control. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 71, 332-336.
- Wilson, R. (2004), Screening criteria for Islamic equity funds. In: Jaffer, S., editor. *Islamic Asset Management: Forming the Future for Shariah-compliant Investment Strategies*. London: Euromoney Institutional Investor PLC.
- Yang, J., Kolari, J., Min, I. (2003), Stock market integration and financial crises: The case of Asia. *Applied Financial Economics*, 13, 477-486.