

Scopus

Document details

< Back to results | 1 of 1

[Export](#) [Download](#) [Print](#) [E-mail](#) [Save to PDF](#) [Add to List](#) [More... >](#)
[Full Text](#)[View at Publisher](#)

Zygon

Volume 49, Issue 2, June 2014, Pages 396-413

Reproductive technology: A critical analysis of theological responses in christianity and islam (Article)

Ishak, M.S.B.^a [✉](#) Haneef, S.S.S.^b [✉](#)^aInternational Islamic University Malaysia-Department of General Studies, Kulliyah of Islamic Revealed Knowledge and Human Sciences Jalan Gombak, 53100 Kuala Lumpur, Selangor 53100, Malaysia^bInternational Islamic University Malaysia-Department of Fiqh and Usul Fiqh, Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract

[View references \(44\)](#)

Reproductive medical technology has revolutionized the natural order of human procreation. Accordingly, some have celebrated its advent as a new and liberating determinant of kinship at the global level and advocate it as a right to reproductive health while others have frowned upon it as a vehicle for guiltless exchange of sexual fluid and commodification of human gametes. Religious voices from both Christianity and Islam range from unthinking adoption to restrictive use. While utilizing this technology to enable the married couple to have children through the use of their own sexual material is welcome, the use of third party, surrogacy, and reproductive cloning are not in keeping with the sacrosanct principles of kinship, procreation through licit sexual intercourse, and social cohesiveness for building a cohesive family as uphold by both Christianity and Islam. To examine such larger issues emanating from these new ways of human procreation, beyond the question of legality, is a point which legal scholars in both Christianity and Islam, when issuing religious decrees, have not anticipated sufficiently. The article proposes to be an attempt to that end through a qualitative critical content analysis of selected literature written on the subject. © 2014 by the Joint Publication Board of Zygon.

Author keywords

Christian paradigm Critical analysis Kinship Muslim family law Reproductive technology

ISSN: 05912385

Source Type: Journal

Original language: English

DOI: 10.1111/zygo.12097

Document Type: Article

Publisher: Blackwell Publishing Ltd

References (44)

[View in search results format >](#)☐ All[Export](#)[Print](#)[E-mail](#)[Save to PDF](#)[Create bibliography](#)☐ 1

Al-Aqeel, A.I.

n.d. Ethical Guidelines and Genomics: An Islamic Perspective. Available at . Retrieved July 5, 2011.

<http://ambassadors.net/archives/issue20/selectedstudy11.htm>☐ 2

Al-Bukhari, M.i.l.

(2003) *Sahih al-Bukhari*, 12.

Vol. . Beirut: Dar al-Fikr.

Metrics

0 Citations in Scopus

0 Field-Weighted Citation Impact

PlumX Metrics 

Usage, Captures, Mentions, Social Media and Citations beyond Scopus.

Cited by 0 documents

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

[Set citation alert >](#)[Set citation feed >](#)

Related documents

Maintaining the somatic/germ-line distinction: Some ethical drawbacks

Moseley, R.
(1991) *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy (United Kingdom)*

Life's beginnings and end with reference to Fethullah Gülen

Albayrak, I.
(2013) *Islamic Quarterly*

Commodification or Compensation: A Reply to Ketchum

MALM, H.M.
(1989) *Hypatia*

View all related documents based on references

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

[Authors >](#) [Keywords >](#)

-
- ☐ 3 Al-Qaradaghi, M.A.
(2006) *Fiqh al-Qadaya al-Tibbi al-Muasirah*
Beirut: Dar al-Basháir al-Islamiyyah.
-
- ☐ 4 Al-Qaradawi, Y.
(1995) *Fatawa Múasirah*
Cairo: Dar al-Qalam.
-
- ☐ 5 Al-Zuhayli, W.
(1985) *Al-Fiqh al-Islam wa Adillatuhu*, 5.
Vol. . Beirut: Dar al-Fikr.
-
- ☐ 6 Anderson, E.S.
Is women's labor a commodity?

(1990) *Philosophy & public affairs*, 19 (1), pp. 71-92. Cited 95 times.
-
- ☐ 7 Anees, M.A.
(1989) *Islam and Biological Futures*. Cited 9 times.
London: Mansell.
-
- ☐ 8 Arif, A.A.
Al-Umm al-Badilah
(2006) *Dirasat Fiqhiyah fi Qadaya Tibbiyyah Múasirah*, pp. 221-225.
In, ed. Sulayman Al-Ashqar, Muhamad Uthman Bashir, Abd. Al-Nasir Al-Basal, Ali Arif Arif, and Abbas Al-Yasir, Vol. 3, Amman, Jordan: Dar al-Nafáis.
-
- ☐ 9 Aronson, D.D.
Reproductive Technologies Are a Valid Medical Treatment.
(2000) *Medical Ethics*, pp. 97-101.
In, ed. James D. Torr, Bruno Leone, Bonnie Szumski, and David M. Haugen, San Diego, CA: Greenhaven Press.
-
- ☐ 10 Bulletti, C., Antonio, P., Caterina, P., Angelica, C., Andrea, B., Dominique de, Z.
(2011)
The Artificial Womb. Available at. Retrieved August 17, 2013.
http://www.nexthumanproject.com/references/Artificial_Wombs_NY_Academy_Sciences.pdf
-
- ☐ 11 Cahill, L.S.
What Is the 'Nature' of the Unity of Sex, Love and Procreation?
(1990) *Gift of Life: Catholic Scholars Respond to the Vatican Instruction*, pp. 137-148. Cited 2 times.
In, ed. Edmund D. Pellegrino, John Collins Harvey, and John P. Langan, Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
-