LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 18 NUMBER 2, 2010



IIUM Press

THE APPLICABILITY OF THE UŞŪL AL-FIQH PRINCIPLE "ISTIŞHĀB" TO THE PRESUMPTION OF DEATH OF A MISSING PERSON IN ISLAMIC LAW OF SUCCESSION AND MALAYSIAN LAW

Mohamad Asmadi Abdullah*

ABSTRACT

The rights of inheritance do not arise until and unless the death of the praepositus and the survival of legal heirs are legally established. These two conditions may be easy to ascertain, but are in fact problematic when dealing with the case of uncertainty of death such as a missing person, because the death is not known. The ascertainment of death and the survival of legal heirs in a missing person case is extremely important because it determines whether any rights of inheritance exist or not. This article seeks to examine the principle of Usul al-Figh "Istishab" and the approaches undertaken by Muslim scholars to ascertain the related rights of succession involving missing persons. The article analyzes the relevant legal aspects of the applicable laws in Malaysia pertaining to the succession involving a missing person either as a praepositus or a legal heir. It also examines the jurisdictional conflict between the civil

Assistant Professor, Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws, International Islamic University Malaysia.

court and the Syariah court in Malaysia pertaining to the presumption of missing person's death in relation to Islamic law of succession.

Keywords: Istiṣḥāb, Uṣūl al-Fiqh, Islamic Law of Succession, Farā'iḍ, Islamic Wealth Management, Islamic Law of Inheritance, Rights of a Missing Person (al-Mafqūd) in Inheritance, Islamic Jurisprudence.

INTRODUCTION

For Muslims, distributing their wealth upon death following the prescribed law i.e. the *farā'id* is a religious obligation. Before the distribution takes place, determining the rightful recipients who shall inherit the wealth is equally important. In order to ascertain the rightful legal heir, it is necessary that the pillars, conditions, causes and impediments of succession are taken into consideration. In other words, the distribution of property according to the *farā'id* system is based on comprehensive principles, merely to determine the rightful recipient as laid down by the Sharī°ah.

Prior to the distribution, the death of the praepositus must be legally established. The missing person's case as far as inheritance is concerned, is an example of the relevance of the *Uṣūl al-Fiqh* principle "*Istiṣḥāb*" to ascertain the rightful recipient. In normal circumstances, one's death is established by the testimony of at least two trustworthy witnesses who have witnessed the event of death. However, in the missing person's case, the appropriate course of action is to refer the matter to a court of law, which after due investigation, may pronounce death. This pronouncement of the court is valid from the Islamic point of view as long as it is based on the recognized principle.

The same principle applies to the determination of the survival of legal heirs. The whole estate can simply be distributed if there is no uncertainty regarding the death of a missing legal heir. In this case, it is important to establish that the heir is alive at the time of the deceased's death in order to realize his right of succession. However, in the absence

Khalīfah, Muḥammad Ṭāhā Abū al-cUlā, Aḥkām al-Mawārīth 1400 Mas 'alah Mīrāthiyyah, al-Qāhirah: Dār al-Salām, n.d., p. 8.

of any legally acceptable evidence of his survival, the pronouncement of his death by the court will resolve the problem.

THE GENERAL CONCEPT OF THE ISLAMIC LAW OF SUCCESSION

In Arabic, the Islamic law of succession is commonly known as al-farā'id, which literally means fixed portions. Faraḍa is the root word of farā'id and has several meanings as applied in the Qur'ān. However, in relation to the present subject, the term denotes al-taqdīr, which literally means determination as applied in the Qur'anic verse al-Baqarah (2):237. Technically, according to al-Sharbīnī, the term denotes the quantum of shares allotted to legal heirs as determined by the Sharīrah. Ibn 'Ābidīn explains that the knowledge of farā'iḍ is to do with the principles regarding determining the entitled legal heirs, their quantum of shares, the impediments and the causes of inheritance, the exclusions from inheritance and the classification of the legal heirs.

As a divine law, its primary or epistemological sources are the Qur'ān and the Sunnah of the Prophet Muḥammad. The principles and conditions regarding the devolution of a deceased Muslim's estate are deduced from the above sources. The Qur'ān and the Sunnah prescribe in detail the entitlement and the quantum of shares of each legal heir. There are three Qur'anic verses, known as the verses of inheritance that elucidate in detail matters of inheritance, namely sūrah al-Nisā'

First Encyclopedia of Islam 1913-1936, New York: Leiden, Brill, E.J., 1965, vol. iii, p. 783. See also Musṭafā, Ibrāhīm, et.al., al-Mu^c jam al-Wasīt, Istanbul: Al-Maktabah al-Islāmiyyah, n.d., p. 683.

The Qur'ān, al-Baqarah (2): 237; "And if you have divorced them before you have touched (had a sexual relation with) them, and you have fixed (faradtum) unto them the mahr (bridal-money given by the husband to the wife at the time of marriage)..."

⁴ Al-Sharbīnī, Muḥammad al-Khaṭīb, *Mughnī al-Muḥtāj*, Egypt: Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī, 1958, vol.3, p.2.

Ibn ʿĀbidīn, *Ḥāshiyah Rad al-Mukhtār ʿalā Dur al-Mukhtār*, 3rd edition, Bākjistān: Maktabah Rasyīdiyyah Ku'tah, vol. 5, 1404H, p. 534.

(4): 11, 12 and 176. In summary, these verses explain and prescribe entitled legal heirs, their respective portions of shares, the principle of 2:1 ratio between male and female legal heirs and the need to settle the rights attached to the estate prior to the distribution. It is a distinctive feature of this branch of Islamic law that in the main, the very detailed explanation and prescription of the principles are contained in the Qur'ān, as compared to other branches of Islamic law. Referring to this subject, Coulson states: "nowhere is the fundamental Islamic ideology of law as the manifestation of the divine will more clearly demonstrated than in the laws of inheritance."

The Sunnah elaborates further the general inheritance rules, and in some cases introduces new principles that are not expressly mentioned in the Qur'ān. For instance, the rule of priority in succession, the principle of the completion of 2/3 between a daughter and son's daughters, the entitlement of the maternal grandmother and the entitlement of the bayt al-māl (the state treasury) to the estate.

Apart from the Qur'an and the Sunnah, the inheritance law draws its epistemological sources from ijmā^c or the consensus of Muslim jurists, and individual ijtihāds or reasonings. These two sources are applicable in situations where no clear injunction is found in the primary

Coulson, N.J., Succession in the Muslim Family, London: Cambridge University Press, 1971, p. 3.

The hadīth "Gives the farā'iḍ (the shares of the inheritance that are prescribed in the Qur'ān) to those who are entitled to receive it. Then whatever remains, should be given to the closest male relative of the deceased." See Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, translated by Khān, Muḥammad Muḥsin, The Translation of the Meanings of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Riyāḍ: Dār al-Salām, 1997, vol. 8, p. 385.

The hadīth "The Prophet applied the principle of the completion of the maximum 2/3; daughter is entitled to 1/2 and son's daughter is entitled to 1/6." See Khān, Muḥammad Muḥsin, The Translation of Ṣahīḥ al-Bukhārī, vol. 8, p. 387.

The *hadīth* "The Prophet gave portion 1/6 to the grandmother in the absence of mother." See Khān, p. 378.

The *hadīth* "I am the legal heir of the person who dies without any legal heir." This implies that the property would be inherited by the *bayt al-māl* because the Prophet does not inherit anything for himself but for the community of Muslim. See al-Sharbīnī, *Mughnī al-Muḥtāj*, p. 4-5.

sources. In this case, Muslim jurists perform *ijtihād*, which is clearly based on the principles deduced from the Qur'ān and the *Sunnah*, in order to solve newly arising problems encountered by Muslims. Some examples are the *ijtihād* of 'Umar on the entitlement of paternal grandmothers, and in the case of *al-gharāwayn*, the interpretation of Abū Bakr on the meaning of *al-kalālah* and the principles of *al-mushārakah* by 'Alī, Zayd and Ibn 'Abbās.'

The entitlement of each recipient to the estate is based on their legitimate relationships with the deceased. Islamic law has established specific criteria that must be met for a relationship to be legitimate and to enable the survivor to inherit from the deceased. From the facts regarding the entitlement of legal heirs, it is understood that primarily, the marriage relationship, the blood relationship and the religion-based relationship are the relationships that are recognized by Islamic law to be the basis of inheritance. 12 These relationships are important in determining the legal heirs of the deceased. For instance, a divorced wife should not be simply dismissed from inheritance because of the divorce. The nature of the divorce, either revocable or irrevocable, should be taken into account because this determines whether the marriage relationship remains or has ceased to exist. If a husband dies within the period of ciddah (waiting) of his divorced wife, the latter is still entitled on the grounds that the marriage relationship between them remains in existence, albeit constructively.

Another example is in the case of a blood relationship; the issue of the legitimacy of birth from the perspective of Islamic law is vital and determines the legal paternity of a child. This means that a child born within the period of less than 6 months of a marriage contract, or of the

[°]Aṭiyyah, Muḥammad Ṭāhā Abū al-Ḥāj, Dawābiṭ al-Irth fī al-Tashrī al-Islāmī. 3rd edition, n.p.: Dār al-Thaqāfah al-ʿArabiyyah, 1981, p. 26. See also Barrāj, Jumʿah Muḥammad, Aḥkām al-Mawārīth fī al-SharīFah al-Islāmiyyah, ʿAmmān: Dār al-Fikr li al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī¸, 1981, pp. 35.

See al-Sharbīnī, p. 4. Besides the three criteria, there are another two, namely relationships arising from the emancipation of a slave and from an oath of allegiance. The former has become irrelevant nowadays, whereas the latter is still a practice among the Arabs, but seems irrelevant in Malaysia where no cases have been reported.

consummation of the marriage, is not entitled to inherit his or her biological father's estate on the ground that no legitimate blood relationship existed between them.

THE PRINCIPLE OF ISTIȘḤĀB AS A SOURCE OF ISLAMIC LAW

The principle of *istishāb* is applicable to uphold the status quo due to the non-existence of new proof. It is a matter of presumption and applying it means that the existing ruling remains effective due to the unavailability of newly contrary evidence. In Islamic law, a ruling is based on facts and evidences and hence, as a result of the absence of newly different facts and evidences, the existing ruling remains valid and no change of ruling would take place.¹³

The term *istishāb* originates from the root word *sahaba* which means to accompany or to escort. The word *istaṣḥaba* according to al-Zuḥaylī, literally implies a request of companionship.\(^1\) It is observed that there exists connection between the literal and technical meanings. Al-Sarakhsī has defined it technically to mean the presumption of continuance of an earlier rule or its continued absence.\(^1\) Therefore the connection between the two lies on the fact that the old circumstance continues or accompanies the present without any change as far as the ruling is concerned. In other words, the original ruling for the old circumstance continues or accompanies the present to stay valid, due to the absence of any change to the present circumstance. According to Kamali, *istiṣḥāb* denotes a rational proof which may be employed in the absence of other indications; specifically, those facts, or rules of law and reason, whose existence or non-existence had been proven in the past

and which are presumed to remain so for lack of evidence to establish any change. 16

The principle of *istishāb* is deduced from some Qur'anic verses¹⁷ which state a general guideline that everything in the universe is created for mankind and hence, mankind is allowed to use it unless there is evidence to the contrary i.e. mankind is not allowed to use. According to Sha'bān, the verses show that Allah s.w.t has subjected everything in the universe to mankind and this indicates that it is permissible for mankind to use and to take everything in the universe. Al-Zuḥaylī explains that the first verse indicates that the original ruling of anything that benefits mankind is permissible unless there is other *dalīl* indicating the contrary. ¹⁹

Furthermore, there is a *hadīth* of the Prophet s.a.w with regard to the question of validity of *wuḍū*, when one is uncertain whether his *wuḍū*, is still valid or has been vitiated. The Prophet (p.b.u.h) advised "Do not move away until you hear a sound or you smell some gas." The *hadīth* shows that the original ruling remains effective because a newly different fact cannot be established. These proof from the Qur'ān and the *hadīth* show the concept of *istiṣḥāb* principle in preserving the original ruling unless and until any established evidence to the contrary is available. ²¹

The principle is applicable as the last dalīl in cases in which no ruling is found in any other evidence. Al-Khawarizmī states that in issuing a fatwa, a muftī can apply istiṣḥāb only after he could not find

See al-Khuḍarī, Sheikh Muḥammad, *Uṣūl al-Fiqh*, Beirut: Dār al-Fikr, 1988, p. 356.

Muşţafă, al-Mufjam al-Wasīt, p. 502 and al-Zuḥaylī, Wahbah, Uşūl al-Figh al-Islāmī, Beirut: Dār al-Fikr al-Mufaşir, 1996, vol. 2, p. 859.

See Nyazee, Imran Ahsan Khan, Islamic Jurisprudence (Uṣūl al-Fiqh),
Islamabad: The International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2000, p.
236

Kamali, Mohammad Hashim, Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence, 2nd revised ed., Malaysia: Ilmiah Publisher Sdn. Bhd., 2004, p. 297.

See al-Qur'an, 2: 29 to the effect "He it is Who created for you all that is on earth" and al-Qur'an, 45: 13 to the effect "And He has subjected to you all that is in the heavens and all that is in the earth."

Sha'bān, Zakiy al-Dīn, *Usūl al-Fiqh al-Islāmī*, Kuwait: Faculty of Laws and Sharī'ah, University of Kuwait, n.d., p. 207.

Al-Zuhaylī, Wahbah, Usūl al-Fiqh al-Islāmī, vol. 2, pp. 861 & 862.

Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, filām al-Muwaqqi'īn an Rabb al-Alamīn, Beirut: Dār al-Jayl, n.d., vol. 1, p. 340.

See al-Ghazāli, Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad bin Muḥammad bin Muḥammad.

See al-Ghazāli, Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad bin Muḥammad, al-Mustasfā min 'sllm al-Uṣūl, 1" ed., Beirut: Dār al-Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī, 1997, vol. 1, p. 197.

Zaydān, °Abd al-Karīm, *al-Madkhal li Dirāsah al-SharFah al-Islāmiyyah*, Beirut: Mu'assasah al-Risālah, 2001, p. 179.

the ruling in the Qur'ān, the Sunnah, the *ijmā* and the *qiyās*. ²³ Al-Zuḥaylī further explains that it is unanimously agreed by Muslim scholars that istiṣḥāb cannot be applied when the ruling is established by other Sharī applying the above m case where a missing evidence. ²⁴ If there is a conflict between *istiṣḥāb* and other evidences, the latter takes priority. ²⁵ This is because the principle lies on mere presumptions and probabilities whereas other evidences are based on facts.

ISTISHĀB IN THE CASE OF A MISSING PERSON AND ISSUES OF SUCCESSION

According to al-Zuḥaylī, a missing person or in Arabic *al-mafqīād* is a person who has been found missing or who has disappeared from the area where he lives for a certain period and his life and death are unknown. ²⁶ Al-Bahūtī provides a general understanding of the nature of a missing person as he defines it as a person whose information about his life and death are unknown. ²⁷ The reason for the disappearance could be earthquake, shipwreck, calamity, war, kidnapping, loss or epidemic.

The relevant Islamic legal maxim that could be applied for the missing person case is "the original state of a thing remains effective until there is evidence to the contrary." Applying this maxim, a missing person is deemed alive because there is no evidence showing his death.

Al-Zuḥaylī, Uṣūl al-Fiqh al-Islāmī vol.2, p. 860

Ibid., p. 860.

Kamali, Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence, p. 230.

Al-Zuḥaylī, Uşūl al-Fiqh al-Islāmī, vo. 2, p. 868. See also Zahrah, Muḥammad Abū, Aḥkām al-Tarikāt wa al-Mawārīth, Kaherah: Dār al-Fikr al-Sarabī, n.d., p. 220.

Al-Bahūtī, Manşūr bin Yūnus bin Idris, Kashshāf al-Qinā* can Matn al-Iqnā* li al-Ḥajjāwī, 1* Edition, Beirut: Dār al-Ḥyā* al-Turāth al-Arabī, vol.4, p. 449.

Zaydān, 'Abd al-Karīm, al-Madkhal li Dirāsah al-Sharī al-Islāmiyyah, p.178. Another three Islamic legal maxims that are applicable in applying the principle Istishāb are the original rule for all things is permissible, there is no presumption of liability against anyone and certainty does not give way to doubt. See also Nyazce, Islamic Jurisprudence, p. 237.

Therefore, his property should not be distributed to his legal heirs because applying the above maxim, he is deemed alive. The same applies to the case where a missing person is among the legal heirs of a praepositus. The estate should not be distributed by simply ignoring his survival because the maxim states that he is presumed alive.

However, a question arises as to how long the presumption of a missing person being alive remains effective if the evidence of his death or life is yet to be found. Muslim scholars take into consideration the life span of a man in order to determine the death of the missing person if evidence of his death or life could not be established. ²⁹ This is based on the argument that human life cannot continue indefinitely. On this basis, determining the life-span of a man is significant because a judicial decree of his death could be obtained when his life-span has passed. ³⁰

Muslim scholars differ in determining the life-span of a man because the life-span itself actually depends on the natural surroundings of the area in which the person lives. Accordingly, Abū Ḥanīfah puts it as one hundred and twenty years and Abū Yūsuf, hundred years. ³¹ Some other Ḥanaīfa, prefer it to be decided by the Ruler using his discretion which should be based on his *ijtihād* by looking at the people of the missing person generation and by considering the missing person as the last person of that generation who is still alive. ³²

Imam Malik on the other hand put it as four years based on the opinion of 'Umar al-Khaṭṭāb which was reported in al-Muwaṭṭa' by Saʿīd ibn Musayyab from 'Umar that he said to the effect: "Any woman whose husband is missing and she does not know his whereabouts, needs to wait until four years and subsequently she needs to observe the 'iddah'

Coulson, N.J., Succession in the Muslim Family, London: Cambridge University Press, 1971, p. 196.

Al-Kāsānī, Imām °Alā' al-Dīn Abī Bakr ibn Mas'ūd, Badā 'r al-Şanā 'r fī Tartīb al-Sharā'r, edited by Sheikh 'Alī Muḥammad Mu'awwaḍ and Sheikh 'Adil Aḥmad 'Abd al-Mujawwid, vol. 8, Beirut Dār al-Kitāb al-Ilmiyyah, 1997, p. 316.

'Atiyyah, p. 712-713

Al-Kalwadhānī, Najm al-Hudā Abī al-Khaṭṭāb Maḥfūdz bin Aḥmad bin al-Ḥasan , al-Tahdhīb fī 'slm al-Farā' 'iḍ wa al-Ḥaṣāyā, edited by al-Khawlī, Muḥammad Aḥmad, Riyāḍ: Maktabah al-'Abīkān, 1995, pp. 257-263.

period of four months and ten days before she can marry."¹³ In fact, the Mālikīs state that after four years a husband is found missing, his wife is allowed to apply for the decree of his death from the court. ¹⁴ It is argued that four years is given because the disappearance of her husband causes hardship to her as far as her financial support and maintenance are concerned. ¹⁵ Therefore, when the decree of death is issued, the wife is allowed to remarry.

According to the Shāfīrīs, applying istiṣhāb means that the missing person is deemed alive and consequently his property would not be distributed unless and until the evidence of his death is found. In the absence of such evidence and after the lapse of a length of time which would give rise to a strong belief that no one of the missing person's age would be alive, the Ruler is allowed to perform ijtihād and accordingly issue a decree of his death. If no other words, the matter is decided by the ijtihād of the Ruler and they do not stipulate any duration of time as a life span to presume the death of the missing person.

To the Hanbalīs, it is ninety years and according to another opinion, it is a matter of the *ijtihād* of the Ruler.³⁸ If a person is found missing in the circumstance that raises strong probability of his death, such as in war, epidemic, building collapse, earthquake or shipwreck, the Hanbalīs put four years of missing as the limit for the court to declare the death of the person.³⁹ However, prior to that, the necessary search and

enquiry of the missing person's status must be done. If it fails to provide any indication that he is still alive, the court may therefore proceed to issue a decree of his death. If he is found missing in the situation which does not apprehend his death, according to another opinion, the matter is left upon the *ijithād* of the Ruler on the passage of time after which none of the men of his age (contemporaries) is alive. If

According to al-Kalwadhānī, it is the opinion of Abū Ḥanīfah, Imām Mālik and Imām al-Shāfīʿī that the matter is left upon the *ijtihād* of the Ruler who must be guided by the fact that no man of his similar condition is alive. ⁴² Furthermore, Badrān quoted the opinion of al-Zaylāʿī who states that the matter should be left to the Ruler to decide after necessary enquiries, searches, investigations and *ijtihād* have been carried out and the decision must serve the *maṣlaḥah* protected by the Sharīʿah. ⁴³

DETERMINATION OF THE SHARES OF LEGAL HEIRS INVOLVING A MISSING PERSON

One of the conditions of succession is the undisputed survival of legal heirs at the time the praepositus dies.⁴⁴ In this regard, if one of the legal heirs is a person who is found missing prior to the praepositus' death, neither the estate would be distributed to him nor is he excluded from inheritance. This is because his survival is not definite. In fact, his survival is based on the presumption of continuity or *istiṣḥāb* which is not absolute.

40

36

See Dawūd, Ahmad Muhammad 'Alī, al-Ḥuqūq al-Mutaʿalliqah bi al-Tarikah bayn al-Fiqh wa al-Qānūn, 'Ammān: Dār al-Thaqāfah, p. 534. See also al-Khuḍarī, Aḥmad Kāmil, al-Mawārīth al-Islāmiyyah, 2nd edition, Egypt: Maṭbaʿah al-Tawakkal, 1950, pg. 18.

This is based on the opinion of 'Umar al-Khaṭṭāb who ordered that a woman whose husband was possessed by an evil spirit to wait for 4 years from the moment she reported her case to him. See Barrāj, p. 661. 'Aṭiyyah, pp. 713-716.

Al-Sharbīnī, vol. 4, p. 46.

See al-Ḥaṣrī, Ahmad, al-Tarikāt wa al-Waṣāyā fī al-Fiqh al-Islāmī, 'Ammān: Maktabah al-Aqṣā, 1972, p. 192.

Al-Bahūtī, Kashshāf al-Qinār 'can Matn al-Iqnār li al-Ḥajjāwī, p. 449. This is based on the hadīth to the effect "the ages of my people are between 70 and 90 years old." See Ibn Qudāmah, al-Mughnī wa al-Sharḥ al-Kabīr 'calā Matn al-Muqnīr', p. 208.

Al-Bahūtī, p. 449 and al-Khuḍarī, al-Mawārīth al-Islāmiyyah.

See Ḥussein, Aḥmad Farrāj, Nizām al-Irih fī al-Tashr‡ al-Islāmī, Iskandariyah: Dār al-Jāmiʿah al-Jadīdah, 2003, p. 303, ʿAṭiyyah, p. 716 and Coulson, p. 196.

See Ibn Qudāmah, al-Mughnī wa al-Sharḥ al-Kabīr 'alā Matn al-Muqnī', Beirut: Dār al-Fikr, 1992, pp. 206-208. See also Tanzilur Rahman, A Code of Muslim Personal Law, Karachi: Islamic Publishers, vol. 2, pp. 575-577.

Al-Kalwadhānī, p. 259.

Badrān, Abū 'Aynayn Badrān, Aḥkām al-Tarikah wa al-Mawārīth, Iskandariyah: Mu'assasah Shabāb al-Jāmi'ah, 1981, p. 307.

Zahrah, Ahkām al-Tarikat, p. 84

His survival would likely affect the entitlement of others. accordingly he is not entitled to the estate. Hence, the estate of the legal heirs because of the possibility that the missing person is still alive, the possibility that he is dead prior to the death of the praepositus and praepositus should not be wholly distributed to the indisputably existing Apart from that, his death is not proven.45 Therefore, there is

single right is neglected. that every rightful recipient should get what he or she deserves and no portion and the reservation of the remaining shares.46 This is to ensure Therefore, two principles are applicable i.e. the present heirs take minimal praepositus should be preserved. This is because his survival or death at the moment the deceased passes away could not be ascertained The right of a missing person as an heir to the estate of the

at RM240,000.00. germane sister (GS). The daughter is found missing. The estate is valued and he is survived by a mother (M), a wife (W), a daughter (D) and a the application of the principles is shown in the case when a person dies predeceases the prapeositus. 47 For further explanation, an illustration of i.e. the missing person survives the praepositus and the missing person In order to apply the two principles, two presumptions must be made

'Arabī, p. 293. Sābiq, al-Sayyid, Fiqh al-Sunnah, Kaherah: Dār al-Fath li al-'I'lām al-

46 45

Nahḍah, 1969, pp. 65-70 See al-Bardīsī, Muhammad Zakariyā, al-Mīrāth, Kaherah: Dār al-Coulson, pp. 198-199.

al-Salām, 2007, p. 138, Barrāj, p. 665 and al-Sharbīnī, vol. 4, p. 47 and See al-Baltājī, Muhammad, al-Mīrāth wa al-Waşiyyah, al-Qāhirah: Dār

The Application of Usul al-Figh Principle Istishab to the Presumption of Death

a) Presumption of his survival

RM240,000	24		Total
RM50,000	5	Residue	GS
RM120,000	12	1/2	D
RM30,000	3	1/8	W
RM40,000	4	1/6	X
RMRM240,000 (Total Estate)	24 (Common Denominator)	Portions	Legal Heirs

Presumption of death

6

RM220 000 00	11 (cAwl)		Total
6/12 x RM240,000 = RM120,000.00	6	1/2	GS
$3/12 \times \text{RM}240,000 = \text{RM}60,000.00$	w	1/4	W
2/12 x RM240,000 = RM40,000.00	2	1/3	X
RM240,000	12 (Common Denominator)	Portions	Legal Heirs

to maximize the amount that every legal heir would rightfully receive. 49 that she predeceases the praepositus, the reserved RM120,000.00 is used praepositus, the whole RM120,000.00 is given to her. And if it established the praepositus is known. If evidence is found that she survives the RM50,000.00.48 The remaining RM120,000.00 is reserved until the actual position of the missing daughter, whether she survives or predeceases RM40,000.00, the wife takes RM30,000.00 and the germane sister takes The present heirs take minimal shares means that mother takes

shall be divided among those heirs who are alive at the time of the shall take his share. If the order declaring him dead is passed, his share property shall be withheld for him. When he presents himself alive he 1987, p. 102. Rahman quotes s. 45 of the Egyptian Law of al-Mawārīth. No. 77 of 1943 "the share of an untraceable person in ancestor's See Hamdī, Kamal, al-Mawārīth wa al-Hibah wa al-Wasiyyah, lskandariyyah: Dār al-Matbū'at al-Jāmi'iyyah Kulliyyah al-Ḥuqūq,

al-Muqni^e, pp. 209-211. See also Ibn Qudāmah, al-Mughnī wa al-Sharh al-Kabīr 'alā Matn Islāmiyyah, Egypt: Jāmi'ah Ibrāhīm Kulliyyah al-Ḥuqūq, 1954, p. 161. See 'Aysawī, Ahmad 'Aysawī, Ahkām al-Mawārīth fi al-SharFah al-

The Application of Usul al-Figh Principle Istishab to the Presumption of Death

ascertained. If other legal heirs exist, they would take only minimal portions reservation of share.50 the estate would not be distributed until and unless his survival or death is from two presumptions that he is either alive or he is dead and the To the Shāff'īs, if there is no legal heir except the missing person

ISTISHAB ACTS AS BOTH A SHIELD AND A SWORD?

are in disagreement on the issue of the date of death: is it the date on not found and the court, assisted by circumstantial evidence and after which he went missing or the date of the decree. investigation, issues a decree of his death. In other words, Muslim scholars resorting to the principle of istishāb and carrying out the necessary However, a problem arises when the evidence of his death or survival is the distribution before and after the evidence of his survival or death estates of the praepositus which involves a missing legal heir. It explains The above illustration explains the method of distributing the

and the Mālikīs, as far as the right of a missing person to inherit from a presumed dead.52 Al-Kāsānī argues that since the life of the missing wealth by others, he is presumed alive and for the rulings which are in praepositus is concerned, istishāb is not applicable to acquire new rights.51 established right only or to acquire new rights as well. To the Hanafis issue of whether the principle of istishāb could be used to protect one's his favour such as his right of inheritance towards others' estates, he is For the rulings which are not in his favour such as inheritance to his The cause of the disagreement is their divergent views over the

remaining (in hand) with the heirs." See Rahman, Tanzil-ur, A Code of his death has been passed, he shall be entitled to take back the share order passed regarding his death. If he returns after the order declaring Mutacalliqah bi al-Tarikah bayn al-Fiqh wa al-Qānūn, p. 539. See also Dawūd, Dawūd, Ahmad Muḥammad 'Alī, al-Ḥuqūq al-Muslim Family Law, Karachi: Islamic Publishers, vol. II, 1980, p. 577

Hibah wa al-Wasiyyah, p. 100. Al-Kāsānī, vol. 8, p. 313. See also Ḥamdī, Kamal, al-Mawārīth wa al-Al-Sharbīnī, vol. 4, p. 47.

°Uthaymin, Ibn et., al-Majmű^{*} al-Nafis fi Fiqh al-Mawārīth wa yalīhi Majmű^{*}fatāwā Mú^{*}Gāsarah fi al-Mawārīth, Kaherah: Dār Ibn Jawzī,

52 51 50

> even it impedes any mutual rights of inheritance.53 person is based on a mere presumption, therefore it cannot establish and

of law, for purpose of succession to the estate of others, the date of Hanafis and the Malikis. missing. Istishāb is therefore used to prevent harm according to the inheritance towards other's estate is concerned, is the date he went the effective date of death of the missing person as far as his right of death of missing person is the date of his disappearance.55 In other words, as a result, is not entitled to inherit. Coulson views that, in contemplation his death, he is therefore considered predeceasing the praepositus and because their portions are reduced with the presence of the missing present heirs might be reduced. In other words, it causes harm to them person.54 This means that when the court issues the judicial decree of Conferring a right of inheritance to him means the entitlement of

protect his estates from being inherited by others.56 Therefore, he is issued is considered predeceasing him and hence, is not entitled to inherit. legal heir of the missing person who dies before the judicial decree is considered dead the moment the decree is issued by the court.57 Any Applying istishāb means that the person is presumed alive because it is the missing person as far as istishāb and judicial decree are concerned. the original fact before he is found missing. Istishāb is therefore used to However, they hold different views with regard to the estates of

established rights but not to acquire new rights. judicial decree.58 In other words, istishāb is only used to protect the death, for purpose of succession to his own estates, is the date of the that, in contemplation of law, therefore, the date of a missing person's the legal heirs by way of presumption of his death. Coulson comments The established right of the missing person cannot be taken by

that istishāb can be used as a means of defense i.e. to defend the On the other hand, the Shāfi'īs and the Ḥanbalīs hold the view

Coulson, p. 200.

Al-Kāsānī, vol. 8, p. 313.

⁵⁶ 54 al-Muta alliqah bi al-Tarikah bayn al-Fiqh wa al-Qanun, p. 535. 'Aṭiyyah, p. 724. See also Dawūd, Aḥmad Muḥammad 'Alī, al-Ḥuqūq Coulson, p. 200.

Islāmiyyah, Egypt: Jāmi'ah Ibrāhīm Kulliyyah al-Ḥuqūq, 1954, p. 161. 'Aysawī, Ahmad 'Aysawī, Ahkām al-Mawārīth fi al-Sharītah al-

Al-Kāsānī, p. 316.

continued existence of an attribute and as a means of proving or acquiring new rights. ⁵⁹ As praepositus, *istiṣḥāb* is used to protect his estates from being inherited by others. Therefore, when the judicial decree of his death is issued by the court, only legal heirs who are alive at that moment are entitled to inherit whereas those who die prior to the date of the judicial decree have no right of inheritance.⁶⁰

This is in agreement with the opinion of the Hanafis and the Mālikīs. However, with regard to his right of succession towards the praepositus' estate, the Shāfi'īs and the Hanbalīs are of the view that istishāb can used as a means of acquiring rights as well. This is based on the argument that the missing person's survival is the established state before he is found missing. I Therefore, his date of death is effectively the date of the judicial decree and consequently, he is entitled to inherit from the praepositus because the latter is dead when the missing beneficiary declared dead, is found alive.

However, if the missing person is proven alive and he returns after the issuance of the decree of his death and his estate has been distributed accordingly, or his right as a legal heir has been cancelled, he is allowed to take whatever is remaining in the possession of those who legally received it. He has no right to claim the properties which have been consumed or alienated because the distribution is lawful. ⁶² In other words, a judicial decree of death naturally ceases to be effective if he is subsequently found to be alive. ⁶³

In conclusion, the Shāfi^eIs and the Ḥanbalīs use *istiṣhāb* as both a sword and a shield that is to protect as well as to acquire rights (*li aldaf wa li al-kasb*). On the other hand, the Ḥanafīs and the Mālikīs view that it should act as a shield to protect missing persons' rights (*li aldaf*) from being acquired by others but not as a sword i.e. as a means for the missing person to acquire rights from the praepositus (*li al-kasb*).

THE MALAYSIAN LAWS ON SUCCESSION INVOLVING MISSING PERSONS

In Malaysia, based on section 108 of the Malaysian Evidence Act, *a person is presumed dead if he is not heard for 7 years by those who would naturally have heard of him if he had been alive. This provision which is of general application applies in cases of succession to a missing person's estates and the right of a missing person to inherit from others. This provision was applied by the Malaysian High Court in the case of Ridzwan Bin Ibrahim.* The court granted the application by the applicant, Ridzwan bin Ibrahim for the declaration that Sheikh Mohamed bin Sheikh Ali be presumed dead. The latter was believed to have died sometime in 1973 in Hijāz based on the general statement of his death. An affidavit also disclosed the fact that after he left Malaysia round about 1957, he had not since then returned to Malaysia. And since then, neither the applicant and nor his cousin who are considered as those who would naturally have heard of him if he had been alive, had received any information regarding his life and death

However, apart from section 108 of the Malaysian Evidence Act, the Syariah courts evidence enactment of states which is specifically legislated for the purpose to deal with the Islamic law matters, also provides similar provision. The example is section 80 of the Syariah Court Evidence (Federal Territories) Act, 1997 which provides that:

"When the question is whether a man is alive or dead, and it is proved that he has not been heard of for four years by those who would naturally have heard of him if he had been alive, the burden of proving that he is alive is shifted to the person who affirms it."66

The difference between the two statutory provisions is that s. 108 of Malaysian Evidence Act requires seven years of missing whereas s. 80 of the Syariah Court Evidence (Federal Territories) requires four years before the court can issue the certificate of death. In this regard,

60

See al-Zuḥaylī, Uṣūl al-Fiqh, p. 868 and Kamali, 383.

Al-Sharbīnī, vol. 4, p. 46-45, al-Zuḥaylī, vol. 8, p. 420. Al-Zuḥaylī, al-Fiqh al-Islāmi wa Adillatuhu, Damshiq: Dār al-Fikr, 1989, vol. 8, p. 422.

See Dawūd, p. 539, 'Aṭiyyah, p. 728, 'Aysawī, Aḥkām al-Mawārīth fi al-SharFah al-Islāmiyyah, pp. 160-161 and Coulson, p. 197.
Coulson, p. 197.

Act 56.

^{[2002] 1} MLJU 418. *Ibid*.

The Application of Usul al-Figh Principle Istishab to the Presumption of Death

the two provisions do not take into account the issue of the life-span of a man as discussed by Muslim scholars, before he or she is presumed dead. It is apparently the opinion of the Mālikīs and the Ḥanbalīs who state that after four years of missing, the court can issue a decree of his death.

It is to be noted that the Ḥanbalīs are of the opinion that if a person is found missing in the circumstance that raises strong probability of his death such as in war, building collapse or earthquake, the four years of necessary search and enquiry of his life and death is sufficient before the declaration of his death is issued. The waiting period of four years is generally too short and should be applicable only in the situation envisaged by s. 21 of the Egyptian Law (Law no. 25) 1929, s. 205 of the Syrian Family Law and s. 177 of the Jordanian Family Law.⁶⁷ Syrian Family Law provides that the decree of death can be issued only when he reaches 80 years old. The other two statutes provide that the matter should be left upon the *ijtihād* of a Sharie Judge who abides by strict statutory guidelines in issuing such a decree.⁶⁸

It is important to mention that in the case of a wife whose husband is found missing and she intends to remarry, the four years allowed according to the Mālikīs, is due to the hardship suffered by the wife as far as financial support and maintenance are concerned. This is for the maslahah of the missing person as well as for those who are legally related to him especially his legal heirs.

Section 108 of Malaysian Evidence Act and section 80 of the Syariah Court Evidence (Federal Territories) Act, 1997 are silent over

67

the date of death of the missing person, after the declaration of his death is pronounced by the court, either after four years or seven years. However, based on the two orders which had been issued by the Malaysian High Court, it is clear that the date of death is the date person went the missing. Therefore, this is clearly not the opinion of the Shaff-is but the opinion of the Mālikīs and Ḥanafīs.

It is indisputable that establishing the date of death of a missing person is significant because it would determine whether the missing person has right to inherit others' estates who die after he goes missing. Similarly the date of death would also ascertain whether others who die after his missing but prior to the pronouncement of his death, have right to inherit his estate. In other words, knowing whether it is the date of missing or the date of the judicial decree as his date of death is important in order to ascertain the rightfully entitled legal heirs under the Farā'iḍ system.

JURISDICTIONAL CONFLICT BETWEEN CIVIL COURTS AND SYARIAH COURTS TO GRANT A DECLARATION THAT A MUSLIM IS PRESUMED DEAD

Despite the unambiguous enumeration in the Federal Constitution, a conflict arises as to whether the Syariah courts are competent to determine disputes pertaining to Muslim succession or whether this falls under the civil courts' jurisdiction. Commenting on the judgment by the

70

69

See Harun, Wan Abdul Halim Wan, Pengurusan Dan Pembahagian Harta Pusaka, Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka, 2008, p. 31.

Dawūd, Ahmad Muḥammad 'Alī, al-Ḥuqūq al-Muta'alliqah bi al-Tarikah bayn al-Fiqh wa al-Qānūn, pp. 536-537. The translation of the statutory provision of the Egyptian Law is "Where a person disappears in circumstances which raises a presumption of his death and due enquiries concerning him prove fruitless, a judicial decree of death may be issued when four years have elapsed since the date of disappearance." See Rahman, Tanzil, pp. 578-579.

Barrāj, p. 662. However in Malaysia, some states enactments provide 7 years of the waiting period for the purpose of remarrying like s. 43(1) Islamic Family Law (Federal Territories) 1984 (Act 303) states "If the husband of any married woman has died or is believed to have died or has not been heard of over a period of 7 years or more, and the

circumstances are such that he ought, for the purpose of enabling the woman to remarry, to be presumed in accordance with Hukum Syarak to be dead, but a death certificate under the Births and Deaths Registration Ordinance 1957 cannot be obtained, the Court may, on the application of the woman and after such enquiry as may be proper, issue in the prescribed form a certificate of presumption of death of the husband, and thereafter the woman shall be at liberty to remarry in accordance with the provisions of this Act." S. 41(1) Islamic Family Law Enactment (Kelantan) 1983 provides similar provision.

Malaysian High Court in Ridzwan Bin Ibrahim,71 Pawancheek Merican states:

that a Muslim be presumed dead."72 held that it had jurisdiction to grant such a declaration expression of jurisdiction, a civil court in a recent decision this jurisdiction of the Syariah court. Despite this clear would appear that the civil courts cannot encroach upon virtue of article 121(1A) of the Federal Constitution, it courts to grant orders of presumption of death, and by in no uncertain terms confers power on the Syariah presumption of death. The Syariah Civil Procedure Act shall be begun by application includes an application for that the proceedings stipulated in its Second Schedule summons. It is further provided in the procedural statute Syariah Court Civil Procedure (Federal Territories) Act, 1998 provides that such proceedings shall be by "As to the mode of beginning such proceedings, the

and hence, the court has jurisdiction over it.73 may have to seek again the jurisdiction of a civil court in order to obtain a declaration constitutes part of the administration of estate which is the matter is part of the matters of probate and letters of administration the letters of administration. In other words, the court understood that judge clearly stated that the application was allowed because the applicant clearly listed in item 4(e) of Federal List, Federal Constitution. The learned death on presumption is within the civil court's jurisdiction because such It appears from the court's decision that declaring a Muslim's

estate are discussed in detail in the case of Jumaaton & Raja Delila v Syariah courts pertaining to matters of succession of a deceased Muslim's Raja Hizaruddin." This was an appeal heard by the Syariah Appeal The jurisdictional conflict between Malaysian civil courts and

> of the defendant (a son of the deceased) formed part of the deceased's Court, regarding an application for a declaration that the shares, including the income derived therefrom, in a company that were held in the name

Syariah High Court held that it had no jurisdiction to hear the case under among the twelve legal heirs according to the farā'id system.75 The section 46(2) of the Administration of Islamic Family Law (Federal that the property did not belong absolutely to him and must be distributed defendant's name, was part of the estate of the deceased. They claimed therefrom) in Arensi Holdings (M) Bhd., which was registered in the deceased), that the 11,095,666 unit of shares (and the income derived against the defendant, Raja Hizaruddin b. Raja Nong Chik (a son of the Territories) Act, 1993 (Act 505).76 High Court of the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur for a declaration bt Haji Awang and Raja Delila bt. Raja Nong Chik, applied to the Syariah The facts of the case are that two of the heirs, Jumaaton Zaiton

matter is within the Federal List of the Ninth Schedule of the Federal therefore governed by the Probate and Administration Act, 1959. Such a this was a matter of probate and the administration of the estate and Constitution and applicable to Muslims.77 High Court. The Syariah High Court had no jurisdiction on the basis that Syariah Appeal Court. On appeal, which upheld the decision of the Syariah The appellants subsequently appealed to the Federal Territory

not it had jurisdiction to hear and determine the disputes pertaining to the civil High Court in the case of In the Estate Of Tunku Abdul Rahman validity of the marriage between the deceased and Chong, and the arising out of the administration of the estate of a Muslim and whether or or not the High Court had jurisdiction to hear and determine a dispute the issue of jurisdiction, the High Court held that matters pertaining to legitimacy of the third petitioner who was the child of the marriage. On Tunku Abdul Rahman Putra & 2 Ors.78 The issues here were whether Putra Ibni Almarhum Sultan Abdul Hamid v. Tunku Khadijah bte The above decision was then referred to and adopted by the

^{[2002]418} MLJU 1.

⁷³ 71 Marican, Pawancheek, Islamic Inheritance Laws in Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur: Malayan Law Journal, 2004, p. 72.

See [2002] 1 MLJU 418.

^{[1998] 6} MLJ 557.

⁷⁵ 76 77 78 Ibid. Ibid., p. 559.

^{[1999] 1} AMR 30 Ibid., pp. 563-565

Hizaruddin.79 Territory Syariah Court in Jumaaton & Raja Delila v. Raja Syariah courts as confirmed by the Syariah Appeal Board of the Federal "probate and administration" do not fall within the civil jurisdiction of the

event of inconsistency or conflict between the Courts of Judicature Act Courts of Judicature Act 1964 shall prevail.82 1964 and any other written law other than the Federal Constitution, the section 4 of the Courts of Judicature Act 1964, which provides that in the or written laws, other than the Federal Constitution as enumerated in contention by stating the predominant position of the provisions in the matters of "probate and administration." He further supported his section 2 of the Distribution Act 1958 and section 31 of the Adoption Act application to non-Muslims, such as section 2(2) of the Wills Act, 1959, of the Federal List in the Ninth Schedule of the Federal Constitution.80 administration are within the jurisdiction of the civil High Court by virtue Courts of Judicature Act 1964, vis-à-vis other ordinary Acts of Parliament Act 1964, which confers upon the High Court jurisdiction in respect of The learned judge also relied on section 24 (f) of the Courts of Judicature 1952, the Probate and Administration Act contains no such provision.81 Muslims. Unlike other statutes which contain a clause limiting their Act, 1959 is an Act of general application to both Muslims and non-According to the learned judge in this case, the Probate and Administration forward contending that matters pertaining to probate and letters of Arguments similar to those in the Jumaaton case were put

conclusive determination regarding these two issues.83 all parties were directed to refer to the Syariah Court for a final and jurisdiction of the Syariah courts. The hearing was therefore stayed and legitimacy of children, the Court held that such matters are within the However, with regard to questions of validity of marriage and

matter in dispute was one of probate and administration of estate. The Jumaaton case, it appears that the basis of the judgment was that the Observing the decision of the Syariah Appeal Court in the

> of probate and the administration of the estate. It appears that the Court they constituted an asset of the deceased or not, was part of the process be determined by the Syariah court. did not consider this as an issue pertaining to a question of Islamic law to Court's view was that the determination of the shares in dispute, whether

of the properties of the estate are a single and composite process of the to shares, the extent of their shares and the distribution and apportionment Constitution.85 He concludes that: succession, testate and intestate' as stated in the State List of the Federal of estate and all are included in the term of 'Islamic law relating to they constitute an integral part of the process of probate and administration judicial administration of a deceased Muslim's estate.84 In other words, Mohamad Imam argues that the determination of persons entitled

of the Syariah High Court to the exclusion of the civil administration, and, therefore, fall within the jurisdiction and that includes Islamic rules relating to probate and of the Islamic law of succession, testate and intestate of the Federal Constitution."86 High Courts as enjoined by clause (1A) of Article 121 included in item (1) of the State List is 'the entire body 4(e) of the Federal List by para (ii) thereof, and what is "So construed, what is excluded from para (i) of item

deceased with the appellant in the Bumiputra Commerce Bank (BCB) case, the Court had to decide whether moneys in joint accounts of the the deceased or, as claimed by the appellant was given to her as a gift. and the Standard Chartered Bank constituted the assets of the estate of Latifah bte Mat Zin v. Rosmawati bte Sharibun & Anor.87 In this The Court had referred to the judgment in Jumaaton case and viewed The Federal Court adopted a new interpretation in the case of

Jurnal Undang-Undang Malaysia, vol. 2, 1998, pp. 131-132

Malaysia- Legislative Competence and Syariah Court Jurisdiction,"

Imam, Mohamad, "Probate and Administration of a Muslim's Estate in

4

⁷⁹ Ibid., p. 34. Ibid., p. 31.

^{81 80} Ibid., p. 35. Ibid., p. 34.

Ibid., p. 37

⁸⁷ 80

^{[2007] 5} MILJ 101

that the matter in dispute in that case i.e. whether company share constituted part of the deceased estate, is not a matter of probate an administration but an Islamic law issue within the jurisdiction of the Syarial court. 88

On this basis, the Court dismissed the appeal and held that the determination of that issue and the beneficiary or beneficiaries entitled to it and in what proportion, are within the jurisdiction of the Syariah court. 89 The task of the civil court is to give effect to it in the grant of a letter of administration, and subsequently, in distributing the estate. 90 Quite Similar to what is proposed by Mohamad Imam, Abdul Hamid Mohamad FCJ states:

"We have seen that item 4(e)(i) of the Federal List, inter alia, provides that 'succession, testate and intestate; probate and letters of administration' are matters within the Federal jurisdiction. However, paragraph (ii) of item 4(e) removes 'Islamic personal law relating to ...gifts or succession, testate and intestate' from the Federal jurisdiction. This is followed by item 1 of the State List that, inter alia, provides that 'Islamic law and personal and family law of persons professing the religion of Islam, including the Islamic law relating to succession, testate and intestate' are matters that fall within the State List."91

It is important to mention that section 2 of the Probate and Administration Act, 1959 defines "probate" as a grant under the seal of

The Court referred to A Concise Dictionary of Law: Oxford Reference for the meanings of 'probate' and 'administration.' Probate is defined as a certificate issued by the court on the application of executors appointed by the will, to the effect that the will is valid and the executors are authorized to administer the deceased's estate. Administration is defined as the granting of letters of administration to the estate of a will. See *ibid.*, p. 16.

Ibid.

90

Ibid., p.16. Abdul Hamid FCJ states "My learned brothers YA Dato' Arifin Zakaria FCJ and YA Dato' Agustine Paul FCJ have read this judgment in draft and have agreed with it." See ibid., p. 20.

the court authorizing the executor or executors therein named to administer the testator's estate. The "probate action" is defined in the same section as meaning a cause or matter in which a petition for probate or administration is contested by any person, and includes an application to alter or revoke any grant of representation. Furthermore, this section also defines "administration," with reference to the estate of a deceased person, as letters of administration issued by the court whether general, limited or with a will annexed or otherwise authorizing the person or persons therein named to administer the deceased person's estate in accordance with the law. Caroline Sawyer defines "administration of estates" as the process whereby the personal representatives collect in the assets of the estate and then meet the debts and liabilities and pay out the legacies and devices before distributing the residue, managing the estate as necessary in the meantime.⁹²

It is to be noted that declaring a missing Muslim dead is a matter of Islamic personal law and it is related to the determination of rights of legal heirs under Islamic law of succession. Based on what is stated by Mohamad Imam and Abdul Hamid FCJ, it is submitted that this issue clearly pertains to a question of Islamic law and the determination of the legal heirs is regarded as part and parcel of inheritance law. According to Islamic law, the term legal heir is known in Arabic as "al-wārith" which constitutes the first essence of succession, without which succession would never take place. Therefore, to determine the status of the shares and the determination of the entitled legal heirs including the declaration of a person's death from the perspective of Islamic law is undoubtedly an issue of Islamic law.

It is therefore clear that such a matter is within the ambit of the Syariah courts' competency. This is supported by virtue of the State List of the Federal Constitution, which among other things enumerates that "the determination of matters of Islamic law" is within the power and jurisdiction of the State Legislature and the Syariah court.94

Sawyer, Caroline, *Principles of Succession, Wills & Probate*, 2rd edition, London: Cavendish Publishing Limited, 1998, pg. 329.

See the State List "...the determination of matters of Islamic law and the doctrine of Malay custom."

See the case of In the Estate of Tunku Abdul Rahman, p. 37. In this case, the court had referred the disputes on the question of Islamic law i.e. the validity of marriage and the legitimacy of children.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the above discussion, it can be concluded that the determination of a Muslim's death in the uncertainty situation is a matter of Islamic law. It has been discussed in detail by Muslim scholars relying mainly on the principle of Usul al-Fiqh "Istishab." The absence of the material fact necessitates the judge to issue a declaration of his death which is based on presumption. This is not an easy task because it might affect matrimonial and financial rights of those who have blood or marriage or any other legally recognized relationships with the missing Muslim. Only qualified Muslim judges who are knowledgeable in Islamic law are able to scrutinize the issue in depth from Islamic perspectives. It is therefore submitted that the determination of Muslim's life and death and whether there is succession to his estates or not from the perspective of Islamic law, is not necessarily within the meaning of "probate and administration" as required by the Federal law. This process is best considered as a question of Islamic law and the Syariah court is therefore the only court with the competence to decide such an issue. Apart from that, the statutory provisions which provide in detail the laws to govern the missing person situation in matters of Muslim's succession like in Egypt, Jordan and Syria must be enacted in order to provide clear reference.