# APPROPRIATE METHODOLOGY FOR HE STUDY OF ISLAMIC ETHICS

#### M. Kamal Hassan

by

resented at the First Int. Conf. organised by CILE on ARTS AND POLITIC FROM AN ETHICAL PERSPECTIVE in Qatar on 8<sup>th –</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> March 2013  Islamic ethics being synonymous with Islamic faith (*iman*) and Divine Way of Life (*shari`ah*), the quest for an appropriate methodology assumes Muslim scholars' dissatisfaction with the way or ways Islamic ethics as an integrated system of comprehensive moral behavior has been studied or taught.

Evidences of serious ethical crisis in contemporary Muslim societies and states are numerous and the chasms between the lofty moral ideals of Divine origin (*akhlaq rabbaniyyah*) and Muslim immoral and unethical practices seem to be as wide as ever. (2) relevancy to new and contemporary issues (3) interrelationships with other branches of religious and worldly sciences

(1) effectiveness in
the Ummah's moral
education and
cultural as well as
societal reformation

Questions have been raised on the issues of (4) engagement with or exposure to non-Muslim critique. 3. We take note of the variety of Muslim approaches in the exposition and study of Islamic ethics from the rich heritage of Islamic classical scholarship and tradition involving exegetical (tafsir), traditionist (hadith), jurisprudential (figh and usul alfigh), theological (kalam), philosophical (falsafah), Sufi (tasawwuf), etiquette (adab), "counsel for kings" (nasihat al-muluk) literature.

4. In the 20<sup>th</sup> century, the study of Islamic ethics as a comprehensive and independent discipline was raised to a new and innovative scholarship by the great initiative Dr. Abdullah Darraz with his ground-breaking Ph.D thesis at Sorbonne in 1947: later translated as Dustur al-Akhlag fi al-Qur'an. His classification of his study into :

# a) Ethical theory based on the Quranic text with comparison to the western concepts.

b) Practical ethics (*al-akhlaq al-`amaliyyah*)
by analyzing the subject into :



was a major achievement by an Azhari scholar who used his exposure to foreign languages and European philosophical thought to compare the virtues of Qur'anic ethics with those of the western. 5. The comparative approach that he initiated is also adopted by Muslim scholars who focus on the study of philosophical ethics, such as :

	MUSLIM SCHOLARS	STUDY OF
	Muhammad Yusuf Musa	Falsafat al-Akhlaq fi al-Islam waSilatuha bi al-Falsafah al- Ighriqiyyah
	Tawfiq al-Tawil	al-Falsafah al-Khuluqiyyah
A DESCRIPTION OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Ma`bad Farghali	Fi al-Akhlaq al-Islamiyyahwa al- Insaniyyah
	Hamdi `Abd al-`Al	al-AkhlaqwaMi`yaruhabaina al- Wad`iyyahwa al-Din
	Muhammad Abd al-Sattar Nasaar	Dirasat fi Falsafah al-Akhlaqiyyah
	Abd Allah b. Muhammad al-Amru	al-Akhlaqbaina al-Madrasatain al- Salafiyyahwa al-Falsafiyyah

6. With the advent of the magasid al-shari`ah approach championed by several prominent scholars in the Arab world, in the last few decades, preceded by the rationalistic systematization and identification of the the Khasa'is al-Tasawwur al-Islamiby Sayyid Qutb or the al-Khasa'is al-`Ammah li al-Islam, Fi Figh al-Awlawiyyat, and al-Siyasah al-Shar`iyyah fi Daw' Nusus al-Shari`ah wa Magasidiha by Yusuf al-Qaradawi, as well as the recent works on the different types of *ijtihad*, the study of Islamic ethics has secured a solid and original basis for further development in order to cope with the changing times. The debates on what constitutes the new or subsidiary magasid to the original five categories identified by al-Ghazali, al-Shatibi and others would continue to pose new intellectual challenges in addressing the new branches of Islamic ethics.

7. An excellent study in English by Majid Fakhry, Ethical Theories in Islam(1991) is a systematic intellectual analysis of the four major trends of Muslim ethical thought:



in which he compares the works of al-Mawardi (Adab al-Dinwa al-Dunya), IbnHazm (Kitab al-Akhlaqwa al-Siyar), al-Raghib al-Isfahani (al-Dhari`ahilaMakarim al-Shari`ah), al-Razi (Kitab al-al-Nafswa al-Ruh), and al-Ghazali's synthesis of rationalist, scripturalist and spiritualist thoughts in his Ihya' `Ulum al-Din, Mizan al-`Amal and Kimya' al-Sa`adah. 8. The methodology of semantic analysis was introduced by Toshihiko Izutsu in his seminal work, *Ethico-Religious Concepts in the Qur'an*(1966).

He is probably among the first Orientalist in the 20<sup>th</sup> century to work out Islamic ethical concepts, based on the semantic analysis of several moral values in the Qur'an, within the framework of the Qur`anic *Weltanschauung*.

The theory of meaning which he employed in his insightful analysis is based on the ethno linguistic studies of the German scholar Leo Weisgerber. cont.

 Although this methodology tends to neglect the historical context of words and languages, Izutsu's command of classical Arabic poetry and his comprehensive understanding of the Qur'an and early Islamic theology and later Sufi thought has enriched our understanding of the depth and web of meaning (semantic fields) of Qur'anic terms such as Kufr, Shirk, Iman, Ma'ruf and Munkar, Khair and Sharr, Tayyib, Husn and Khabith, etc.

9. Another new and critical approach seems to be developed by Taha Abdurrahman "who depends essentially on formal logic in approaching the subject, and this is naturally due to the fact that he is one of the prominent logicians in contemporary Arabic thought." (Samir Abuzaid, www.arabphilosophers. Accessed 7 March 2013)In his view the correct ethical theory should be "based upon giving up the notion of subjugating nature in favor of the notion of obedience of the real master of nature (The Question of Ethics, P. 133-134.( ibid.)

cont.

On the issue of Global Ethics, he criticises the concession of the representatives of world religions to secularism, so much so "that their own affiliation to religion is rendered irrelevant. In effect...their effort to deflect possible accusations of subjectivism, absolutism, and irrationality -the bugbears of secularism – has led them into a byway of contradiction vis-avis their own profession of a theistic-basis for their project. Ultimately...the authors of the project have gone on to neglect the very principles that underlie theistic insight, faith and *praxis*. Their desire to gain the approval of secular audiences by negotiating the three core premises of secularism - privatization of religion, relativity of truth, and the hegemony of rationalism - has led to an untrammeled accommodation." (Tabah Paper Series, Number 1, June 2008, www.arabphilosophers.com. Accessed 7 March 2013).

10. The approach adopted by Muhammad Fazlur-Rahman Ansari, as an upholder of "dynamic orthodoxy" in his two volume Ph.D thesis The Qu'ranic Foundation and Structure of Muslim Society (1973) also proceeds from the framework of the spiritual worldview of the Qur'an with rational sistematisation of the concept of unity and integration as the key concepts.

cont.

 His ethico-metaphysical foundations of Islam includes a critique of both the materialistic as well as the Christian ethics, and postulate "fulfillment" of khilafah and falah rather than "salvation" as the vision of ethical goal. The whole of his volume two is devoted to the exposition of the "empire of duties" – instead of rights - which the Qur'anic moral code enjoins.

cont.

#### Thus he describes and discusses :

(1) Duties to Self as spiritual, physical, rational, aesthetical and moral being,

(2) Duties to Other Individuals,

(3) Duties Relating to the Societal Whole  We would recommend that the appropriate methodology would include the following:

(a) To harmoniously integrate all the positive elements from the above approaches,

(b) To balance the principle of rights with the principle of duties,

(c) To incorporate new ethical issues and their theoretical and practical solutions,

(d) To include contemporary case studies of ethical dilemmas or ethical solutions based on the application Islamic value system to real life situations, and

(e) To make comparisons with non-Muslim systems, theories and practices, while benefitting from their positive elements.

### **FINAL SUGGESTION**

Finally, we would suggest that the teaching of Islamic ethics should include the study of the phenomenon of corruption and abuse of power in Muslim countries. The study and findings of the Transparency International with its annual Corruption Perception Index would be most useful for Muslim leaders, elites, professionals, government employees, private sector workers, students and the general public. An appendix on the ranking of countries according to the perception of corruption is attached. Perhaps a bottoms-up approach to the study of Islamic ethics - and their failures - as well as the structural or systemic obstacles and hindrances could also be employed in addition to the top-down or theory-to-practice approach. Wallahu a`lam.

# APPENDIX: TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL CORRUPTION PERCEPTIONS **INDEX 2012**

(SOURCE: http://www.transparency.org/cpi2011/results/)

#### MUSLIM GOVERNMENTS AND POLITICAL ELITES MUST PRIORITISE THE FIGHT AGAINST CORRUPTION

From the **CORRUPTION PERCEPTIONS INDEX** 2012, "it's clear that corruption is a major threat facing humanity. Corruption destroys lives and communities, and undermines countries and institutions. It generates popular anger that threatens to further destabilise societies and exacerbate violent conflicts." The Index of 2012 shows that no country has a perfect score, two-thirds of countries score below 50, indicating a serious corruption problem.

"Corruption translates into human suffering, with poor families being extorted for bribes to see doctors or to get access to clean drinking water. It leads to failure in the delivery of basic services like education or healthcare. It derails the building of essential infrastructure, as corrupt leaders skim funds.

Governments need to integrate anti-corruption actions into all aspects of decision-making. They must prioritise better rules on lobbying and political financing, make public spending and contracting more transparent, and make public bodies more accountable."

(SOURCE: http://www.transparency.org/cpi2011/results/)

# FULL TABLE & RANKING

RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
1	Denmark	90
1	Finland	90
1	New Zealand	90
4	Sweden	88
5	Singapore	87
6	Switzerland	86
7	Australia	85
7	Norway	85
9	Canada	84
9	Netherlands	84
11	Iceland	82
12	Luxembourg	80
13	Germany	79

the statement of the statement of the	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	Provide and the local division of the
14	Hong Kong	77
15	Barbados	76
16	Belgium	75
17	Japan	74
17	United Kingdom	74
19	United States	73
20	Chile	72
20	Uruguay	72
22	Bahamas	71
22	France	71
22	Saint Lucia	71
25	Austria	69
25	Ireland	69
27	Qatar	68
	and the second se	

27	United Arab Emirates	68
29	Cyprus	66
30	Botswana	65
30	Spain	65
32	Estonia	64
33	Bhutan	63
33	Portugal	63
33	Puerto Rico	63
36	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	62
37	Slovenia	61
37	Taiwan	61
39	Cape Verde	60
39	Israel	60
41	Dominica	58
41	Poland	58
43	Malta	57
COLUMN STREET,		and the second se

43	Mauritius	57
45	Korea (South)	56
46	Brunei	55
46	Hungary	55
48	Costa Rica	54
48	Lithuania	54
50	Rwanda	53
51	Georgia	52
51	Seychelles	52
53	Bahrain	51
54	Czech Republic	49
54	Latvia	49
54	Malaysia	49
54	Turkey	49
58	Cuba	48
58	Jordan	48

58	Namibia	48
61	Oman	47
62	Croatia	46
62	Slovakia	46
64	Ghana	45
64	Lesotho	45
66	Kuwait	44
66	Romania	44
66	Saudi Arabia	44
69	Brazil	43
69	FYR Macedonia	43
69	South Africa	43
72	Bosnia and Herzegovina	42
72	Italy	42
72	Sao Tome and Principe	42
75	Bulgaria	41

	75	Liberia	41
	75	Montenegro	41
10.41	75	Tunisia	41
	79	Sri Lanka	40
	80	China	39
	80	Serbia	39
	80	Trinidad and Tobago	39
	83	Burkina Faso	38
	83	El Salvador	38
	83	Jamaica	38
	83	Panama	38
	83	Peru	38
	88	Malawi	37
	88	Morocco	37
	88	Suriname	37
	88	Swaziland	37

and the second se	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	A REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	of the second second		The second state of the se	
88	Thailand	37	S & FAR	105	Gambia	34
88	Zambia	37		105	Kosovo	34
94	Benin	36	ALL DE	105	Mali	34
94	Colombia	36		105	Mexico	34
94	Djibouti	36		105	Philippines	34
94	Greece	36		113	Albania	33
94	India	36		113	Ethiopia	33
94	Moldova	36		113	Guatemala	33
94	Mongolia	36		113	Niger	33
94	Senegal	36		113	Timor-Leste	33
102	Argentina	35	an fre	118	Dominican Republic	32
102	Gabon	35		118	Ecuador	32
102	Tanzania	35		118	Egypt	32
105	Algeria	34	1	118	Indonesia	32
105	Armenia	34		118	Madagascar	32
105	Bolivia	34		123	Belarus	31

A CONTRACTOR OF		THE REAL PROPERTY OF			
123	Mauritania	31	139	Kenya	27
123	Mozambique	31	139	Nepal	27
123	Sierra Leone	31	139	Nigeria	27
123	Vietnam	31	139	Pakistan	27
128	Lebanon	30	144	Bangladesh	26
128	Тодо	30	144	Cameroon	26
130	Côte d'Ivoire	29	144	Central African Republic	26
130	Nicaragua	29	144	Congo Republic	26
130	Uganda	29	144	Syria	26
133	Comoros	28	144	Ukraine	26
133	Guyana	28	150	Eritrea	25
133	Honduras	28	150	Guinea-Bissau	25
133	Iran	28	150	Papua New Guinea	25
133	Kazakhstan	28	150	Paraguay	25
133	Russia	28	154	Guinea	24
139	Azerbaijan	27	154	Kyrgyzstan	24

156	Yemen	23
157	Angola	22
157	Cambodia	22
157	Tajikistan	22
160	Democratic Republic of the Congo	21
160	Laos	21
160	Libya	21
163	Equatorial Guinea	20
163	Zimbabwe	20
165	Burundi	19
165	Chad	19
165	Haiti	19
165	Venezuela	19
169	Iraq	18
170	Turkmenistan	17
170	Uzbekistan	17

172	Myanmar	15
173	Sudan	13
174	Afghanistan	8
174	Korea (North)	8
174	Somalia	8

(SOURCE: http://www.transparency.org/cpi2011/results/)

#### RANKING FOR YEAR 2012: MUSLIM COUNTRIES

			the second se	
RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	94	
27	Qatar	68	102	
27	United Arab Emirates	68	105	
43	Mauritius	57	105	
46	Brunei	55	105	
53	Bahrain	51	105	
54	Malaysia	49	113	
54	Turkey	49	113	
58	Jordan	48	118	
61	Oman	47	118	
66	Kuwait	44	123	
66	Saudi Arabia	44	123	
72	Bosnia and	42	123	
	Herzegovina		128	
75	Tunisia	41	NOL BOOM	
88	Могоссо	37	133	
94	Djibouti	36	133	

94	Senegal	36
102	Gabon	35
105	Algeria	34
105	Gambia	34
105	Kosovo	34
105	Mali	34
113	Albania	33
113	Niger	33
118	Egypt	32
118	Indonesia	32
123	Mauritania	31
123	Mozambique	31
123	Sierra Leone	31
128	Lebanon	30
133	Iran	28
133	Kazakhstan	28

Azerbaijan	27
Nigeria	27
Pakistan	27
Bangladesh	26
Cameroon	26
Syria	26
Guinea	24
Kyrgyzstan	24
Yemen	23
Tajikistan	22
Libya	21
Chad	19
Iraq	18
Turkmenistan	17
Uzbekistan	17
Sudan	13
	NigeriaNigeriaPakistanBangladeshCameroonSyriaGuineaKyrgyzstanYemenTajikistanLibyaChadIraqTurkmenistanUzbekistan

174	Afghanistan	8
174	Somalia	8

(SOURCE: http://www.transparency.org/cpi2011/results/)

## LEAST CORRUPT TOP 20 COUNTRIES

YEAR 2000	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
	1	Finland	10.0
	2	Denmark	9.8
LE COLLEGE COLLEGE	3	New Zealand	9.4
		Sweden	9.4
	5	Canada	9.2
	6	Iceland	9.1
		Norway	9.1
		Singapore	9.1
LE CALL	9	Netherlands	8.9
	10	United Kingdom	8.7
	11	Luxembourg	8.6
		Switzerland	8.6
	13	Australia	8.3
	14	USA	7.8
The Lotter	15	Austria	7.7
		Hong Kong	7.7
	20	Spain	7.0
	21	France	6.7
	22	Israel	6.6
	23	Japan	6.4

YEAR 2001	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
	1	Finland	9.9
	2	Denmark	9.5
	3	New Zealand	9.4
	4	Iceland	9.2
	4 811 66	Singapore	9.2
	6	Sweden	9.0
	7	Canada	8.9
	8	Netherlands	8.8
	9	Luxembourg	8.7
	10	Norway	8.6
	11	Australia	8.5
	12	Switzerland	8.4
	13	United Kingdom	8.3
	14	Hong Kong	7.9
	15	Austria	7.8
	16	Israel	7.6
	10	USA	7.6
	18	Chile	7.5
	10	Ireland	7.5
	20	Germany	7.4

1
RE
R.C.
5
3
201
)
•
;
5
5
2
3
; 
3
3

YEAR 2003	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
	1	Finland	9.7
	2	Iceland	9.6
	3	Denmark	9.5
		New Zealand	9.5
	5	Singapore	9.4
	6	Sweden	9.3
	7	Netherlands	8.9
The second	8	Australia	8.8
		Norway	8.8
		Switzerland	8.8
Contractory St.	11	Canada	8.7
		Luxembourg	8.7
The second	Etan h	United Kingdom	8.7
	14	Austria	8.0
		Hong Kong	8.0
	16	Germany	7.7
	17	Belgium	7.6
	18	Ireland	7.5
		USA	7.5
	20	Chile	7.4

A COMPANY OF THE OWNER	and the second se	
RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
1	Finland	9,7
2	New Zealand	9,6
3	Denmark	9,5
	Iceland	9,5
5	Singapore	9,3
6	Sweden	9,2
7	Switzerland	9,1
8	Norway	8,9
9	Australia	8,8
10	Netherlands	8,7
11	United Kingdom	8,6
12	Canada	8,5
13	Austria	8,4
	Luxembourg	8,4
15	Germany	8,2
16	Hong Kong	8,0
17	Belgium	7,5
an Bell	Ireland	7,5
	USA	7,5
20	Chile	7,4
	1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 15 16 17	1Finland2New Zealand3DenmarkIcelandIceland5Singapore6Sweden7Switzerland8Norway9Australia10Netherlands11United Kingdom12Canada13Austria14Luxembourg15Germany16Hong Kong17BelgiumUSAUSA

YEAR 2005	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
THE FURTHER	1	Iceland	9.7
	2	Finland	9.6
		New Zealand	9.6
	4	Denmark	9.5
	5	Singapore	9.4
	6	Sweden	9.2
	7	Switzerland	9.1
	8	Norway	8.9
	9	Australia	8.8
	10	Austria	8.7
	11	Netherlands	8.6
		United Kingdom	8.6
	13	Luxembourg	8.5
	14	Canada	8.4
	15	Hong Kong	8.3
	16	Germany	8.2
	17	USA	7.6
	18	France	7.5
	19	Belgium	7.4
		Ireland	7.4

	A STATE OF A STATE	CONTRACTOR AND A 19 IN ADDRESS OF ADDRESS		TARA AND A DESCRIPTION OF	and the second second		
YEAR 2006	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2007	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
	1	Finland	9.6		1	Denmark	9.4
	1	Iceland	9.6		1	Finland	9.4
	1	New Zealand	9.6		1	New Zealand	9.4
	4	Denmark	9.5		4	Singapore	9.3
	5	Singapore	9.4		4	Sweden	9.3
E THE SAL	6	Sweden	9.2		6	Iceland	9.2
	7	Switzerland	9.1		7	Netherlands	9.0
	8	Norway	8.8		7	Switzerland	9.0
	9	Australia	8.7		9	Canada	8.7
	9	Netherlands	8.7		9	Norway	8.7
	11	Austria	8.6	a strain and the	11	Australia	8.6
	11	Luxembourg	8.6		12	Luxembourg	8.4
	11	United Kingdom	8.6	and statistical	12	United Kingdom	8.4
	14	Canada	8.5		14	Hong Kong	8.3
	15	Hong Kong	8.3		15	Austria	8.1
	16	Germany	8.0		16	Germany	7.8
	17	Japan	7.6		17	Ireland	7.5
	18	France	7.4		17	Japan	7.5
	18	Ireland	7.4		19	France	7.3
	20	Belgium	7.3		20	USA	7.2
and the second se				the second se	And the second se		

		CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP		TAR ALL DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF	and the second second	the second s	
YEAR 2008	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2009	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
Real Provide	1	Denmark	9,3		1	New Zealand	9.4
	1	New Zealand	9,3		2	Denmark	9.3
	1	Sweden	9,3		3	Singapore	9.2
	4	Singapore	9,2		3	Sweden	9.2
	5	Finland	9,0		5	Switzerland	9.0
HER SAL	5	Switzerland	9,0	A STATE OF THE	6	Finland	8.9
	7	Iceland	8,9		6	Netherlands	8.9
	7	Netherlands	8,9		8	Australia	8.7
	9	Australia	8,7		8	Canada	8.7
	9	Canada	8,7		8	Iceland	8.7
PER SI	11	Luxembourg	8,3	A REAL PROPERTY OF	11	Norway	8.6
A CARLER	12	Austria	8,1	La de la companya	12	Hong Kong	8.2
	12	Hong Kong	8,1	and still how a	12	Luxembourg	8.2
	14	Germany	7,9		14	Germany	8.0
	14	Norway	7,9		14	Ireland	8.0
Service State	16	Ireland	7,7		16	Austria	7.9
	16	United Kingdom	7,7		17	Japan	7.7
	18	Belgium	7,3		17	United Kingdom	7.7
	18	Japan	7,3		19	United States	7.5
	18	USA	7,3		20	Barbados	7.4

YEAR 2010	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
E State	1	Singapore	9.3
	1	New Zealand	9.3
	1	Denmark	9.3
	4	Finland	9.2
	4	Sweden	9.2
E CAL	6	Canada	8.9
	7	Netherlands	8.8
	8	Australia	8.7
	8	Switzerland	8.7
	10	Norway	8.6
	11	Iceland	8.5
	11	Luxembourg	8.5
	13	Hong Kong	8.4
	14	Ireland	8.0
	15	Australia	7.9
	15	Germany	7.9
	17	Barbados	7.8
	17	Japan	7.8
	19	Qatar	7.7
	20	United Kingdom	7.6

YEAR 2011	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
TLAN 2011	1	NEW ZEALAND	9.5
	2	DENMARK	9.4
	2	FINLAND	9.4
	4	SWEDEN	9.3
	5	SINGAPORE	9.2
	6	NORWAY	9.2
	7	NETHERLANDS	8.9
	8	AUSTRALIA	8.8
	8	SWITZERLANDS	8.7
	10	AUSTRALIA	8.7
	11	LUXEMBOURG	8.5
	12	HONG KONG	8.4
	13	ICELAND	8.3
	14	GERMANY	8
	14	JAPAN	8
	16	AUSTRIA	7.8
	16	BARBADOS	7.8
	16	UNITED KINGDOM	7.8
	19	BELGIUM	7.5
	19	IRELAND	7.5

		CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	
YEAR 2012	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
	1	DENMARK	90
	1	FINLAND	90
	1	NEW ZEALAND	90
	4	SWEDEN	88
	5	SINGAPORE	87
	6	SWITZERLAND	86
	7	AUSTRALIA	85
	7	NORWAY	85
	9	CANADA	84
	9	NETHERLANDS	84
	11	ICELAND	82
	12	LUXEMBOURG	80
	13	GERMANY	79
	14	HONG KONG	77
	15	BARBADOS	76
	16	BELGIUM	75
	17	JAPAN	74
	17	UNITED KIGDOM	74
	19	UNITED STATES	73
	20	CHILE	72

(SOURCE: http://www.transparency.org/cpi2011/results/)

#### **MOST CORRUPT TOP 20 COUNTRIES**

YEAR 2000	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2001	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
	71	Bolivia	2.7		71	India	2.7
1 States		Côte-d'Ivoire	2.7			Kazakhstan	2.7
		Venezuela	2.7			Uzbekistan	2.7
	74	Ecuador	2.6		75	Vietnam	2.6
the set in cash		Moldova	2.6			Zambia	2.6
	76	Armenia	2.5		77	Cote d'Ivoire	2.4
		Tanzania	2.5			Nicaragua	2.4
		Vietnam	2.5		79	Ecuador	2.3
	79	Uzbekistan	2.4			Pakistan	2.3
	80	Uganda	2.3			Russia	2.3
1	81	MOZAMBIQUE	2.2		82	Tanzania	2.2
	82	KENYA	2.1		83	Ukraine	2.1
	82	RUSSIA	2.1		84	Azerbaijan	2.0
	84	CAMEROON	2.0		84	Bolivia	2.0
	85	ANGOLA	1.7		84	Cameroon	2.0
BER SAL	85	INDONESIA	1.7		84	Kenya	2.0
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	87	AZERBAIJAN	1.5		88	Indonesia	1.9
	87	UKRAINE	1.5		88	Uganda	1.9
1 States and a state	89	YUGOSLAVIA	1.3		90	Nigeria	1.0
	90	NIGERIA	1.2		91	Bangladesh	0.4

YEAR 2002	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2003	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
TEAR 2002	81	Nicaragua	2.5	TLAN 2003	13	Congo, Democratic Republic	2.2
		Venezuela	2.5			Ecuador	2.2
	85	Georgia	2.4			Iraq	2.2
		Ukraine	2.4			Sierra Leone	2.2
		Vietnam	2.4			Uganda	2.2
	88	Kazakhstan	2.3		118	Cote d'Ivoire	2.1
	89	Bolivia	2.2			Kyrgyzstan	2.1
	IS AN IL	Cameroon	2.2			Libya	2.1
		Ecuador	2.2			Papua New Guinea	2.1
	Ta Parta	Haiti	2.2		122	Indonesia	1.9
	81	Albania	2.5			Kenya	1.9
	93	MOLDOVA	2.1		124	Angola	1.8
	93	UGANDA	2.1			Azerbaijan	1.8
	95	AZERBAIJAN	2.0			Cameroon	1.8
	96	INDONESIA	1.9			Georgia	1.8
	96	KENYA	1.9			Tajikistan	1.8
THE REAL	98	ANGOLA	1.7		129	Myanmar	1.6
	98	MADAGASCAR	1.7			Paraguay	1.6
	98	PARAGUAY	1.7		131	Haiti	1.5
	101	NIGERIA	1.6		132	Nigeria	1.4
	102	BANGLADESH	1.2		133	Bangladesh	1.3

YEAR 2004	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2005	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
The state	122	Ukraine	2,2	A MINING AND A	137	Indonesia	2.2
	129	Cameroon	2,1			Iraq	2.2
		Iraq	2,1	The Local I	IE AND DE	Liberia	2.2
		Kenya	2,1			Uzbekistan	2.2
		Pakistan	2,1			Congo,	
	133	Angola	2,0	areasing parts	144	Democratic Republic	2.1
I Change and		Congo,		Line in the second		Kenya	2.1
		Democratic	2,0			Pakistan	2.1
		Republic Cote d´Ivoire	2,0			Paraguay	2.1
		CONTRACTOR OF A DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA D		La starte st		Somalia	2.1
	(1) (1)	Georgia	2,0			Sudan	2.1
in the local t	133	Indonesia	2,0	A CONTRACTOR OF	144	TAJIKISTAN	2.1
	133	Tajikistan	2,0		151	ANGOLA	2.0
	133	Turkmenistan	2,0		152	COTED'IVOIRE	1.9
	140	Azerbaijan	1,9			EQUATORIAL	
	140	Paraguay	1,9		152	GUINEA	1.9
THE REAL	142	Chad	1,7	A MILLING	152	NIGERIA	1.9
I and the state	142	Myanmar	1,7		155	HAITI	1.8
	144	Nigeria	1,6		155	MYANMAR	1.8
1 Standa	145	Bangladesh	1,5		155	TURKNENISTAN	1.8
	145	Haiti	1,5		158	BANGLADESH	1.7
E. Howe					158	CHAD	1.7

	and the second second		and the second second second	N AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF A DESCRIPTION	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	STATISTICS AND IN THE REPORT OF	
YEAR 2006	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2007	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
Carl State	142	Kenya	2.2	a realized and a second	150	Zimbabwe	2.1
Real and the second	142	Kyrgyzstan	2.2		162	Bangladesh	2.0
	142	Nigeria	2.2		162	Cambodia	2.0
	142	Pakistan	2.2		162	Central African	2.0
	142	Sierra Leone	2.2			Republic	
	142	Tajikistan	2.2		162	Papua New Guinea	2.0
	142	Turkmenistan	2.2		162	Turkmenistan	2.0
	151	Belarus	2.1		162	Venezuela	2.0
	151	Cambodia	2.1		102	HARD COLUMN THE STATE OF A D. A. M. S. S. S.	2.0
	151	Côte d'Ivoire	2.1		168	Congo, Democratic	1.9
	151	Equatorial Guinea	2.1		100	Republic	1.9
	151	Uzbekistan	2.1		168	Equatorial Guinea	1.9
	156	Bangladesh	2.0		168	Guinea	1.9
	156	Chad	2.0		168	Laos	1.9
The second second		Congo,			172	Afghanistan	1.8
	156	Democratic	2.0		172	Chad	1.8
		Republic			172	Sudan	1.8
CIT I LAN	156	Sudan	2.0		175	Tonga	1.7
	160	Guinea	1.9		175	Uzbekistan	1.7
	160	Iraq	1.9	The state of the	177	Haiti	1.6
	160	Myanmar	1.9		178	Iraq	1.5
	163	Haiti	1.8		179	Myanmar	1.4
					179	Somalia	1.4

	And a state of the second s	the second se		Contraction of the local division of the loc			
YEAR 2008	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2009	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
THE SALE	166	Kyrgyzstan	1,8	CANING STOLENS	158	Tajikistan	2.0
	166	Turkmenistan	1,8		162	Angola	1.9
	166	Uzbekistan	1,8	The second second	162	Congo Brazzaville	1.9
	166	Zimbabwe	1,8			Democratic	19.03
	171	Congo, Democratic	1,7		162	Republic of Congo	1.9
The set	inviter 2	Republic		Contraction in the last	162	Guinea-Bissau	1.9
	171	Equatorial Guinea	1,7		162	Kyrgyzstan	1.9
	173	Chad	1,6	The state of the second second	162	Venezuela	1.9
	173	Guinea	1,6		168	Burundi	1.8
	173	Sudan	1,6		168	Equatorial Guinea	1.8
	176	Afghanistan	1,5		168	Guinea	1.8
I THE REAL	177	Haiti	1,4	Contra Frank Social	168	Haiti	1.8
	178	Iraq	1,3		168	Iran	1.8
	178	Myanmar	1,3	the state of the	168	Turkmenistan	1.8
	180	Somalia	1,0	ale de la sett	174	Uzbekistan	1.7
	158	Congo, Republic	1,9		175	Chad	1.6
	158	Gambia	1,9		176	Iraq	1.5
a fille a saily	158	Guinea-Bissau	1,9	per se			
	158	Sierra Leone	1,9		176	Sudan	1.5
	158	Venezuela	1,9		178	Myanmar	1.4
	166	Cambodia	1,8		179	Afghanistan	1.3
					180	Somalia	1.1

V

YEAR 2010	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE	YEAR 2011	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
ILAN 2010	TO UTIL	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF ADDRES	The second second	ILAN 2011	164	Cambodia	2.1
and the second se	127	Nicaragua	2.5		164	Guinea	2.1
	127	Syria	2.5	SS- III ST	164	Kyrgyzstan	2.1
	127	Timo-Laste	2.5		164	Yemen	2.1
	127	Uganda	2.5		168	Angola	2
	134	Azerbaijan	2.4		168	Chad	2
THE SAL	134	Bangladesh	2.4			Democratic	
	134	Honduras	2.4		168	republic of the	2
	134	Nigeria	2.4			Congo	
	134	Philippines	2.4		168	Libya	2
	134	Sierra Leone	2.4		172	Burundi	1.9
	134	TOGO	2.4		172	Equatorial guinea	1.9
Justine Hall	134	UKRAINE	2.4		172	VENEZUELA	1.9
Here and	134	ZIMBABWE	2.4	A State Street Street	175	HAITI	1.8
	143	MALDVES	2.3		175	IRAQ	1.8
	143	MAURITANIA	2.3		177	SUDAN	1.6
E States	143	PAKISTAN	2.3		177	TURKEMISTAN	1.6
	146	CAMEROON	2.2		177	UZBEKISTAN	1.6
	146	COTED'IVOIRE	2.2		180	AFGHANISTAN	1.5
	148	HAITI	2.2		180	MYANMAR	1.5
	148	IRAN	2.2		182	KOREA (NORTH)	1
	140		<b>L.L</b>		182	SOMALIA	1

YEAR 2012	RANK	COUNTRY	SCORE
	157	Angola	22
	157	Cambodia	22
	157	Tajikistan	22
		Democratic	
	160	Republic Of The	21
		Congo	
a state to the second	160	Laos	21
	160	Libya	21
	163	Equatorial Guinea	20
	163	Zimbabwe	20
	165	Burundi	19
	165	Chad	19
	165	HAITI	19
	165	VENEZUELA	19
	169	IRAQ	18
	170	TURKMENISTAN	17
	170	UZBEKISTAN	17
	172	MYANMAR	15
	173	SUDAN	13
	174	AFGHANISTAN	8
	174	KOREA (NORTH)	8
	174	SOMALIA	8

(SOURCE: p://www.transparency.org/cpi2011/results/)