MULTI CRITER DECISION MAKING 108

Edited by
Tadeusz Trzaskalik
and
Tomasz Wachowicz

MULTIPLE CRITERIA DECISION MAKING '08

Edited by Tadeusz Trzaskalik and Tomasz Wachowicz

Editorial Board

Krystyna Lisiecka (przewodnicząca), Anna Lebda-Wyborna (sekretarz), Halina Henzel, Anna Kostur, Maria Michałowska, Grażyna Musiał, Irena Pyka, Marian Sołtysik, Stanisław Stanek, Stanisław Swadźba, Janusz Wywiał, Teresa Żabińska

Reviewers

Petr Fiala
Josef Jablonsky
Ewa Konarzewska-Gubała
Dorota Kuchta
Włodzimierz Ogryczak
Leonas Ustinovichius

Verification

Małgorzata Mikulska

© Copyright by Publisher of The Karol Adamiecki University of Economics in Katowice 2009

ISBN 978-83-7246-444-6

Publisher of The Karol Adamiecki University of Economics in Katowice

ul. 1 Maja 50, 40-287 Katowice, tel. +48 032 25 77 635, fax +48 032 25 77 643 www.ae.katowice.pl, e-mail: wydawnictwo@.ae.katowice.pl

CONTENTS

PREFACE	7
Vincent Y. Blouin, Brian J. Hunt, Margaret M. Wiecek: MCDM WITH RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF CRITERIA: APPLICATION TO CONFIGURATION DESIGN	. 1
OF VEHICLES	11
Sydney C.K. Chu, James K. Ho, S.S. Lam: OPTIMIZED STAR PLOT AS DECISION AIDS: APPLICATIONS OF MAXIMUM RESOLUTION TOPOLOGY	41
Cezary Dominiak: MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION AIDING PROCEDURE UNDER RISK AND UNCERTAINTY	61
Abdelbasset Essabri, Mariem Gzara, Taïcir Loukil: A STUDY OF DISTRIBUTED EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHMS FOR MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMISATION	89
Sahnoun Imen, Jean Marc Martel, Chabchoub Habib: PREDICTION OF BANKRUPTCY BASED ON THE MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING	107
Rafikul Islam: MODIFIED NOMINAL GROUP TECHNIQUE: WHAT AND HOW	125
Jerzy Michnik: TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT PROCESS FOR NEW PRODUCTION LINE DEVELOPMENT – ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS APPROACH	139
Sigitas Mitkus, Eva Trinkūnienė: MODELS OF CRITERIA SYSTEMS OF BUILDING DESIGN CONTRACT	151
Mark Ridgley, Denisc Mills: VALUE-FOCUSED DEVELOPMENT OF A MULTIOBJECTIVE WATERSHED-MANAGEMENT PLAN IN HAWAII	169
Andrzej M.J. Skulimowski, Paweł Rotter: APPLYING REFERENCE SETS IN CONTENT-BASED INTERACTIVE IMAGE RETRIEVAL	185
Olena Sobotka: THE USE OF THE REFERENCE MCDM METHODS TO DEFINE THE SECOND STOCHASTIC DOMINANCE EFFECTIVE PORTFOLIOS	203
Tadeusz Trzaskalik, Sebastian Sitarz: DYNAMIC STOCHASTIC PROBLEMS OF PROFIT MAXIMIZATION WITH PARTIALLY ORDERED CRITERIA SPACE	215

Leonas Ustinovichius, Galina Shevchenko: THE CLARA METHOD – A NEW APPROACH TO EXPERT VERBAL	
CLASSIFICATION	227
Lidija Zadnik Stirn: DYNAMIC, FUZZY AND AHP PROCEDURES IN A MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION PROCESS: AN APPLICATION TO ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT	243
Kazimierz Zaras, Laszlo Nandor Kiss, Silvère Massebeuf. Christian Fonteix: RANKING BY THE ROUGH APPROXIMATION OF A PREFERENCE RELATION FOR AN EXTRUSION	
PROCESS – A ROBUSTNESS STUDY	265
CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS	283

.

Rafikul Islam

MODIFIED NOMINAL GROUP TECHNIQUE: WHAT AND HOW

Abstract

Continuous improvement in quality of products/services, more often than not, requires implementation of new ideas in the systems. Consequently, generation of good ideas is regarded as a crucial task in quality management. This paper shows how a traditional brainstorming technique can be integrated with the analytic hierarchy process in generating and subsequently prioritizing a large number of ideas. The working of the integrated technique has been shown by means of two exercises: (1) Identifying important factors to improve quality in teaching, and (2) Identifying important factors to improve quality in academic institutions administration. The method can be applied in many similar situations.

Keywords

Nominal group technique, analytic hierarchy process, quality in higher education, total quality management.

Introduction

In today's highly competitive business world, national/multinational companies increasingly demand that their employees find new and better ideas so that the jobs are done in better ways. The most common way to generate ideas is to take the relevant people in a room and brainstorm. Each mind is filled with ideas that can be valuable to decision making and problem solving. Brainstorming is one way to access this information, experience, and judgment.

The history of brainstorming dates back to 1954, when Osborn published his seminal work [8]. With illustrative examples, he explained how brainstorming could be used to help groups generate ideas. Osborn's central theme