



Suzi Fadhilah Ismail

IPRs for Agricultural Biotechnological Inventions: A Case of Malaysia

Patent and Plant Variety Rights for Agricultural
Biotechnology

 **LAMBERT**
Academic Publishing

Suzi Fadhilah Ismail

IPRs for Agricultural Biotechnological Inventions: A Case of Malaysia

**Patent and Plant Variety Rights for Agricultural
Biotechnology**

LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing

Impressum/Imprint (nur für Deutschland/only for Germany)

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek: Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Alle in diesem Buch genannten Marken und Produktnamen unterliegen warenzeichen-, marken- oder patentrechtlichem Schutz bzw. sind Warenzeichen oder eingetragene Warenzeichen der jeweiligen Inhaber. Die Wiedergabe von Marken, Produktnamen, Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen u.s.w. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutzgesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Coverbild: www.ingimage.com

Verlag: LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG
Heinrich-Böcking-Str. 6-8, 66121 Saarbrücken, Deutschland
Telefon +49 681 3720-310, Telefax +49 681 3720-3109
Email: info@lap-publishing.com

Approved by: Nottingham, University of Nottingham, Phd Thesis, 2011

Herstellung in Deutschland:
Schaltungsdienst Lange o.H.G., Berlin
Books on Demand GmbH, Norderstedt
Reha GmbH, Saarbrücken
Amazon Distribution GmbH, Leipzig
ISBN: 978-3-8465-9637-1

Imprint (only for USA, GB)

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek: The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Any brand names and product names mentioned in this book are subject to trademark, brand or patent protection and are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders. The use of brand names, product names, common names, trade names, product descriptions etc. even without a particular marking in this works is in no way to be construed to mean that such names may be regarded as unrestricted in respect of trademark and brand protection legislation and could thus be used by anyone.

Cover image: www.ingimage.com

Publisher: LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG
Heinrich-Böcking-Str. 6-8, 66121 Saarbrücken, Germany
Phone +49 681 3720-310, Fax +49 681 3720-3109
Email: info@lap-publishing.com

Printed in the U.S.A.
Printed in the U.K. by (see last page)
ISBN: 978-3-8465-9637-1

Copyright © 2011 by the author and LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG and licensors

All rights reserved. Saarbrücken 2011

d16
LPG
1150
PSS
S968I
2011

Acknowledgement

I owe a great many thanks to a great many people who helped and supported me during the writing of this thesis. First and foremost, my utmost gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Paul Torremans and Prof. Dr. Ida Madieha Abd Ghani Azmi, whose sincerity and encouragement I will never forget. Prof. Paul Torremans, my principal supervisor, has been guiding me during all phases of this research. I am also indebted to my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Ida Madieha Abdul Ghani Azmi for the valuable guidance and constant advice. She has been my inspiration as I hurdle all the obstacles in the completion this research work particularly during semi-structured interviews and data collection for chapters on Malaysian biotechnology.

Thanks and appreciation to the helpful people at various research institutions in Malaysia (MARDI, FRIM, MPOB, MRB, MCB) for their support and co-operation during the interview sessions. Particular thanks are due to officials at Biotech Corp, MyIPO, MOSTI and DOA for the assistance in data collection relating to patents and plant breeders' rights in Malaysia. I would also thank my faculty members, both in the University of Nottingham and International Islamic University Malaysia, for their support and encouragement throughout the study.

An honourable mention goes to my beloved parents and family for their care, support and strength along the way. I would like to express a sense of gratitude to my husband, Mr. Rohisham Mamat for his unending love, support, understanding and patience throughout my study. Last but not least, my deepest thanks and regards to my beloved late father, Mr Ismail Awang Besar, who supported me in any respect and built a strong foundation on me. Indeed, he has a place of honour, deep within my heart.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

CBD	Convention of Biodiversity
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DUS	Distinct Uniform and Stable
EPC	European Patent Convention
EPO	European Patent Office
EU	European Union
FRIM	Forest Research Institute of Malaysia
MARDI	Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
MCB	Malaysian Cocoa Board
MPOB	Malaysia Palm Oil Board
MRB	Malaysian Rubber Board
MyIPO	Malaysian Intellectual Property Office
PBR	Plant Breeders' Right
PCT	Patent Cooperation Treaty
PLT	Patent Law Treaty
PPA	Plant Protection Act
PVP	plant variety protection
PVPA	Plant Varieties Protection Act
R&D	Research and Development
RI	Research Institute
TRIPS	Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
UPA	Utility Patent Act
UPOV	International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants
USPTO	United States of Patent and Trademark Office
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	iii
Abbreviations and Acronyms	iv
Table of contents	v
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Agncultural Biotechnology: An overview of current development.....	1
1.1.1 Biotechnology in general.....	1
1.1.2 Plant biotechnology.....	2
1.1.3 Legal mechanism: Protection via patent and plant variety rights	
.....	3
1.1.4 Global IPR regime.....	5
1.2 Scope of study.....	7
1.2.1 Research questions	7
1.3 Methodology.....	8
1.4 Division of chapters.....	9
(i) Chapter 1	9
(ii) Chapter 2.....	10
(iii) Chapter 3	13
(iv) Chapter 4.....	14
(v) Chapter 5.....	15
(vi) Chapter 6.....	15
(vii) Chapter 7	16
1.5 Defining the Terminology	17
(i) Agriculture	18
(ii) Biological process, microbiological process	19
(iii) Genetic engineering.....	23
(iv) Invention (as opposed to discovery)	24
(v) Patent	26
(vi) Utility models for innovation	29
(vii) Plant, plant variety, crops, seed	31
(viii) Plant variety rights, plant breeders' rights, farmers' rights	35
(viii) <i>Sui generis</i> protection	40
1.6 Conclusion & Contribution	42

Chapter 2: The International and European Legal Background	43
2.1 Background.....	43
2.2 The Paris Convention on the Protection of Industrial Property	44
2.2.1 The origin and background.....	44
2.2.2 The relevant provisions.....	45
2.2.3 The implementation	47
2.2.4 The significance.....	47
2.3 The Role of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)	47
2.3.1 Background.....	47
2.3.2 Administration of national treaties.....	48
(i) Patent Co-operation Treaty (PCT)	49
(ii) Patent Law Treaty (PLT)	51
2.3.3 Recent development.....	53
2.4 The International Convention for the Protection of New Plant Varieties (UPOV)	54
2.4.1 Background.....	54
2.4.2 Purpose of adoption	57
2.4.3 Criteria for a plant breeder's rights.....	58
2.4.4 Definition of 'plant variety'	59
2.4.5 Definition of 'breeder'	60
2.4.6 The issue of 'dual protection'	61
2.4.7 Limitation of rights.....	63
(i) Research exemption	63
(ii) Insertion of the term 'essentially derived variety'	64
(iii) Farmer's privilege	67
2.4.8 UPOV revision.....	69
2.4.8.1 Comparison of UPOV 1978 Act and UPOV 1991 Act	69
(i) Number of protected varieties.....	69
(ii) Expansion of the exclusive rights.....	70
2.4.9 Expansion of UPOV.....	71
2.4.9.1 UPOV Impact Study	71
2.4.9.2 Resistance to the 1991 Act.....	73
2.5 Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)	74
2.5.1 Background.....	74
2.5.2 Patentable subject matter under TRIPS.....	77
2.5.3 <i>Sui generis</i> concept.....	80
2.5.4 Review of Article 27(3)(b) TRIPS Agreement	83

2.5.5 Important role of TRIPS	86
2.6 The co-existence of UPOV and TRIPS in protecting plant-related inventions	86
2.7 The European Patent Laws	92
2.7.1 European Patent Convention (EPC)	92
2.7.1.1 Background.....	92
2.7.1.2 Important provisions of EPC	94
2.7.2 The Biotechnology Directive (the Directive 98/44).....	100
2.7.2.1 Background.....	100
2.7.2.2 Relevant provisions.....	102
2.7.3 Some recent developments	106
2.8 Patentable Subject Matter: The EPO's Case Law	107
(i) Ciba-Geigy/Propagating Material (Case T-49/83)	108
(ii) Lubrizol/Hybrid Plants (Case T-320/87).....	111
(iii) Plant Genetic Systems (Case T-356/93)	114
(iv) Novartis/Transgenic Plant (Case G01/98)	120
(v) Plant Bioscience Limited (Case T83/05)	135
(vi) Monsanto Canada Inc. v Schmeiser	138
2.9 Patentability of transgenic plant in Europe: the current position	147
2.10 The interface between patent and plant variety protection	150
2.10.1 Patent system provides a better protection for agricultural biotechnology inventions.....	159
2.11 Conclusion & Contribution	162
Chapter 3: Agricultural Biotechnology: Patent and Plant Breeder's Rights Protection in the United States	163
3.1 Introduction	163
3.2 Brief History and Background of U.S. Statutory Laws for Agricultural Biotechnological Inventions.....	165
3.3 Current IPR legislation in the U.S.	170
3.3.1 The PPA.....	170
3.3.2 The PVP Certificates	174
3.3.3 Utility Patents (UPA).....	177
3.3.4 The issue of joint protection	182
3.3.5 How Utility Patents and PVP differ, and Why One Would Be Preferable	190
3.4 Development of the Legal Protection For Agricultural Biotechnological Inventions	196
3.4.1 Case-law	197

(i) Diamond v.Chakrabarthy	197
(ii) Ex parte Hibberd.....	199
(iii) J.E.M. AG Supply, Inc. v Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l, Inc.....	200
(iv) Imazio Nursery, Inc. V. Dania Greenhouses	204
(v) Yoder Bros., Inc. v. California-Florida. Plant Corp.....	207
(vi) Asgrow Seed Co. v. Winterboer	211
3.4.1.1 The significance of the case-law in shaping the current position of laws on plant patents in the U.S.	214
3.4.2 Some Controversies over Plant Patents in the U.S.	216
3.4.3 Recent development in plant bioscience and possible effects on the U.S. current laws on plant-related inventions	219
3.5 Comparison of the U.S. and European approaches	221
3.6 How relevant is the U.S. system to Malaysian IP laws on agricultural biotechnological inventions	225
3.7 Conclusion & Contribution	229
Chapter 4: Malaysian Agricultural Biotechnology: An Outlook on Recent Developments, Regulatory Framework and Impediments	232
4.1 Introduction	232
4.2 The National Biotechnology Policy	234
4.2.1 BioNexus Status.....	237
4.3 Legal and Regulatory Framework	240
4.3.1 The International Treaties	240
4.3.2 IP protection for agncultural biotechnological inventions in Malaysia: Patent and PVP	243
4.3.2.1 Patents Act 1983	244
(i) Patent Registration Process	245
(ii) Scope of Patentability	247
(iii) Patenting Activities in Malaysia	249
(iv) Patent Registration Procedure	253
(v) Patent Classification.....	255
4.3.2.2 Protection of New Plant Varieties Act 2004	257
(i) Salient Features of the Protection of New Plant Varieties Act 2004.....	260
(a) Threshold of registrability	260
(b) Essentially derived varieties.....	261
(c) Limitation of Breeder's rights	262

(d) Farmers' Privilege.....	263
(e) Traditional Variety	265
(f) Process of Application	266
(ii) The implementation of the Protection of New Plant Varieties	
Act 2004	269
(iii) Towards UPOV accession	273
4.4 The Impediments to the Success of Biotechnology	278
(i) Weak scientific critical mass	279
(ii) Linkages between the biotechnology industry and the R&D.....	280
(iii) Time factor in patent application	281
(iv) Vagueness in the interpretation of some patent provisions	281
(a) Lack of clarity in determining the exclusion from patentability	
.....	282
(b) Problems in ascertaining 'inventive step'.....	283
(c) Problems in determining 'industrial application'.....	284
(v) Issue on commercialization	285
(vi) Low percentage of global players	286
4.4.1 Some efforts in tackling the impediments	287
4.5 Conclusion & Contribution	288
Chapter 5: The Views of Malaysian Plant Breeder	290
5.1 Introduction	291
5.2 Brief background of the research institutes and agencies	291
5.2.1 MARDI.....	292
5.2.2 FRIM	293
5.2.3 MPOB	293
5.2.4 MRB	294
5.2.5 MCB	295
5.2.6 BiotechCorp	296
5.2.7 MOSTI	296
5.2.8 Crop Quality Control Division, Department of Agriculture	297
5.2.9 Patent Division, MyIPO	298
5.3 Assessing the Views of Malaysian Plant Breeders.....	298
5.3.1 Biotechnology research activities: The current status and focus	
.....	298
5.3.2 The significance of agricultural biotechnology R&D activities ..	301
5.3.3 Patenting Activities	304
5.3.4 Issues on Patents	309

5.3.4.1 Problems in Patents Application	309
5.3.4.2 Patents in Agricultural Biotechnology	310
5.3.5 Plant Variety Protection : An Alternative	312
5.3.5.1 Issues on patenting of new plant variety	314
5.4 Patent Law versus Plant Breeder's Rights	319
5.5 Commercialization of agricultural biotechnological inventions in Malaysia: Issues and Challenges	322
5.6 Conclusion & Contribution	327
Chapter 6: Towards A Better Intellectual Property Legal System in Malaysia: A Proposed IPR Model	329
6.1 Introduction	329
6.2 Background	330
6.2.1 Overview of current IPR legislation in Malaysia	330
6.2.2 Agricultural Biotechnology Research in Malaysia: Persisting Challenges	332
6.2.3 Why there is a need for an enhanced IPR regime in Malaysia	334
6.3 Some recent developments	335
6.3.1 Draft manual of examination guidelines for biotechnology patents	336
6.3.2 Review of existing IPR statute by a special committee	337
6.3.3 Accession to UPOV	338
6.4 The proposed IP model for Malaysia	339
6.4.1 Lessons from existing models	339
6.4.1.1 First model: Europe & UK	340
(i) Lessons learnt from European model	340
6.4.1.2 Second model: the U.S.	344
(i) Lessons learnt from the American model	345
6.4.2 The model for Malaysia: a two way approach	348
6.4.2.1 Features of model of IP system for Malaysia	349
(i) The enhancement of existing Patents Act 1983	349
(a) Clearer provisions and interpretation	349
(b) The utility innovations is to be made available for plant innovations	351
(c) The ban on patenting of plant varieties is to be retained ..	353
(d) The utilization of research exemption under patent laws is to be optimized	355
(ii) Enhancement of PVP	357

(a)Protection via PVP is to be encouraged as an option for interested plant breeders and inventors.....	358
6.4.3 The IPR model for Malaysia in a nutshell	361
6.5 Conclusion	362
Chapter 7: Conclusion	366
7.1 Introduction	366
7.2 Journey revisited	366
7.2.1 Chapter summary	367
7.2.2 Questions and answers.....	369
7.3 Research limitations	370
7.4 Conclusion	372
7.5 Recommendations.....	373
Bibliography	374
Appendices	385