

# From Monasteries to Hospitals: Buddhist and Islamic Medical Traditions

Dr. Mohamed Ashath (Ashath Siraas) · 🕒 13:03



## Introduction

The historical narratives of Buddhist and Islamic societies extend far beyond mere accounts of political dominion and religious debate; they are fundamentally linked with the quest for healing both the mind and body. From the early monastic medical facilities in India and Sri Lanka to the magnificent hospitals of Abbasid Baghdad and Ottoman Constantinople, healthcare establishments served as vital hubs of education, empathy, and community service. While these traditions are frequently examined separately, they actually demonstrate a complex network of scholarly interaction and common humanitarian principles. Across the ages, Buddhist and Islamic medical practices have evolved along interconnected paths, exhibiting ongoing and productive knowledge exchange. Accordingly, medicine has always served as a bridge across many religious and cultural domains, facilitating **contact** via the common human experiences of illness and recovery.

The study of the theories, history, and revival of Buddhist and Islamic healing traditions reveals a legacy of compassionate care that contrasts with the impersonal nature of modern healthcare. Medicine is a holistic practice that cares for the body, **mind**, and spirit, not just a technical field, an approach that remains essential today.

## Medicine in Early Buddhist Traditions: The Monastic as Medic

From its earliest textual layers, Buddhism established a central link between spiritual liberation and bodily health. Siddhartha Gautama, the Buddha, is frequently portrayed as the Supreme Physician – the Medicine Buddha, *Bhaiṣajyaguru*, in the Mahayana/Vajrayana tradition – diagnosing the universal disease of *duḥkha* (suffering) and prescribing the Eightfold Path as its cure. The analogy was based on actual, formalized medical practice. From the beginning, the monastic community was a caring community. The Buddhist monastic code, *Vinaya Pitaka*, offers detailed evidence of this. It outlines rules for nursing the sick, permitting the provision of medicines, tonics, and even surgery. Monks and nuns were permitted to store and administer a vast pharmacopeia of herbal remedies. Infirmaries (*gīlānāsālā*) were established within monastic complexes, offering care not only to monastics but also to the lay community, functioning as early public hospitals. The Buddha famously declared, “He who attends on the sick attends on me,” (*Vinaya, Mahāvagga* 8.26) elevating caregiving to the highest spiritual act.

Theoretical foundations for Buddhist medicine were laid within the Pāli Canon, aligning with the broader Indian medical system of *Āyurveda* (“knowledge of life”). Health was understood as a dynamic balance of the body’s fundamental elements (*mahābhūta*: earth, water, fire, air) and the three humors, known in Sanskrit as the *tridoṣa*, are the fundamental biological energies of the body in Ayurvedic and traditional Indian medicine. They are *vāta* (wind), *pitta* (bile), and *kapha* (phlegm). Illness resulted from imbalance, caused by dietary errors, seasonal changes, self-destructive behavior (*karma*), or disturbing mental states (*kleśa*). Therefore, treatment was holistic, combining herbal pharmacology, dietary regulation, surgical procedures, and ethical-mental purification through **mindfulness** and **ethical self-discipline**.

Institutions like Nālandā University (5th–12th centuries CE) exemplified the integration of medical training within the Buddhist educational paradigm. Pilgrims and scholars from across Asia studied medicine (*cikitsāvidyā*) there alongside philosophy, logic, and metaphysics, ensuring the transnational diffusion of a medically literate Buddhist culture. This fusion of **compassion**, empirical observation, and philosophical care created a portable and adaptable healing tradition.

## Buddhist Medicine Across Asia: Syncretic Pathways

As Buddhism traversed the Silk Roads and sea routes, its medical knowledge syncretized with local traditions, creating distinctive healing systems. Each region absorbed, adapted, and enriched the core Buddhist medical ethos.

In Tibet, the seminal *Four Medical Tantras* (*rGyud-bzhi*), attributed to the Buddha in the form of the Medicine Buddha (*Bhaiṣajyaguru*), systematized a uniquely syncretic tradition. It integrated core Indian Ayurvedic and Buddhist principles with influences from Chinese medicine, Persian humoral theory, and even Greco-Roman concepts transmitted via Islamic and Nestorian Christian sources. Tibetan medicine’s complex pharmacopeia, diagnosis via pulse and urine analysis, and profound emphasis on the mind’s role in health (where mental poisons directly corrupt the bodily humors) exemplify a sophisticated Buddhist medical science.

In Sri Lanka and Southeast Asia (Thailand, Burma, Cambodia), Buddhist monasteries remained central to healthcare into the modern era. The Medicine Buddha (*Bhaiṣajyaguru*) became a central object of devotion, with rituals and visualizations aimed at healing. The monastic community, the *saṅgha*, preserved vast palm-leaf manuscripts of medical knowledge, and monastic compounds often included medicinal herb gardens. In these cultures, the roles of monk, teacher, and healer frequently overlapped, sustaining a model where spiritual and physical healing were inseparable.

## Hospitals in Buddhist History

Emperor Ashoka, in the third century BCE, is often credited with founding some of the first documented hospitals for both people and animals in India, integrating medical treatment into state rule. Medical practice during this period was holistic, drawing on Ayurvedic traditions that emphasized balance of body, mind, and environment through herbal medicine, diet, and ethical living.

Sri Lanka became a major center of Buddhist hospital development from the fourth century BCE onward, with archaeological evidence indicating that King Pandukabhaya (437–367 BCE) established organized healthcare facilities, including maternity homes, which later evolved into specialized hospitals. This system reached a high point under King Buddhadasa (362-409 CE), often called the “Physician King,” who reportedly created a hospital for every ten villages and authored the medical text *Sarartha Saṅgraha*. Many hospitals were attached to monasteries such as Mihintale and Polonnaruwa, serving as spaces for both physical healing and spiritual care. Excavations at Mihintale reveal advanced architectural planning, including patient wards, sanitation systems, stone medicinal baths, and surgical instruments, indicating sophisticated medical knowledge and procedures.

Similar models spread across Southeast Asia, most notably under the Khmer King Jayavarman VII in the 12th century CE, who established a vast network of state-funded hospitals inspired by devotion to the Medicine Buddha, Bhaiṣajyaguru. In East Asia, Buddhist ideals shaped charitable institutions such as China’s Futian Yuan and temple-based hospitals in Japan, including the 6th century Shitenno-ji complex.

Buddhist hospitals in all areas have three main characteristics in common: a dedication to providing accessible care for common people, the integration of medical and spiritual care, and compassion as its ethical cornerstone. Buddhism has left a lasting impact on the global history of hospitals, with its unbroken legacy continuing to inspire modern healthcare practices.

## Muslim Contributions to the Medical and Golden Age

The rise of Islamic civilization from the 8th century CE catalyzed one of history’s most remarkable epochs of medical **synthesis** and innovation. Inspired by numerous Qur’anic injunctions and Prophetic traditions (*Ḥadīth*) encouraging the pursuit of knowledge and care for the sick (“For every illness there is a cure,” Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī), Muslim scholars embarked on a monumental project of translation (*naql*) and intellectual expansion (*ʿaql*).

The Bayt al-Hikma (House of Wisdom) in Abbasid Baghdad (8th-13th centuries CE) was the focal point of this initiative. Scholars, including many Nestorian Christians (Persian Church) and Jews, translated the complete collection of Greek medical knowledge, Galen, Hippocrates, Dioscorides, Persian, Indian, and Syriac books into Arabic. This resulted in a shared scholarly terminology and a tremendous reservoir of knowledge.

Building on this foundation, physician-polymaths produced breakthrough contributions. Al-Rāzī (Rhazes, c. 854–925 CE) was a skilled clinician whose *Comprehensive Book on Medicine* (*Kitāb al-Ḥaṣi fi al-Tibb*, or *Liber Continens* in Latin) featured the earliest comprehensive descriptions of smallpox and measles, and whose *Doubts about Galen* demonstrated a spirit of empirical critique. Ibn Sīnā (Avicenna, 980–1037 CE) authored the monumental *Canon of Medicine* (*al-Qanun fi al-Tibb*), a five-volume encyclopedia that systematized all known medical knowledge with unparalleled logical rigor. It became the preeminent medical textbook in Europe and the Islamic world for over six centuries. Ibn al-Nafīs (1213–1288 CE) challenged Galenic anatomy, correctly describing the pulmonary circulation of blood centuries before its Western “discovery.”

## Bīmārīstāns and the Birth of Institutional Healthcare in Islamic Civilization

The greatest institutional achievement was the Islamic hospital, the *bīmārīstān*. It was a center for comprehensive medical care, education, and research, not just a place where the sick died. Major hospitals in Baghdad, Cairo, Damascus, and Constantinople were often large, state-funded complexes with separate wards for men and women, specialized departments for internal medicine, surgery, ophthalmology, and psychiatry, libraries, pharmacies, and lecture halls. Treatment was free, funded by pious endowments (*waqf*). Their origins can be traced to the Umayyad period, particularly under Caliph al-Walīd ibn ‘Abd al-Malik (r. 705–715 CE), who established hospitals in Damascus that included dedicated facilities for leprosy patients and state support for the disabled.

The system reached maturity during the Abbasid era, especially in Baghdad, where Caliph Harun al-Rashid (r. 786–809 CE) founded major hospitals equipped with organized wards, salaried physicians, pharmacies, and structured medical training. By the 9th and 10th centuries CE, Islamic hospitals functioned as teaching institutions, combining clinical practice with formal education and physician licensing. The ‘Adudī Hospital in Baghdad, founded in 981 CE by ‘Adud al-Dawla, exemplified this advancement with specialized departments, medical libraries, patient records, and regular inspections.

Comparable institutions emerged across the Islamic world, including the Ahmad ibn Tulun Hospital in Cairo (9th century CE), the Nuri Hospital in Damascus (1154 CE), and the Mansuri Hospital in Cairo (1284 CE), which accommodated thousands of patients and provided free treatment, food, clothing, and even financial assistance upon discharge. Islamic hospitals emphasized hygiene, sanitation, dietetics, and mental healthcare, treating mental illness as a medical condition rather than a moral failing. Sustained through *waqf* endowments, the *bīmārīstān* model ensured accessibility and continuity, profoundly influencing later European hospital development and securing Islam’s lasting contribution to global medical history. Physicians were examined and licensed, and ethical codes, deeply informed by Islamic principles of justice (*ʿadl*), compassion (*rahma*), and non-maleficence, governed practice. The *bīmārīstān* viewed medicine as both a social responsibility and a scientific endeavor, combining logical (*ʿaql*) and transmitted (*naql*) knowledge.

## Historical Encounters: A Tapestry of Exchange

The historical narrative of Buddhist–Muslim relations has often emphasized the decline of Buddhism in Central Asia and the Indian subcontinent following Islamic expansion. Yet, when examined through the lens of medical history, this narrative reveals a far more complex and constructive pattern marked by intellectual absorption, dialogue, and transformation rather than simple displacement. From the early Abbasid period, Islamic civilization encountered a rich body of Indian medical knowledge that had been preserved and transmitted largely through Buddhist institutions and scholarly networks. These encounters took place through multiple channels, including translation bureaus, trade routes, scholarly travel, and shared urban and institutional spaces in regions such as Persia, Central Asia, and the Indian frontier.

A decisive moment in this interaction occurred during the reign of Caliph al-Mahdi (775–785 CE), who invited Buddhist and Hindu scholars from the Indian subcontinent and from Balh to participate in the translation activities of the Bayt al-Hikmah (House of Wisdom) in Baghdad. Sanskrit works on medicine and astronomy were rendered into Arabic and Persian, introducing Islamic scholars to foundational Ayurvedic texts such as the *Sushruta Saṃhita* and the *Charaka Saṃhita*. Core medical concepts, including humoral theories, diagnostic techniques like pulse reading, surgical methods, and a wide range of medicinal substances were absorbed into the evolving corpus of Islamic medicine.

This intellectual exchange reached its highpoint under Caliph Harun al-Rashid (786–809 CE), particularly through the patronage of the Barmakid family, whose origins lay in the Buddhist monastic complex of Nava Vihara in Balh. Yahya ibn Barmak and his descendants facilitated the movement of scholars between India and Baghdad, appointed Indian and Buddhist physicians to senior positions in Abbasid hospitals, and sponsored the translation of medical, pharmacological, and toxicological texts, including Ravigupta’s *Siddhasāra*. Indian physicians, such as Manka, gained remarkable prestige at the Abbasid court, successfully treating members of the caliphal family and subsequently being entrusted with translating Sanskrit medical works. Indian remedies, including *triphalā*, entered the Arab pharmacopeia, some retaining their original names.

These exchanges continued in later centuries through scholars such as al-Biruni (973–1048 CE), who studied Indian sciences extensively in Ghazni, and through the multicultural intellectual milieu of al-Andalus, where Islamic medical knowledge was further refined and transmitted to Latin Europe. Collectively, these interactions demonstrate that the Islamic “Golden Age” was a shared humanitarian achievement, with medicine serving as a shared humanitarian language that transcended doctrinal boundaries.

## Contemporary Engagement: Mindfulness, Bioethics, and Integrative Care

In the 21st century, the insights of Buddhist and Islamic medical traditions are promising a huge comeback, not as antiquarian curiosities, but as critical tools for addressing present global healthcare issues.

The most widespread impact of the Buddhist contributions is the secular adoption of mindfulness-based therapies (MBIs) that are drawn from Buddhism. Programs like Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT) are now evidence-based staples in clinical psychology, used to treat depression, anxiety, chronic pain, and addiction. This represents a direct translation of Buddhist meditative practices (*satipaṭṭhana/vipassana*) into a therapeutic context, validating the ancient claim that mental cultivation is integral to physical health. Buddhist values of compassion (*karuṇā*) and alleviation of suffering are influencing palliative and hospice care models. Additionally, the concept of interdependence (*pratityasamutpāda*) aligns with ecological and public health approaches that view individual wellness as indistinguishably linked to societal and environmental health.

On the other hand, Islamic bioethics has developed from Islamic Contributions into a strong framework addressing contemporary issues. Grounded in the *Maqasid al-Shari’ah* (the higher objectives of Islamic law), which prioritize the protection of life, religion, intellect, lineage, and property, scholars engage with issues like end-of-life decisions, assisted reproduction, genetic testing, and organ transplantation. Principles such as the pursuit of benefit (*maslaha*), avoidance of harm (*darar*), and necessity (*dawara*) provide a flexible yet principled methodology for navigating technological advance. The Islamic model of the hospital as a charitable, community focused institution also serves as an inspiration for critiques of hyper-commercialized healthcare systems and for designing more equitable service delivery, particularly in Muslim-majority countries.

According to the shared common ground of providing treatment for mental and physical conditions, both Buddhist and Islamic traditions offer holistic remedies that challenge the materialistic and reductionist tendencies often found in modern medicine. They reintroduce the centrality of **intention** (*cetanā* in Buddhism, *niyyah* in Islam), virtue ethics, and the healer-patient relationship as a **sacred trust**. Both traditions remind us that healing is a moral and spiritual act, not just a technical one. In an era of pandemic fatigue, clinician burnout, and health inequity, the shared emphasis on compassion, justice, and holistic care provides a profound foundation for rebuilding more humane and resilient health systems.

## Conclusion

The historical path from Buddhist monasteries to Islamic hospitals is more than just a chronological sequence. It is a story of ongoing communication, synthesis, and mutual dedication to reducing suffering. Both traditions cultivated medicine as a sacred vocation, blending empirical inquiry with ethical rigor and spiritual insight. Their historical crossings in translation halls, commerce routes, and early hospital wards show that the desire of health has long been a significant motivator for intercultural collaboration. Today, as the world grapples with complex health challenges that demand both scientific innovation and deep ethical reflection, the resources within these traditions are invaluable. The Buddhist gift of mindfulness and the Islamic framework of principled bioethics are not relics but living contributions to global health discourse. They challenge us to see healthcare not as a commodity but as a form of social justice and healing not merely as curing the body but as attending to the whole **person** within their community and environment.

In the end, the discussion between Buddhist and Islamic medical traditions provides a potent example of interreligious and interdisciplinary cooperation. It is a model rooted in a shared recognition of human vulnerability and a common dedication to the craft of compassion, a dialogue where healing itself becomes the highest form of conversation.

Dr Mohamed Ashath is an Assistant Professor at the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), specializing in Comparative Religion, Islamic Theology and philosophy, Buddhist Studies, Buddhist–Muslim Understanding. His works focus on interreligious engagement and civilizational dialogue. His research promotes a compassionate and constructive understanding of Buddhism and Islam, challenges the misuse of religions and religious teachings in conflict, and seeks to reduce religious tensions while contributing to a just, peaceful, and resilient future for the wider humanity.



NEXT IN

Buddhism & Islam: Advanced

📄

**History of the Muslims of Tibet**  
Dr. Alexander Berzin  
Before 1959, Tibetan Muslims living in Central Tibet were the descendants of Muslim merchants who spoke Tibetan and followed most Tibetan customs. In Indian exile as well, the Muslim and Buddhist Tibetan communities live in harmony, with religious tolerance.

## Support Our Project

Our ability to maintain and further expand our website totally depends on your support. If you find our material useful, please consider making a single or monthly donation.

Donate

Study Buddhism is a project of Berzin Archives e. V., founded by Dr. Alexander Berzin.