

# BIODIVERSITY RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION STATUS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA



DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE & NATIONAL PARKS



DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND NATIONAL PARKS, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA  
KM 10, JALAN CHERAS,  
56100 KUALA LUMPUR  
MALAYSIA

TEL: 03-90752872    FAX: 03-90752873    [www.wildlife.gov.my](http://www.wildlife.gov.my)

# **Biodiversity Resources and Conservation Status in Peninsular Malaysia**

Edited by

Sivananthan Elagupillay, Ph.D

Siti Hawa Yatim

Abd Kadir Abu Hashim

Hasdi Hassan @ Asang

Lim Boo Liat, Ph.D

Rosli Hashim, Ph.D

Noorlidah Abdullah, Ph.D

Shukor Md. Nor, Ph.D

Rahmah Ilias



Department of Wildlife and National Parks

2008

Cetakan Pertama/*First Printing 2008*

Hak Cipta/*Copyright Department of Wildlife and National Parks*

Hak Cipta terpelihara. Tiada bahagian daripada terbitan ini boleh diterbitkan semula, disimpan untuk pengeluaran atau ditukarkan ke dalam sebarang bentuk atau dengan sebarang alat jua pun, sama ada dengan cara elektronik, gambar serta rakaman dan sebagainya tanpa kebenaran bertulis daripada

Jabatan Perlindungan Hidupan Liar dan Taman Negara terlebih dahulu.

*All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the Department of Wildlife and National Parks.*

Diterbitkan di Malaysia oleh/*Published in Malaysia by*  
*Department of Wildlife and National Parks Peninsular Malaysia,*  
*KM 10 Jalan Cheras, 56100 Kuala Lumpur.*

Tel : +603 90752872  
Fax : +603 90752873  
e-mail : [pakp@wildlife.gov.my](mailto:pakp@wildlife.gov.my)  
Website : [www.wildlife.gov.my](http://www.wildlife.gov.my)

Dicetak di Malaysia oleh/*Printed in Malaysia by*  
Universal Iprint Sdn. Bhd.,  
B-11-1, Megan Avenue 2,  
Jalan Yap Kwan Seng,  
50450 Kuala Lumpur.

ISBN 978-983-44181-3-7

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Pages
	Foreword – Preamble from the Director General of the Department of Wildlife and National Parks (PERHILITAN)	vii
1.	The Distribution Of Large Mammals Within The Main Range (State Border Of Kelantan, Perak And Pahang) In Peninsular Malaysia <i>Abdul Kadir Abu Hashim</i>	1
2.	Distribution Of Gaur In Titiwangsa Main Range - Temenggor Forest Reserve And Its Surrounding Areas <i>Dennis Ten Choon Yung</i>	13
3.	Birds Of Endau-Kota Tinggi Wildlife Reserve, Johor <i>Rahmah Ilias &amp; Ismail Mamat</i>	19
4.	Survei Avifauna di Taman Negara <i>Zainal Abidin B Mat, Hasdi Asang@Hassan, Abd Rahman Ahmad</i>	39
5.	Bird and Small Mammals of Taman Negara (Terengganu) <i>Pan Khang Aun, Lim Aun Tiah, Mohamad Shahfiz Azman, Hashim Kamal bin Mahadi, Nor Diana Mohamad Nor, Faridatul Nordiana Rosdy</i>	49
6.	Phylogenetic Analysis of <i>Macroglossus sobrinus</i> from Peninsular Malaysia <i>Hajar Fauzan Ahmad, Kamarul Rahim Kamarudin, Jeffrine Rovie Ryan Japning and Juliana Senawi</i>	55
7.	Notes On Frog Fauna Of Northern Titiwangsa Range, Gua Musang, Kelantan <i>Ahmad Sudin, Juliana Senawi, Ahmad Zafir Abdul Wahab and Azlan Mohamed</i>	67
8.	Kekayaan Spesies Herpetofauna di Kuala Gandah Menggunakan Kaedah Perangkap Lubang Berpagar <i>Chan Kin Onn, Norhayati Ahmad and Juliana Senawi</i>	71
9.	A Note on the Reptiles from Sungai Ber and Kolam Air Panas Gua Musang, Peninsular Malaysia <i>Azlan Mohamed and Ahmad Zafir Abdul Wahab</i>	85
10.	A Study on the Ichneumonids in Sungkai Wildlife Reserve, Perak and Tasik Kenyir, Terengganu, Malaysia <i>Idris, A.B., Amanda, T.P.O, Izfa Riza, B.H., and Ruslan, M.Y.</i>	91

# 6

## PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF *MACROGLOSSUS SOBRINUS* FROM PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

Hajar Fauzan Ahmad<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrine Rovie Ryan Japning<sup>2</sup>, Juliana Senawi<sup>3</sup> & Kamarul Rahim Kamarudin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Kuliyah of Science, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Jalan Istana, Bandar Indera Mahkota, 25200 Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia  
bufoasper@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Wildlife and National Parks (DWNP) Peninsular Malaysia, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, KM 10, Jalan Cheras, 56100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia  
jeffrine@wildlife.gov.my

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Environment and Development (LESTARI), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

### ABSTRACT

A study on the phylogenetics of *Macroglossus sobrinus* (Greater Long-nosed Fruit Bat) from Peninsular Malaysia was done using partial cytochrome *b* (cyt-*b*) mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) gene. The specimens of *M. sobrinus* were collected from Kedah (Weng Ulu Muda Forest Reserve), Perak (Bintang Forest Reserve), Selangor (Ulu Langat), Melaka (Asahan Catchment Area), Johor (Gunung Ledang), Kelantan (Gua Musang), and Pahang (Krau Wildlife Reserve). Thirty nine cyt-*b* sequences including eighteen sequences of *M. sobrinus*, twenty sequences of *M. minimus* taken from the GenBank, and a sequence of *Penthetor lucasi* (Lucas's Short-nosed Fruit Bat) as an outgroup were incorporated in the analyses. Three main methods namely neighbour joining (NJ), maximum parsimony (MP), and maximum likelihood (ML) were used in the phylogenetic trees reconstruction followed by the consensus phylogenetic tree to summarize the overall findings. The four trees were reconstructed to determine the genetic relationship between *M. sobrinus* and *M. minimus*. Generally, the trees indicated genetic divergence between populations of *M. sobrinus*. The result was further supported by very low genetic distances based on Kimura 2-parameter distance (1980) calculation. There was an individual of *M. sobrinus* (Gua Musang, Kelantan)

clustered with the *M. minimus* individuals, thus indicating of possible misidentification. Apart from that, *M. sobrinus* from Kelantan gave the highest nucleotide diversity,  $P_i$  (Jukes & Cantor 1969) with 0.65%, followed by Perak (0.36%), Kedah (0.27%), Selangor (0.18%), and finally Pahang and Melaka with no nucleotide diversity. Overall, the nucleotide diversity of *M. sobrinus* in Peninsular Malaysia was 0.29% indicating low level of genetic diversity. However, the sample size is too small to relate the results with the phylogeography and population genetic views. Therefore, more extensive studies incorporating other mtDNA genes with higher rate of evolution such as control region gene are highly recommended in the future study.

## INTRODUCTION

Bats form the second most diverse order of mammals. They are classified in two suborders, Megachiroptera (Old World fruitbats) and Microchiroptera. Megachiroptera, or megabats, are large animals, commonly known as Old World fruit bats. They are mainly fruit-eaters and are found only in tropical habitats of Asia, Africa, India, Oceania and Australasia (Corbet and Hill, 1992). Not all megabats are large; the smallest species is 6 cm (2 inches) long and thus smaller than some microbats. Most megabats have large eyes enabling them to orient in the twilight and inside caves. The sense of smell is excellent. Megabats are frugivorous. They eat fruits or suck nectar from flowers. Often the fruits are squashed, and only the fruit juice is consumed. The teeth are adapted to bite through hard fruit skins. In fact, the megabats lack canine teeth all together (having molars instead) and many of the nectar-sucking bats have very small almost useless teeth (Andersen, 1912).

The evolutionary and taxonomic framework of fruitbats were established by Andersen (1912) based on similarities in skull and dentition morphologies. At the suprageneric level, megabats were categorized into five sections; rousettine, epomophorine and cynopterine bats in the subfamily Pteropodinae; and eonycterine and notopterine bats in the subfamily Macroglossinae. However, recent molecular evolutionary analyses, i.e., restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of ribosomal DNA, DNA hybridization, and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequencing (Schatz, 1996), have shown that this taxonomic classification has some discrepancies.

To date, there has been no comparable attempt to describe the geographical distribution of evolutionary lineages; phylogeography of *Macroglossus sobrinus* (Greater Long-nosed Fruit Bat) in Peninsular Malaysia, nor to use molecular markers to clarify its population structure and evolutionary history. In order to devise adequate conservation and management strategies, it is important to incorporate a reliable understanding of its population structure and history; and a characterization of the distribution of its genetic diversity (Avice, 1989; O'Brien, 1994). MtDNA based phylogeographical studies have been considerable significant in the field of animal conservation where they have revealed cases of cryptic biodiversity, i.e., the identification of distinct evolutionary lineages where morphological differentiation is low (Bermingham et al., 1996). The molecular study of the Peninsular Malaysia Megachiroptera was previously done in 2004 by Polly and his co-workers (Polly et al., 2004). His study was mostly concentrated on the phylogeny and phylogeography of *Cynopterus brachyotis* complex. Since then, there was no comprehensive work on taxonomic status of the species of *M. sobrinus* specifically.



The availability of DNA sequence data from mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) genes has revolutionized phylogenetic research. Among mammals, mtDNA data frequently are used to test hypotheses of relationship among closely related taxa (Ferris et al., 1982). While in higher animals, mtDNA usually shows maternal inheritance (Gyllesten et al., 1991). It evolves, on average, faster than nuclear structural genes (Brown et al., 1982) and this makes them particularly suitable for population levels studies. Different regions of the mitochondrial genome evolve at different rates (Saccone et al., 1991) allowing suitable regions to be chosen for the question under study. Another important feature of mtDNA is that it does not undergo genetic recombination. Hence it is derived from a single ancestral molecule. Thus signature sequences can be used to place any organisms in the proper group.

Using a phylogeographic approach, this project attempted to address the following questions; 1) What is the evolutionary relationship between *M. sobrinus* and *M. minimus* based on cytochrome *b* (cyt-*b*) mtDNA gene? 2) Is their current taxonomy adequate? By defining the framework within which evolution takes place, we hope to understand the broad evolutionary perspective of the Peninsular Malaysian Macrochiroptera. This preliminary study primarily intended to describe genetic diversity; and to reconstruct and clarify the preliminary genetic relationship of *Macroglossus sobrinus* in Peninsular Malaysia. Plus, this research was also chiefly forced by the need to establish the patterns of biodiversity of Macrochiroptera in Peninsular Malaysia to underpin the implementation of wildlife management and conservation efforts by Department of Wildlife and National Parks (DWNP) Peninsular Malaysia or aka PERHILITAN.

## METHODOLOGY

### Samples collection and identification

The tissue samples of *Macroglossus sobrinus* were taken from the Department of Wildlife and National Parks (DWNP) museum at the Institute of Biodiversity (IBD), Bukit Rengit, Lanchang, Pahang Darul Makmur, Malaysia. Originally, the fruit bat specimens were caught from various localities in Peninsular Malaysia during several inventory programs. The inventory programs were conducted by the IBD to update current species distribution in Malaysia. This study covered several populations of *M. sobrinus* in Peninsular Malaysia as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: The details of sampling sites and sample size of *M. sobrinus*

State	n	Sampling Locality	GenBank, NCBI, US Accession No.
Kelantan	5	Gua Musang	FJ226478 – FJ226482
Selangor	3	Ulu Langat	FJ226483 – FJ226485
Melaka	2	Asahan Area	FJ226486 – FJ226487
Kedah	2	Weng Ulu Muda F.R.	FJ226488 – FJ226489
Johor	2	Gunung Ledang	FJ226490
Terengganu	1	Ulu Terengganu F.R.	-
Perak	3	Bintang F.R.	FJ226491 – FJ226493
Pahang	2	Krau Wildlife Reserve	FJ226494 – FJ226495

\* F.R. stands for Forest Reserve; n = sample size



In brief, identification of the species was made based on the characteristics that have been described by Medway (1983). After the process of identification, the dead samples were dissected in order to take out small portions of tissues. The tissues were placed in cryo vials containing 70% ethanol and then stored at -20°C in order to maintain the quality of the tissue.

### **DNA extraction**

Total genomic DNA extraction was done using kits. The total genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue. Quantification of extracted DNA was done using spectrophotometer and 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis.

### **DNA amplification**

Approximately 450 base pairs (bp) section of the cytochrome b (*cyt-b*) mtDNA region was amplified using standard polymerase chain reaction (PCR) procedures. The following primers from Palumbi et al. (1991) were used for the amplification of *cyt-b*.

GludG-L (forward) 5'- **TGA CTT GAA RAA CCA YCG TTG** -3'  
CB2H (reverse) 5'- **CCC TCA GAA TGA TAT TTG TTC TCA** - 3'

The PCR reaction mixture was prepared in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and then dispensed into 0.2 ml PCR tubes with the addition of 4 µL DNA template. Standard thermal cycle amplification was performed in 50 µL reaction volume containing 30.0 µl of sterilized dH<sub>2</sub>O, 5.0 µl of 10X PCR reaction buffer, 3.0 µl of magnesium chloride (MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 25 mM), 2.5 µl of each primer (10 µM), 1.0 µl of nucleotide/dNTP mix (10 mM), 1.0 µl of Acetylated Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA, 10 µg/µl), 0.5 µl of Glycerol, 4 µL of DNA template and 0.5 µl of 5 u/µl *Taq* DNA polymerase.

DNA amplification was then performed in the Mastercycler (Eppendorf), which at first had been programmed according to the desired temperature cycling profile. The PCR cycle was then repeated for 30 cycles. Cycle parameters for PCR were 7 min at 96°C for initial denaturation, 1 min at 95°C for denaturation, 45 s at optimized temperature for annealing, 1 min 30 s at 72°C for extension, repetition of step 2-4 for another 29 cycles (total: 30 cycles) and 10 min at 72°C for final extension. Quantification of the PCR products was analyzed by 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis. Purification kits from manufacturer were used for direct purification.

### **DNA sequencing**

The purified products were directly sent for sequencing using BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Sequencing Kit and analysed on ABI PRISM® 377 Genetic Analyzer. Cycle sequencing reaction was done in a programmable cycler. Cycle sequencing reaction was done for 25 cycles of 96°C for 10 seconds, 50°C for 5 seconds and 60°C for 4 min at rapid.

## Phylogenetic analysis

Chromas Lite (Version 2.1) program was used to display the results of fluorescence-based DNA sequence analysis. Multiple sequence alignment for forward reaction sequences was done using ClustalX program (version 1.81; Thompson et al., 1997), and subsequently aligned by eyes. During the multiple sequence alignment, a sequence of *Penthetor lucasi* (Lucas's Short-nosed Fruit Bat) taken from the GenBank was assigned as an outgroup. The multiple sequence alignment was made against the outgroup. Sequences with short length and the one with bad sequences were excluded from the multiple sequence alignment i.e. "JOH 1 f" and "TER 1 f". PAUP\* version 4.0b10 (Swofford, 1998) was used to reconstruct rectangular cladogram or topology of neighbour-joining tree (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and then topology of maximum parsimony tree. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) version 2.1 (Kumar et al., 2001) was subsequently used to reconstruct original tree or rectangular phylogram of neighbour-joining method. Kimura 2-parameter distance method was incorporated to reconstruct the neighbourjoining trees based on equal base frequencies and unequal ratio of transition to transversion ( $ti/tv$ ).

Phylogenetic confidence was estimated by bootstrapping (Felsenstein, 1985) with 1000 replicate data sets. The reconstruction of rectangular cladogram of maximum likelihood method and topology of consensus phylogenetic tree summarizing the clusterings inferred from neighbour-joining tree, maximum parsimony tree and maximum likelihood tree was done by using PHYLIP version 3.6b (Felsenstein, 2004) with 1000 sequence replications and 100 data sets. TreeView (Win32) version 1.6.6 by Page (1996) was used to display and edit the reconstructed phylogenetic trees. PAUP\* program was also utilised to examine other relevant analyses such as base frequency, proportion of sites differing and genetic distance.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### DNA extraction and PCR

The expected length of bands obtained for total genomic DNA were near the first band of DNA marker with size more than 10,000 bp. The desired bands for partial *cyt-b* mtDNA region were observed with different quality of PCR products. The position of bands was observed between 250bp and 500bp while expected length is approximately 450bp. All the positive PCR products were proceeded to direct purification.

### DNA sequencing and sequence alignment

Eighteen *cyt-b* PCR products of *M. sobrinus* showed results with good signal strength from DNA sequencing. Whilst 2 samples of *M. sobrinus* labeled as Sample 17 and Sample 19 produced noisy data and Sample 15 showed early signal loss. There was no corresponding sequence of *M. sobrinus* for partial *cyt-b* mtDNA gene in the GenBank showing no study on the *cyt-b* mtDNA gene of *M. sobrinus* was done in the past. Beside that, 20 *cyt-b* mtDNA sequences of *M. minimus* were taken from the GenBank.

## Phylogenetic analysis

In this study, approximately 450 bp of *cyt-b* of the samples were amplified and sequenced. 374 aligned bases of *cyt-b* mtDNA gene were preceded for further analyses.

In terms of base frequencies, there was a slight mean excess of A residues (30.53%) while G residues showed the least mean of 13.58%. Furthermore, T residues showed a mean of 29.54% while 26.35% was presented by C residues. Across all species, GC content averaged about 39.93%. The average value for base count was approximately 374 base positions. On the whole, the frequencies for all bases i.e. Adenine (A), Cytosine (C), Guanine (G) and Thymine (T) were considered equal as all the sequences incorporated were partial. Such consideration was one of the important assumptions used for Kimura 2-parameter (1980) distance method.

The neighbour joining (NJ) method in overall likely resolved the clustering at species level as it showed two main species clusters of fruit bat namely *M. minimus* and *M. sobrinus*. The cluster that contains *M. sobrinus* from Peninsular Malaysia showed no regional fragmentation as all the individuals mingled together. An individual of *M. sobrinus* (KEL 2 f) formed the basal in the major cluster of *M. minimus* from the Philippines archipelago and Africa, with 73% bootstrap value showing the possibility of species misidentification. The major cluster of *M. minimus* showed regional fragmentations as the cluster formed four separate subclusters which represent *M. minimus* from the Philippines archipelago and remarkably, two individuals of *M. minimus* obtained from Africa were separated from *M. minimus* of the Philippines archipelago.

Bootstrap method with heuristic search was used to search for the most optimal tree from maximum parsimony (MP) analysis. The number of bootstrap replicates incorporated was 1000 with starting seed of 2333. Of 374 total characters, 134 characters were constant, 184 variable characters were parsimony-uninformative. In terms of maximum likelihood (ML) analysis, Hasegawa, Kishino and Yano (HKY)-gamma model was used with the assumptions of unequal base frequencies and unequal ratio of transition to transversion (ti/tv). 1000 sequence replication, 100 datasets and 10 times of jumbles were incorporated in order to maximize the level of confidence in conducting the bootstrap analysis. Global rearrangements and empirical base frequencies were also applied. The ratio of transition to transversion was fixed to 2.0 with the starting seed of 2333. However, both MP and ML trees did not support the formation of two major clusters separating *M. minimus* from *M. sobrinus* due to the status of GeneBank19 (the sample of *M. minimus*) which became basal to *M. minimus* and *M. sobrinus*. Likewise, the consensus phylogenetic tree (Figure 1.0) unsuccessfully resolved the population of fruit bats at species level as the tree also showed that individual GeneBank19 has formed basal to *M. minimus* and *M. sobrinus* with 100% bootstrap value.

## Genetic variation within the *M. sobrinus*

The average sequence divergence when using the Kimura 2-parameter model indicated that genetic distance within the *M. sobrinus* group is 5.1%. Unfortunately, small samples were available from each locality – even though the data were representing Peninsular Malaysia. At present, the genetic variation within the samples of *M. sobrinus* did not reflect any major geographical partitions within the species. Generally, the genetic distances

between samples of *M. sobrinus* were postulated very low, showing genetically close relationship among individuals thus enforcing their taxonomic validation as belonging to a single taxon (*M. sobrinus*).

Many factors could have influenced the results of this study. The sampling strategy may influence average sequence divergence at any level of comparison. Samples from isolated populations or population representing geographical extreme of a species distribution could result in the overestimations of average genetic divergences for within species comparison (Bradley and Baker, 2001).

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

More studies on *M. sobrinus* in Peninsular Malaysia are needed to fully resolve the taxonomic status in this study. This will require re-evaluation of morphology and use of other characters, especially nuclear gene that may provide resolution at the higher level of relationships. Among other mitochondrial genes available that can be used for further studies are 12S, 16S, and cytochrome c oxidase I (COI). Future studies on the phylogeography in local *M. sobrinus* are recommended with broad geographical sampling for *M. sobrinus* (e.g. inclusion of Sabah and Sarawak regions).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Department of Biotechnology, Kulliyyah of Science, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) Indera Mahkota Campus, Kuantan would like to extend its gratitude to PERHILITAN's staff members at the Institute of Biodiversity (IBD), Bukit Rengit, Lanchang, Pahang Darul Makmur, Malaysia for their permission and assistance to conduct this joint research. The warm hospitality of the conservation centre made a major difference in the study. We hope the study will be a catalyst for closer cooperation between both parties in fruit bats conservation especially in the Peninsular Malaysia.

## REFERENCES

- Andersen, K., 1912. Catalogue of the Chiroptera in the Collection of the British museum, second ed. British Museum (Natural History) Publications, London.
- Awise, J.C., 1989. Role of molecular genetics in recognition and conservation of endangered species. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 4:279-281.
- Baker, R. J. and Van Den Busshe, R. A., 1993. Molecular phylogenetic of the new world bats genus *Phyllostomus* based on Cytochrome b DNA sequence variation. *Journal of Mammalogy* 74(3):793-802.
- Bermingham, E., Seutin, G., and Ricklefs, R.E., 1996. Regional approaches to conservation biology: RFLPs, DNA sequence and Caribbean birds. In *Molecular Genetic approaches in Conservation*. Smith, T.B., Wayne, R.K. (eds.). Oxford University Press: New York, pp. 104-124.

- Bradley, R. D. and Baker, R. J., 2001. A test of the genetic species concept: Cytochrome b sequences and mammals. *Journal of Mammalogy* 82:960-973.
- Brown, W.M., George, M. Jr. and Wilson, A.C., 1982. Rapid evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA. *Proc Acad Natl Sci. USA* 76:1967-1971.
- Corbet, G.B., and Hill, J.E., 1992. *The Mammals of The Indomalayan Region: A Systematic Review*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Felsenstein, J., 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 7: 1193-1204.
- Felsenstein, J., 2004. "PHYLP", Phylogeny Inference Package, version 3.6b (beta release). Seattle, WA: Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington.
- Ferris, S.D, Sage R.D, and Wilson A.C., 1982 Evidence from mtDNA sequences that common laboratory strains of inbred mice are descended from a single female. *Nature*. 1982 Jan 14;295 (5845):163-165.
- Gyllesten, U.B., Wharton D, Josefsson, A. and Wilson, A.C., 1991. Paternal inheritance of mitochondrial DNA in mice. *Nature* 352: 255-257.
- Jackson, J. B. C., 1986. Model of dispersal of clonol benthic invertebrates consequences for species distributions and genetic structure of local population. *Bulletins of Marine Science* 39:58-606.
- Jukes, T.H., and Cantor, C.R., 1969. Evolution of protein molecules. In Munroled, H.N. (ed.), *Mammalian Protein Metabolism*. New York: Academic Press, p. 31-132.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Jakosen, I.B., and Powell, R., 2001. MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software. Tampe, Arizona: Arizona State University.
- Medway, L., 1983. *The wild mammals of Malaya (Peninsular Malaysia) and Singapore*. Second edition, revised. Oxford University Press, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- O'Brien, S. J., 1994. A role for molecular genetics in biological conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*. 91:5748-5755.
- Page, R.D.M., 1996. TREEVIEW: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences* 12: 357-358.
- Palumbi, S., Martin, A., Romano, S., McMillan, W.O., Stice, L., and Grrabowski, G., 1991. *The Simple Fool's Guide To PCR*. Honolulu: Department of Zoology and Kewalo Marine Laboratory, University of Hawaii.

- Polly, C., Christopher J. S., Adura M. A., Akbar Z., and Thomas H. K., 2004. Phylogeny and phylogeography of Old World fruit bats in the *Cynopterus brachyotis* complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 33. p. 764–781.
- Saccone, C., Pesole G., and Sbisa E., 1991. The main regulatory region of mammalian mitochondrial DNA: structure-function model and evolutionary pattern. *J Mol Evol* 33:83-91.
- Saitou, N., and Nei, M., 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Evol. Biol* 4(4):406-425.
- Schatz, G., 1996. The protein import system of mitochondria. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 31763–31766.
- Swofford, D.L., 1998. "PAUP", Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and other methods), version 4. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Thompson, J.D, Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. and Higgins, D.G., 1997. The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by the quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 24: 4876-4882.






Figure 1: Topology of consensus phylogenetic tree of fruit bat species from subfamily of Macroglossinae inferred from *cyt-b* mtDNA gene using PHYLIP version 3.6b (Felsenstein 2004). Three types of phylogenetic trees namely neighbour joining (NJ), maximum parsimony (MP) and maximum likelihood (ML) trees were incorporated. Abbreviation of GeneBank refers to corresponding sequences obtained from GenBank. The tree is rooted with a sequence of *Penthetor lucasi*, also known as Lucas's short-nosed fruit bat (GenBank accession number: EF105544). Kimura 2-parameter distance (1980) with 1000 sequence replications and 100 data sets were used. Numbers at nodes indicate the bootstrap values in percentage (%).