

[Back](#)

Systematic review of patient-reported outcome measures for opioid use disorder recovery

[Addiction](#) • [Review](#) • [Open Access](#) • 2025 • DOI: 10.1111/add.70212 [Pytell, Jarratt D.](#)^{a,b,c} ; [Pales, Dennis](#)^a; [Simon, Katy](#)^{d,e,f}; [Beaudoin, Jarett](#)^g; [Osman, Ahmed M. Y.](#)^h; [+5 authors](#)^a Department of Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, United States[Show all information](#)

0

Citations

[Full text](#) ▾ [Export](#) ▾ [Save to list](#)[Document](#) [Impact](#) [Cited by \(0\)](#) [References \(77\)](#) [Similar documents](#)

Abstract

Background and aims: Recovery-focused measurement-based care of opioid use disorder (OUD) could inform clinical care by assessing patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs). We sought to identify and describe validated PROMs which assess recovery among patients with OUD, focusing on PROM characteristics, recovery domains and pragmatism for implementation in outpatient settings. **Methods:** A preregistered (PROSPERO: CRD42023394770) systematic review was conducted using the Consensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement Instruments (COSMIN) guidelines. Validated PROMs that assessed at least one of 17 recovery domains and contained fewer than 50 items were identified. The review described PROM characteristics, including the number of items, subscales, response options and time to complete. Content validity was assessed from the patient perspective. Recovery domains assessed were categorized into 17 domains, including substance-related, psychological health and quality of life. The presence of clinically relevant score changes was assessed. Hierarchical clustering was performed to describe co-occurrence patterns among recovery domains. **Results:** A total of 122 studies were included, identifying 90 unique PROMs. Three PROMs (3%) received a 'moderate' grade on content validity. PROMs assessed a median of 4 recovery domains [inter-quartile range (IQR) = 1–7], with substance-related outcomes being most common (51%), followed by psychological health (49%), relationships (41%) and physical health (36%). Nineteen PROMs (21%) contained fewer than 10 items, making them highly pragmatic for clinical use. Fourteen PROMs (16%) assessed 8 or more recovery domains and were categorized as comprehensive. Two (2%) comprehensive PROMs were developed with input from individuals with lived experience of substance use, providing a patient-centered perspective. Five PROMs (6%) defined clinically relevant score changes. **Conclusions:** There are many patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) which assess diverse and often broadly defined recovery domains that can be used in recovery-focused measurement-based care of opioid use disorder; however, few PROMs are brief enough to be pragmatic for clinical use, nearly all lack clinically relevant score changes that could help inform treatment decisions, and few were developed with input from people with lived experience. © 2025 Society for the Study of Addiction.

Author keywords

measurement-based care; opioid use disorder; patient-reported outcome; primary care; recovery; systematic review

Funding details

Details about financial support for research, including funding sources and grant numbers as provided in academic publications.

Funding sponsor	Funding number	Acronym
National Institute On Drug Abuse		
National Institutes of Health See opportunities by USNIH ↗	K23DA060358	USNIH
PhRMA Foundation	2022–2023	

Funding text 1

We thank Ms. Allison Macht for revisions and comments on the manuscript. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute On Drug Abuse of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number K23DA060358. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Funding text 2

J.D.P. received previous funding from the PhRMA Foundation Faculty Starter grant (2022\u20132023) for research unrelated to the current work. J.D.P. receives consulting fees from the Center for Personalized Education for Professions for work unrelated to this research. I.A.B. was a Senior Editor for and has recused herself from any discussion or decisions regarding editorial decisions of the manuscript. I.A.B. reports royalties from Wolters Kluwer (UpToDate) for educational content. *Addiction*

Corresponding authors

Affiliation Department of Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Mail Stop B180, 12 631 E. 17th Ave, Aurora, 80045, CO, United States

Email address jarratt.pytell@cuanschutz.edu

© Copyright 2025 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved.

Abstract

Author keywords

Funding details

Corresponding authors

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)

[查看繁體中文版本](#)

[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions](#) ↗ [Privacy policy](#) ↗ [Cookies settings](#)

All content on this site: Copyright © 2026 [Elsevier B.V.](#) ↗, its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access content, the relevant licensing terms apply.

