Scopus

Documents

Abdul Hamid, S.H.

Overcoming challenges and sensitivities surrounding sexual health topic

(2025) Qualitative Research in Malaysia: Bringing Voices from the Margins to the Mainstream, pp. 170-182.

DOI: 10.4324/9781032696232-16

Department of Special Care Nursing, Kulliyyah of Nursing, Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Malaysia

This chapter explores the complex dynamics involved in the provision of sexual health education to unmarried adolescents in Malaysia focusing on the experiences of school's health team officers. It suggests practical approaches for other researchers such as applying ethical approval, gathering data, conducting critical discourse analysis (CDA), and documenting findings of sensitive topics. Through CDA of both interviews and educational materials, this chapter uncovers the contradictions between the stated goals of adolescent-friendly services and the actual practices observed. The research findings indicate a discrepancy between the professional obligations of the school health team and their personal, frequently conservative, attitudes, despite rules that advocate for equal access to sexual health information. This tension is seen in the hesitancy of school health team to offer thorough information about contraception, resulting instead in the acceptance of alternatives like concealed pregnancies and adoption. This chapter argues that the discourses of risk, punishment, shame, and fear play a significant role in shaping the advice given by the school health team, reflecting deeper cultural and social norms. This chapter highlights the importance of challenging deeply held beliefs to respect and uphold adolescents' right to comprehensive sexual health information. © 2025 selection and editorial matter, Su Li Chong.

References

• Creswell, J.

Research design

(2013) Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches, SAGE Publications, Inc.

Creswell, J., Miller, D.

Determining validity in qualitative inquiry

(2000) Theory into Practice,

- Dempsey, L., Dowling, M., Larkin, P., Murphy, K. Sensitive interviewing in qualitative research (2016) Research in Nursing & Health, 39 (6), pp. 480-490.
- Fairclough, N., Mulderrig, J., Wodak, R.

Critical discourse analysis

(2011) Discourse Studies: A Multidisciplinary Introduction, pp. 357-378. T. A. van Dijk, 2nd ed, Sage

Goldkuhl, G.

Pragmatism vs interpretivism in qualitative information systems research (2012) European Journal of Information Systems, 21 (2), pp. 135-146.

- Green, J., Thorogood, N. (2004) Qualitative Methods for Health Research, **SAGE Publications**
- Kelly, L.M., Cordeiro, M.

Three principles of pragmatism for research on organizational processes (2020) Methodological Innovations, 13 (2).

• Mackenzie, N., Knipe, S. Research dilemmas: Paradigms, methods and methodology (2006) Issues in Educational Research, 16 (2), pp. 193-205.

Mauceri, S.

Mixed strategies for improving data quality: The contribution of qualitative procedures to survey research

(2014) Quality and Quantity, 48 (5), pp. 2773-2790.

Meyrick, J.

What is good qualitative research? A first step towards a comprehensive approach to judging rigour/quality

(2006) Journal of Health Psychology,

Montgomery, P., Bailey, P.H.

Field notes and theoretical memos in grounded theory

(2007) Western Journal of Nursing Research, 29 (1), pp. 65-79.

Morgan, A.

(2010) Discourse Analysis: An Overview for the Neophyte Researcher, Journal of Health and Social Care Improvement, May, 1-7

Morgan, J., Haar, J.

General practice funding to improve provision of adolescent primary sexual health care in New Zealand: Results from an observational intervention (2009) Sexual Health,

- Nagy, S., Mills, J., Waters, D., Birks, M. Using research in healthcare practice (2010) Lippincott Williams & Wilkins,
- Patton, M.

Enhancing the quality and credibility of qualitative analysis (1999) Health Services Research,

Rogers, R.

(2004) An Introduction to Critical Discourse Analysis in Education, Routledge

- Rogers, R., Malancharuvil-Berkes, E., Mosley, M., Hui, D., Joseph, O.G., Joseph, G.O.G. Critical discourse analysis in education: A review of the literature (2009) Review of Educational Research, 75 (3), pp. 365-416.
- . Rosaline, B.

(2008) Introducing Qualitative Research, pp. 215-232. Introducing Qualitative Research

 Schiffrin, D., Tannen, D., Hamilton, H.E. (2008) The Handbook of Discourse Analysis, The handbook of discourse analysis

Seale, C.

(2007) Qualitative Research Practice,

SAGE Publications Inc.

 Silverman, D., Marvasti, A. (2008) Doing Qualitative Research: A Comprehensive Guide, SAGE Publications, Inc.

Starks, H., Trinidad, S.B.

Choose your method: A comparison of phenomenology, discourse analysis, and grounded theory

(2007) Qualitative Health Research, 17 (10), pp. 1372-1380.

Wicks, D.
 (2010) Deviant Case Analysis,
 Encyclopedia ofcase study research

Wodak, R.

Aspects of critical discourse analysis

(2002) Zeitschrift Fur Angewandte Linguistik, 36, pp. 5-31.

Correspondence Address

Abdul Hamid S.H.; Department of Special Care Nursing, Malaysia; email: shazariah@iium.edu.my

Publisher: Taylor and Francis

ISBN: 9781040329399; 9781032716794 **Language of Original Document:** English

Abbreviated Source Title: Qualitative Research in Malaysia: Bringing Voices from the Margins to the Mainstream

2-s2.0-105001408795

Document Type: Book Chapter

Publication Stage: Final

Source: Scopus



Copyright © 2025 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

