




## Semarak International Journal of Design, Built Environment and Sustainability

Journal homepage:

<https://semarakilmu.com.my/journals/index.php/sijdbes/index>

ISSN: XXX-XXX



# The Implications of Epistemology on Knowledge and Awareness in Green Campus Management

Nazrul Rusli<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohd Ramzi Mohd Hussain<sup>1\*</sup>, Norhanis Diyana Nizarudin<sup>1</sup>, Sapiah Abdul Hamed<sup>1</sup>, Syikh Sazlin Shah Sabri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Landscape Architecture, Kulliyah of Architecture and Environmental Design, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 26 September 2024

Received in revised form 16 October 2024

Accepted 13 November 2024

Available online 30 December 2024

#### Keywords:

Epistemology; knowledge; knowledge and awareness; green campus; campus management

### ABSTRACT

The study explores the implications of epistemology on knowledge and awareness in green campus management. Epistemology, which concerns how knowledge is acquired, validated, and applied, provides a critical framework for understanding the various knowledge forms- scientific, experiential and institutional that shape environmental awareness and behavior. By investigating the interaction between these types of knowledge and the awareness levels of campus stakeholders, the research aims to investigate how different epistemological perspectives drive behavioral change toward sustainability. Using content analysis of relevant literature, the study focuses on how the production, dissemination, and application of knowledge contribute to sustainable campus management practices and foster an environmentally responsible campus culture. It emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary approaches that integrate scientific knowledge with social and experiential insights, aligning with the goals of sustainable development. The findings indicate that a deeper understanding of epistemology can significantly enhance the effectiveness of green campus initiatives by ensuring that knowledge not only informs policy but also motivates active participation and long-term behavioral change. This paper offers valuable insights for university administrators, educators, and policymakers seeking to improve the impact of sustainability programs. Ultimately, it supports global efforts to mitigate environmental challenges through education, awareness, and the cultivation of a culture of sustainability.

## 1. Introduction

The epistemological foundations of knowledge management significantly impact green campus and supply chain management. Eriksson & Engstrom [1] emphasize the importance of aligning ontology and epistemology in green supply chain management research to ensure knowledge

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [nazrul@iium.edu.my](mailto:nazrul@iium.edu.my)

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ramzi@iium.edu.my](mailto:ramzi@iium.edu.my)

<https://doi.org/10.37934/sijdbes.1.1.2028>

creation matches the green aspect. Johnson and Duberley [2] explore various epistemological approaches in management research, highlighting the need for a reflexive approach. Nonaka & Peltokorpi [3] argue that traditional knowledge management systems reflect an objectivistic epistemology, which is inconsistent with theories emphasizing knowledge creation's subjective and social nature. They propose that adopting a new epistemological view has profound implications for management and technology. These papers collectively underscore the critical role of epistemology in shaping knowledge creation, management practices, and technological systems, suggesting that a more nuanced understanding of epistemology is essential for effective green campus management and sustainable practices.

The definition of knowledge and its various forms focuses on the knowledge that something is true [4]. It discusses different senses of the word 'know', including having a special form of confidence, being acquainted with something or someone, and recognizing something as information. Radanliev *et al.*, [5] assert that knowledge originates with belief, which must be true and requires justification to be considered knowledge. However, this definition has been subject to intense debate and criticism, leading to the development of alternative theories such as reliability, virtue epistemology, and coherence. The acquisition, preservation, application, and dissemination of knowledge are intertwined in both Islamic and Western perspectives. According to Sulaiman [6], knowledge is considered a gift from Allah to mankind, allowing them to differentiate between reality and illusion, as well as truth and falsehood. However, there is a lack of consensus among philosophers and epistemologists on the nature and methods of acquiring knowledge.

Understanding the relationship between a particular philosophy of knowledge and the awareness of knowledge is a complex task that requires significant intellectual effort [7]. It involves examining the fundamental principles that govern the nature, acquisition, and dissemination of knowledge within the context of awareness. According to Paudel [8], this academic inquiry delves comprehensively into the philosophical underpinnings of the chosen epistemological philosophy, analyzing its intricacies and elucidating its pivotal role in shaping the cognitive processes that underlie the awareness of knowledge.

Understanding knowledge and awareness attributes is crucial for effective green campus management. By adopting a particular epistemological philosophy, such as constructivism, the exploration of knowledge and attitudes toward sustainability becomes more comprehensive [9]. Constructivism emphasizes the active role of individuals in constructing their understanding of the world, which can be directly applied to the management of green campuses. As Laskar & Bhattacharjee [10] said in their writing, constructivism emphasizes the active role of individuals in constructing their understanding of the world. This philosophy suggests that knowledge and awareness of sustainable practices can be effectively developed through active engagement and hands-on learning experiences within the campus community. By embracing constructivist principles, green campus management can foster a culture of learning and innovation, where knowledge is constructed through interactions with the environment and shared experiences.

### 1.1 Literature Review

Epistemological philosophy guides the examination of the nature of knowledge and understanding within the context of green campus management. Bekele *et al.*, [11] added by laying the foundation for a thoughtful analysis, the selected philosophical framework allows for a deeper exploration of the implications of knowledge and awareness on sustainable practices. According to Anthony *et al.*, [12] this exploration is key to implementing effective strategies for the green campus paradigm, as it can lead to a more holistic approach that considers the interconnectedness of all

elements within the campus environment. Through the lens of constructivism, the active construction of knowledge and understanding becomes central to fostering sustainable practices within the campus community. As such, a thoughtful consideration of epistemological philosophies is essential for developing effective strategies that promote green campus management and environmental stewardship. The article by C. Y. Ha, T. J. Khoo, and S. C. Teh [13] on “Malaysia Green Residential Buildings and Factors Affecting the Willingness of Public on Buying Green Residential Buildings” can be related to the implications of epistemology on knowledge and awareness in green campus management. Both contexts involve understanding and influencing public perception and behavior towards sustainability. For instance, the study highlights how public willingness to purchase green residential buildings is influenced by their awareness and understanding of the benefits of such buildings. This is directly related to how knowledge and awareness are managed in green campus initiatives. Effective knowledge dissemination and awareness campaigns are crucial in both scenarios to foster a culture of sustainability. While existing literature explores various epistemological theories and their implications on knowledge and awareness, a notable gap exists in understanding how these frameworks influence behavior change toward sustainability in green campus management. This focus on behavior change can provide valuable insights into how theoretical knowledge translates into practical, sustainable actions within campus environments.

### *1.2 Defining Knowledge and Awareness*

Knowledge and awareness are distinct yet interconnected concepts. Knowledge refers to the familiarity, understanding, or information gained through experience or education. It encompasses facts, truths, and principles acquired by human beings [14]. It relates to understanding sustainable practices and environmental impacts in a green campus setting. On the other hand, awareness pertains to the state of being conscious of something. It's about recognizing and being aware of environmental issues and sustainability efforts. Awareness drives the motivation to act on the knowledge one possesses [15]. For instance, a person might have knowledge about recycling (knowing the process and benefits) but awareness involves being mindful of actually implementing recycling practices daily. This differentiation and interrelation between knowledge and awareness are crucial for effective green campus management.

### *1.3 Role of Knowledge and Awareness in Green Campus Management*

Knowledge and awareness play pivotal roles in green campus management, acting as foundational elements in fostering sustainable practices within educational institutions. Knowledge provides the necessary understanding and information about environmental issues, sustainability practices, and the implications of various actions. It involves familiarity with concepts such as recycling, energy efficiency, and sustainable resource management [14]. Knowledge equips individuals with the facts and principles needed to implement effective green initiatives. Awareness, on the other hand, is about being conscious of and sensitive to environmental issues. It includes recognizing the importance of sustainability and being motivated to act. Awareness drives behavior changes and influences attitudes toward environmental practices [15].

### *1.4 Epistemological Implications for Green Campus Management*

Understanding the epistemological implications for green campus management involves exploring how knowledge is constructed, validated, and applied within the context of sustainability

practices in educational institutions. Knowledge Construction and Dissemination, the way knowledge about green practices is created and shared, can significantly influence campus-wide adoption of these practices. According to Lehrer [14], the construction of knowledge involves systematic investigation and validation processes. In green campus management, this means integrating scientifically verified practices and effectively disseminating this knowledge among the campus community. Cultural perspectives and awareness differentiate epistemological perspectives, including those rooted in cultural and contextual factors, that can shape awareness and attitudes toward sustainability. As Poya and Rizapoor [16] highlight, integrating diverse epistemologies, such as Islamic perspectives on knowledge, can enhance the understanding and acceptance of green initiatives. Critical thinking and epistemic cognition promoting critical thinking and epistemic cognition are essential for fostering a culture of sustainability. Sandoval, *et al.*, [17] emphasize the importance of understanding how individuals think about knowledge, which can help in developing educational strategies that encourage critical analysis of environmental issues. Holistic and interdisciplinary approaches in the epistemological considerations underscore the need for holistic and interdisciplinary approaches in green campus management. Conceição *et al.*, [18] advocate for fostering learning beyond traditional environmental management systems, encouraging comprehensive educational experiences that integrate multiple fields of knowledge. These epistemological implications highlight the importance of a well-rounded, culturally sensitive, and critically engaged approach to green campus management, ensuring that sustainable practices are deeply embedded in the institutional ethos.

Future iterations of this research could benefit significantly from incorporating empirical studies or case analyses. These additions would provide concrete examples of how different epistemological approaches have been successfully implemented in green campus management. By examining real-world applications, researchers can gain deeper insights into the practical implications of various theoretical frameworks. Such empirical evidence would not only validate the theoretical concepts but also highlight best practices and potential challenges in applying these approaches. This, in turn, could guide institutions in adopting more effective strategies for promoting sustainability on their campuses. Ultimately, integrating empirical studies and case analyses would enhance the robustness and applicability of the research, making it more valuable for both academic and practical purposes.

## 2. Methodology

This study employs a systematic review methodology to analyze and synthesize existing literature on the implications of epistemology on knowledge and awareness in green campus management. The review aims to identify key themes, theoretical frameworks, and practical insights that contribute to a comprehensive understanding of how epistemological perspectives influence sustainability practices within academic institutions. The data for this study is collected from a broad range of academic sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference proceedings. The selection criteria focus on works that explicitly address the intersection of epistemology, knowledge, and awareness in the context of green campus management. Key databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and Scopus are utilized to ensure a comprehensive search. The findings from the reviewed articles are synthesized to draw connections between epistemological theories and their practical implications for green campus management. Particular attention is given to how different epistemological perspectives influence the development and implementation of sustainability initiatives, the engagement of campus communities, and the overall effectiveness of green management strategies. By systematically reviewing and synthesizing existing literature, this study aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the role of epistemology in shaping knowledge and

awareness in green campus management. The insights gained from this review will inform the development of more effective and inclusive sustainability practices in higher education institutions.

## 2.1 Content Analysis

**Table 1**

Findings on epistemology on knowledge and awareness in green campus management

Author(s) and Year	Titles	Key Finding
R'boul, H. (2022)	Intercultural philosophy and internationalization of higher education: epistemologies of the South, geopolitics of knowledge and epistemological polylogue.	Emphasizes the importance of diverse epistemologies and intercultural dialogue in international higher education, impacting how knowledge and awareness are cultivated globally.
Zhang, L., & El-Gohary, N. M. (2016)	Epistemology-based context-aware semantic model for sustainable construction practices.	Develops a semantic model integrating epistemological perspectives to enhance sustainability practices, highlighting the role of contextual knowledge in sustainable management.
Bakhurst, D. (2020)	Teaching and learning: epistemic, metaphysical and ethical dimensions-introduction.	Explores the epistemic dimensions of teaching and learning, stressing how epistemological awareness can improve educational practices and management strategies.
Poya, A., & Rizapoor, H. (2023)	Al-Ghazali's Theory of Real Knowledge: An Exploration of Knowledge Integration in Islamic Epistemology through Contemporary Perspectives.	Examines integration of knowledge within Islamic epistemology, offering insights on how cultural perspectives influence knowledge and awareness.
Amaral, A. R., Rodrigues, E., Gaspar, A. R., & Gomes, A. (2021)	Lessons from unsuccessful energy and buildings sustainability actions in university campus operations.	Analyzes unsuccessful sustainability initiatives, emphasizing the need for better knowledge and awareness for effective green campus operations.
Lehrer, K. (2018)	Theory of knowledge.	Provides comprehensive insights into epistemic theory, contributing foundational knowledge that underpins awareness and educational practices in green campus management.
Conceição, P., Ehrenfeld, J., Heitor, M., & Vieira, P. S. (2006)	Sustainable universities: Fostering learning beyond environmental management systems.	Advocates for a broader approach to sustainability education, stressing the importance of fostering holistic knowledge and awareness.
Kindsiko, E. (2018)	Organisational control in university management: A multiparadigm approach.	Explores different paradigms in university management, highlighting how varied epistemological approaches can enhance management practices and awareness.
Sandoval, W. A., Greene, J. A., & Bråten, I. (2016)	Understanding and promoting thinking about knowledge: Origins, issues, and future directions of research on epistemic cognition.	Discusses the development of epistemic cognition, stressing its importance for fostering critical thinking and awareness in educational settings.

Table 1 provides a comprehensive overview of various studies that underscore the importance of incorporating diverse epistemological perspectives within educational and management contexts. It highlights how these differing viewpoints contribute significantly to the enhancement of teaching and learning processes, as well as to effective management practices. In educational settings, the acknowledgment of multiple epistemologies fosters a richer learning environment, encouraging students to engage with content through various lenses. This approach not only enriches their understanding but also promotes critical thinking and adaptability. Similarly, in management contexts, the integration of diverse epistemological viewpoints can lead to more innovative problem-solving strategies and improved decision-making processes. It allows for a broader spectrum of ideas and solutions, ultimately enhancing organizational effectiveness. The studies presented in the table illustrate that recognizing and valuing diverse epistemological perspectives is essential for fostering inclusivity, creativity, and critical engagement in both educational and organizational frameworks.

## 2.2 Analysis

The collection of articles reviewed offers a comprehensive and nuanced exploration of the interplay between epistemological perspectives and the development of knowledge and awareness within the framework of green campus management. By critically examining various epistemological approaches, the authors illuminate how different ways of knowing can significantly inform and influence sustainability practices in educational institutions. Key themes that emerge from this analysis include the role of constructivist epistemologies, which emphasize the importance of collaborative learning and community engagement in fostering a deeper understanding of environmental issues. This perspective suggests that knowledge is socially constructed, and therefore, involving students, faculty, and staff in green initiatives is essential for cultivating a sustainable campus culture.

Moreover, the articles delve into the implications of critical epistemologies, which challenge traditional power structures and advocate for social justice in environmental management. This approach highlights the necessity of incorporating diverse voices and perspectives, particularly those of marginalized communities, in sustainability discourse. By recognizing these diverse viewpoints, institutions can develop more inclusive and effective strategies for promoting environmental stewardship. In addition, the review discusses the significance of integrating interdisciplinary approaches to knowledge production in green campus management. This integration encourages collaboration across various fields, allowing for a more holistic understanding of sustainability challenges. It underscores the importance of combining scientific knowledge with insights from the humanities and social sciences to address complex environmental issues fully. The insights derived from this multifaceted analysis underscore the critical importance of epistemological frameworks in shaping our understanding and implementation of green campus management strategies. By considering how knowledge is constructed and disseminated within these frameworks, educational institutions can enhance their efforts toward sustainability and create a more environmentally conscious academic community.

Diverse epistemologies and intercultural dialogue as R'boul [20] emphasize the importance of incorporating diverse epistemologies and fostering intercultural dialogue in higher education, enriching the cultivation of knowledge and awareness by incorporating varied cultural perspectives to enhance the implementation of sustainable practices on campuses. This idea is complemented by Zhang and El-Gohary [21], who highlight the significance of contextual knowledge in sustainable management through their development of an epistemology-based context-aware semantic model. Similarly, Poya and Rizapoor [16] explore how integrating knowledge within Islamic epistemology

influences knowledge and awareness, aligning with R'boul's focus on cultural perspectives. Conceição *et al.*, [18] further advocate for a holistic approach to sustainability education, stressing the importance of fostering comprehensive knowledge and awareness, while Kindsiko [22] underscores the enhancement of management practices through varied epistemological approaches. These collective insights underscore the critical role of diverse epistemologies in enriching knowledge and awareness within the context of green campus management.

Context-aware semantic models written by Zhang and El-Gohary [21] highlight the development of an epistemology-based context-aware semantic model for sustainable construction practices. This model underscores the importance of contextual knowledge in managing sustainability, demonstrating how tailored epistemological frameworks can improve green campus operations. Epistemic dimensions in education mentioned by Bakhurst [22] explore the epistemic dimensions of teaching and learning, stressing that an awareness of these dimensions can significantly improve educational practices and management strategies. This insight is critical for fostering a culture of sustainability within educational institutions.

Cultural integration of knowledge by Poya and Rizapoor [16] examines the integration of knowledge within Islamic epistemology, offering valuable insights into how cultural perspectives influence knowledge and awareness. This integration is essential for developing inclusive and culturally sensitive sustainability initiatives. In the context of international higher education, R'boul [20] emphasizes the importance of diverse epistemologies and intercultural dialogue. This can be related to Islamic epistemology by recognizing the value of incorporating Islamic perspectives into global educational practices, thereby enriching the overall knowledge and awareness cultivated in these settings. Zhang and El-Gohary [21] discuss the role of contextual knowledge in sustainable management. This can be linked to Islamic epistemology, which often emphasizes the harmony between human actions and the environment, advocating for sustainable practices grounded in ethical and spiritual principles. Bakhurst [22] explores the epistemic dimensions of teaching and learning, which can be enhanced by integrating Islamic epistemological views that stress the importance of holistic education, combining both spiritual and empirical knowledge.

Learning from unsuccessful initiatives in Amaral *et al.*, [19] analyze unsuccessful energy and building sustainability actions in university campus operations. Their findings emphasize the need for better knowledge and awareness to effectively implement green campus initiatives. This highlights the critical role of epistemological awareness in overcoming practical challenges. Foundations of epistemic theory by Lehrer [14] provide comprehensive insights into epistemic theory, which underpins the foundational knowledge required for effective green campus management. Understanding these theoretical underpinnings helps in developing robust strategies for sustainability education. Holistic and interdisciplinary approaches highlighted by Conceição *et al.*, [18] advocate for a holistic approach to sustainability education that goes beyond traditional environmental management systems. They stress the importance of fostering holistic knowledge and awareness, which is crucial for comprehensive green campus management. Multiparadigm approaches in management by Kindsiko [23] explore different paradigms in university management, highlighting how varied epistemological approaches can enhance management practices and awareness. This multiparadigm approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of sustainability practices. Promoting epistemic cognition mentioned by Sandoval *et al.*, [17] discusses the development of epistemic cognition, emphasizing its importance for fostering critical thinking and awareness in educational settings. This cognitive approach is vital for engaging the campus community in sustainability initiatives.

Overall, these findings underscore the critical role of epistemology in shaping knowledge and awareness for effective green campus management. By integrating diverse cultural perspectives,

contextual knowledge, and interdisciplinary approaches, educational institutions can foster a more comprehensive and inclusive culture of sustainability

#### 4. Conclusions

The examination of epistemology's role in green campus management reveals several important insights. The construction of knowledge, as highlighted by Lehrer [14], is a crucial process in developing effective green campus strategies. This process involves systematic investigation and validation to ensure that implemented practices are based on sound scientific principles. Cultural and contextual integration is emphasized by Poya and Rizapoor [16], who illustrate the importance of incorporating diverse cultural and epistemological perspectives. This inclusivity enriches the understanding of sustainability practices, making them more relatable and effective across various cultural contexts. Critical thinking and awareness are highlighted by Sandoval *et al.*, [17], who argue that fostering epistemic cognition and critical thinking is essential for promoting awareness and engagement in sustainability initiatives. Such cognitive approaches facilitate the critical evaluation of environmental issues and encourage active participation. Holistic educational approaches are advocated by Conceição *et al.* [18], who stress the need for a comprehensive and interdisciplinary approach to sustainability education. This broader educational strategy ensures that knowledge and awareness are integrated across different disciplines, fostering a more thorough understanding and implementation of green initiatives. Overall, the implications of epistemology highlight the necessity for a well-rounded, inclusive, and critically engaged approach to green campus management. By embracing diverse epistemologies and promoting critical thinking, institutions can cultivate a deeper understanding and heightened awareness of sustainability, which will lead to more effective and long-lasting green campus practices.

#### Acknowledgment

This research was not funded by any grant.

#### References

- [1] Eriksson, David, and Annika Engström. "Using critical realism and abduction to navigate theory and data in operations and supply chain management research." *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 26, no. 2 (2021): 224-239. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-03-2020-0091>
- [2] Johnson, Phil, and Joanne Duberley. "Understanding management research: An introduction to epistemology." (2000): 1-224. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857020185>
- [3] Nonaka, Ikujiro, and Vesa Peltokorpi. "Objectivity and subjectivity in knowledge management: a review of 20 top articles." *Knowledge and process management* 13, no. 2 (2006): 73-82. <https://doi.org/10.1002/kpm.251>
- [4] Phillips, Jonathan, Wesley Buckwalter, Fiery Cushman, Ori Friedman, Alia Martin, John Turri, Laurie Santos, and Joshua Knobe. "Knowledge before belief." *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 44 (2021): e140. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X21000911>
- [5] Radanliev, Petar, David De Roure, Max Van Kleek, Omar Santos, and Uchenna Ani. "Artificial intelligence in cyber physical systems." *AI & society* 36 (2021): 783-796. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01049-0>
- [6] Sulaiman, Kabuye Uthman. "Islamic Versus Western Conceptions of Knowledge." *Al-hikmah: International Journal of Islamic Studies and Human Sciences* 5, no. 4 (2022): 198-229. <https://doi.org/10.46722/hikmah.v5i4.296>
- [7] Krumrei-Mancuso, Elizabeth J., Megan C. Haggard, Jordan P. LaBouff, and Wade C. Rowatt. "Links between intellectual humility and acquiring knowledge." *The Journal of Positive Psychology* 15, no. 2 (2020): 155-170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2019.1579359>
- [8] Paudel, P. "Examining Paradigmatic Shifts: Unveiling the Philosophical Foundations Shaping Social Research Methodologies." *Journal of the University of Ruhuna* 12, no. 1 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.4038/jur.v12i1.8033>
- [9] Dziubaniuk, Olga, and Monica Nyholm. "Constructivist approach in teaching sustainability and business ethics: a case study." *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education* 22, no. 1 (2021): 177-197. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-02-2020-0081>



- [10] Laskar, Abu Hujayfa, and Sreeparna Bhattacharjee. "Teachers' awareness on constructivist approach in learning: An analytical study." *International Journal of Health Sciences* 6 (2022): 4313-4332. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS1.5792>
- [11] Bekele, Teklu Abate, Samuel Amponsah, and Ibrahim M. Karkouti. "African philosophy for successful integration of technology in higher education." *British Journal of Educational Technology* 54, no. 6 (2023): 1520-1538. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13364>
- [12] Anthony Jnr, Bokolo. "Green campus paradigms for sustainability attainment in higher education institutions—a comparative study." *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management* 12, no. 1 (2021): 117-148. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-02-2019-0008>
- [13] Ha, Chin Yee, Terh Jing Khoo, and Soo Chin Teh. "Malaysia green residential buildings and factors affecting the willingness of public on buying green residential buildings." *Progress in Energy and Environment* (2023): 33-43. <https://doi.org/10.37934/progee.25.1.3343>
- [14] Lehrer, Keith. *Theory of knowledge*. Routledge, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429494260>
- [15] Trevethan, Robert. "Deconstructing and assessing knowledge and awareness in public health research." *Frontiers in public health* 5 (2017): 194. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00194>
- [16] Poya, Aminullah, and Habiburrahman Rizapoor. "Al-Ghazali's Theory of Real Knowledge: An Exploration of Knowledge Integration in Islamic Epistemology through Contemporary Perspectives." *International Journal Of Humanities Education and Social Sciences* 3, no. 2 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.55227/ijhess.v3i2.627>
- [17] Sandoval, William A., Jeffrey A. Greene, and Ivar Bråten. "Understanding and promoting thinking about knowledge: Origins, issues, and future directions of research on epistemic cognition." *Review of Research in Education* 40, no. 1 (2016): 457-496. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X16669319>
- [18] Conceição, Pedro, John Ehrenfeld, Manuel Heitor, and Pedro S. Vieira. "Sustainable universities: Fostering learning beyond environmental management systems." *International Journal of Technology, Policy and Management* 6, no. 4 (2006): 413-440. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTPM.2006.011725>
- [19] Amaral, Ana Rita, Eugénio Rodrigues, Adelio Rodrigues Gaspar, and Alvaro Gomes. "Lessons from unsuccessful energy and buildings sustainability actions in university campus operations." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 297 (2021): 126665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126665>
- [20] R'boul, Hamza. "Intercultural philosophy and internationalisation of higher education: epistemologies of the South, geopolitics of knowledge and epistemological polylogue." *Journal of Further and Higher Education* 46, no. 8 (2022): 1149-1160. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2022.2055451>
- [21] Zhang, Lu, and Nora M. El-Gohary. "Epistemology-based context-aware semantic model for sustainable construction practices." *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management* 142, no. 3 (2016): 04015084. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO.1943-7862.0001055](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001055)
- [22] Bakhurst, David. "Teaching and learning: epistemic, metaphysical and ethical dimensions—introduction." *Journal of Philosophy of Education* 54, no. 2 (2020): 255-267. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9752.12418>
- [23] Kindsiko, Eneli. *Organisational control in university management: A multiparadigm approach*. Emerald Publishing Limited, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1108/9781787566712>