Web of Science[™]

Search

\varTheta Nur Ezzati M Taib ~

Results for EXPLORING ANT... >

Exploring antioxidant and antidiabetic potential of Mutingia calabura (Keru...

Exploring antioxidant and antidiabetic potential of *Mutingia calabura* (Kerukupsiam) leaf extract: *In vitro* analysis and molecular docking study

Ву	Gunny, AAN (Gunny, Ahmad Anas Nagoor) ; Prammakumar, NK (Prammakumar, Nimaal K.) ; Ahmad, AA (Ahmad, Abdul Aziz) ; Gopinath, SCB (Gopinath, Subash C. B.) ; Abu Bakar, AR (Abu Bakar, Amirul Ridzuan) ; Musa, H (Musa, Haliru) ; Mat, MHC (Mat, Mohd Hishamuddin Che)
Source	RESULTS IN CHEMISTRY Volume: 7 DOI: 10.1016/j.rechem.2024.101305
Article Number	101305
Published	JAN 2024
Early Access	JAN 2024
Indexed	2024-02-09
Document Type	Article
Abstract	Antioxidant activity and antihyperglycemic constituents and of traditional medicinal plants are currently the preferred therapeutic means of treatment and management of diabetes because of the undesired adverse effect of synthetic drugs. Muntingia calabura (Kerukupsiam) leaves and other parts are considered as alternative natural sources of treatment for diabetes. Ultrasonic assisted extraction is a novel approach for extraction of phytoconstituents which gives high

extraction yield of bioactive compounds. However, there has been no published information presently on the use of ethanol ultrasonic assisted extraction method for assessment of antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of M. calabura leaves. Hence, the current study aims to evaluate the in vitro antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of M. calabura leave extract. IC50 analysis was done to determine theinhibitory concentration and the results obtained from 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay showed IC50 of gallic acid to be 1.0 mu g/ml, which is lower than M. calabura leaves extract at 2.54 mu g/ml, indicating that only small concentration of gallic acid was required to inhibit the free radicals at 50 %. However, IC50 analysis for amylase inhibition showed that M. calabura extract had 44.39 mu g/ml antidiabetic activity compared to acarbose with 57.1 mu g/ml activity. This indicates that M. calabura leaves extract has a better inhibition on amylase activity compared to the acarbose which is a synthetic drug. Further still, in silico study was carried out and the molecular docking result of eight ligands against amylase indicates quercetin had the least binding free energy of -9.1 kcal/mol, indicating the strongest interaction. Using Lineweaver-Burk plot, the results showed a competitive inhibition, hence, it was justified that M. calabura has the potential to manage diabetes and other diseases related to free radicals.

KeywordsAuthor Keywords: Diabetes; Medicinal Plant; Amylase Inhibitor;Lineweaver-Burk; In silico

Addresses

¹ Univ Malaysia Perlis, Fac Chem Engn & Technol, Kompleks Pusat Pengajian, Jejawi 3, Arau 02600, Perlis, Malaysia

² Univ Malaysia Perlis, Ctr Excellence Biomass Utilizat, Arau 02600, Perlis, Malaysia

³ Int Islamic Univ Malaysia, Dept Sci Engn, Kulliyyah Engn, Jalan Gombak, Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, Malaysia

⁴ Univ Malaysia Perlis, Inst Nano Elect Engn, Kangar 01000, Perlis, Malaysia

⁵ Univ Malaysia Perlis, Ctr Excellence CoE, Micro Syst Technol, Puah
 Campus, Arau 02600, Perlis, Malaysia

...more addresses

Categories/ Classification	Research Areas: Chemistry Citation Topics: $ \begin{array}{c} 3 & \text{Agriculture,} \\ \text{Environment \&} \\ \text{Ecology} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3.16 \\ \text{Phytochemicals} \\ \text{Products} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3.16.614 & \text{Advanced} \\ \text{Glycation End} \\ \text{Products} \end{array} $ Sustainable Development Goals: 03 Good Health and Well-being				
Web of Science Categories	Chemistry, Multidisciplinary				
Language	English				
Accession Number	WOS:001155068400001				
ISSN	2211-7156				
IDS Number	GU0N1				
	- See fewer data fields				

Citation Network	Use in Web of Science		
 In Web of Science Core Collection 0 Citations 24 Cited References 	3 3 Last 180 Days Since 2013		
How does this document's citation performance compare to peers?	This record is from:		
← Open comparison metrics panel Data is from InCites Benchmarking & Analytics	 Web of Science Core Collection Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI) 		
	Suggest a correction		

If you would like to improve the

© 2024	Data	Copyright	Manage	Follov
Clarivate	Correction	Notice	cookie	Us
Training	Privacy	Cookie	preferences	Y
Portal	Statement	Policy		