MALAYSIA STROKE VIRTUAL CONFERENCE 2021

 $\langle \rangle$

MOBILITY AFTER STROKE: REHABILITATION APPROACHES TO MAXIMIZE WALKING POTENTIAL

Nurul Amirah Binti Mustapa

Lecturer, Department of Physical Rehabilitation Sciences Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences International Islamic University Malaysia

nurulamirah@iium.edu.my

CONTENTS

Introduction Mobility after stroke Outcome Measures TWIST algorithm

01

02

Concepts to boost walking potential



03

Rehabilitation Phases

and Approaches

Approaches based on the rehabilitation phases 04

05

Conclusion

Traditional Physiotherapeutic Approaches Efficacy of traditional approaches

INTRODUCTION

Independent and community walking:

 \checkmark One of primary goals in the stroke rehabilitation

- \checkmark Predictor of functional independence and quality of life
- One of two stroke survivors will be unable to walk in the acute stage of stroke



(Cirstea, 2020; Harris & Eng, 2004; Jorgensen et al., 1995; Kinoshita et al., 2017; Selves et al., 2020; Winstein et al., 2016)

MOBILITY AFTER STROKE

- Outcome measures:
 - ✓ Gait speed
 - ✓ Walking distance using 6-min walking best predictors of walking
 - ✓ Walking ability using the Functional Ambulation Category

(FAC) scale

FAC is a 6-point scale

- 0 = nonambulatory or requires assistance of at least 2 people to walk
- 1 to 3 = assistance or supervision from a person to walk
- 4 = able to walk indoors on level surfaces without hands-on assistance or supervision
- 5 = able to walk we and down atains alarge and outdoors without assistance Independent walking = FAC score of 4 or 5

(Rose et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2017)

MOBILITY AFTER STROKE

Time to Walking Independently after STroke

(TWIST) algorithm

- Predictions of independent walking (95% accuracy)
- Trunk control test (TCT)

grade ≥ 3

■ > 40 at 1st week \rightarrow independent

walking within 6 weeks

< 40 at 1st week → independent
 walking by 12 weeks if hip extension
 strength of Medical Research Council



MAXIMIZE WALKING POTENTIAL

Important concepts to boost walking potential

- Neuroplasticity
- Repetitive practice

repetition Strengthen new neural connections

Accelerate neuroplastic changes

FREQUENCY OF REPETITIONS



MAXIMIZE WALKING POTENTIAL



(Rose et al., 2017)



REHABILITATION PHASES

Four phases :

- 1. Hyper-acute phase (first 48 h)
- 2. Early rehabilitation phase (48 h

to 3 months)

3. Late rehabilitation phase (3 to 6 months)

(Koninklijk Nederlands Genootschap voor Fysiotherapie (KNGF), 2004; 4 Chronic phase (aP26) (MONThS)

MAXIMIZE WALKING POTENTIAL

Rehabilitation in hyper-acute phase

- Aim: To stimulate motor control recovery
- Techniques: Early bed mobility (e.g. sitting, standing, and walking) and supervised active exercises in bed
- Frequence Too much of early mobilization is detrimental for prognosis
 3 hours a day)
- Ensure stable cardiovascular and satisfied physiological limits: Systolic BP 120-220 mmHg, HR 40-100 bpm,
 (Cummi Sp 021192% 2008; RdF, termpe 38250 Gelves et al., 2020; Winstein et al., 2016;

Yelnik et al., 20



Adapted from "Treadmill training with partial body weight support after stroke: A review" by Hesse (2008)

MAXIMIZE WALKING POTENTIAL

- Gait rehabilitation in early and late rehabilitation phases
- Intensive, repetitive, task oriented, and adapted to the patient's functional status
- Vary exercise with the appropriate
 progression or con Effective
 Significant outcome in walking speed
 improve patient's compliance
- A higher training intensity

(Cirstea, 2020; Hornby et al., 2015; KNGF, 2004; Kwakkel et al., 2004)

REHABILITATION APPROACHES



(Cirstea, 2020; KNGF, 2004)

LOWER LIMB THERAPY

Resistanc e training	 Ankle plantarflexors, hip flexors, knee extensors, and knee flexors of the paretic leg Knee flexors and ankle plantarflexors of the non-paretic leg 1-3 sets of 10-15 reps; 8-10 muscle groups; 2-3 times/week
Aerobic enduranc e	 Walking, treadmill, and exercise stepper 20-60 min/session; 3-7 days/week Moderate intensity: 40-70% of maximum oxygen consumption (VO2max) or 40-70% of the heart rate reserve (HRR) or 50-80% of the maximum heart rate (maxHR) and a Borg RPE score of 11-14
Ankle Foot Orthosis (AFO)	 Control foot drop Efficient gait performance
Electrical stimulati on	 Neuromuscular electrostimulation (NMES) and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) Activating paretic muscles and control foot drop Stimulate the ankle dorsiflexor during the swing phase

et al., 2016)

TREADMILL TRAINING

- Assist the paretic lower extremity in stepping
- Treadmill training with and without body weight support
- 20 to 60 min for 3 to 5 times/week

Without body weight support

With body weight support Effective to improve comfortable walking speed and walking distance

(Selves et al., 2020; KNGF, 2004; Winstein et al., 2016)

TREADMILL TRAINING



Non ambulatory stroke survivors at the hyper acute and early rehabilitation More effective compared to

<u>More effective compared to</u> <u>overground walking</u> I∩ ↑ independent walking

(Cirstea, 2020; Hornby et al., 2015; KNGF, 2004; Kwakkel et al., 2004; Selves et al., 2020)



Adapted from "Treadmill training with partial body weight support after stroke: A review" by Hesse (2008)

TREADMILL TRAINING

Gait rehabilitation in hyper-acute phase [< 1 month post stroke]

- Aims: To accelerate neuroplastic changes and motor recovery
- Techniques: Gait cycle or/and stepping (forward, backward, or sideways) on a treadmill and overground/stairs with or without bodyweight support or physical

assistance

Repetitive and longer duration

vigorous intensity

- 45 to 60 min/daily
- Achie High intensity (RPE 13 to 14)
- Vital signs monitoring

(Cirstea, 2020; Hesse, 2008; Hornby et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2020; KNCE 2004)

ROBOTIC-ASSISTED THERAPY

Exoskeleton with
 programmable drives that
 passively flex the hip and
 knee joints during the swing
 phase

 Reduce the physical assistance

• Provide intensive, repetitive (Belda-Lois et al., 2011; Selves et al., 2020; KNGF, 2004; Winstein et al., 2016)



ROBOTIC-ASSISTED THERAPY

Non ambulatory stroke survivors in early rehabilitation phase



VIRTUAL REALITY TRAINING

- Use of computerized technology to allow patients to engage in specific task practice within a computergenerated visual environment in a naturalistic fashion
- Highly repetitive training + great variability = ↑ motivation and ↓ perception of exertion
- More effective to train gait and balance than conventional training
- Positive outcomes (e.g. walking speed) can be seen regardless of the rehabilitation phase



(de Rooij et al., 2016; Selves et al., 2020; KNGF, 2004; Winstein et al., 2016)

CIRCUIT CLASS TRAINING

- Intensive, repetitive, and task-oriented training which is focused on repetitive practice of functional tasks
- For stroke survivors with FAC score of > 3 and should be maintained in the chronic phase (> 6 months post-stroke)
- Allow social interaction between patients
- A session: Strengthening, balance, and steady-state training
- Improves walking distance and speed, walking capacity, physical activity and fitness level in the early, late rehabilitation, and chronic phase

SELF REHABILITATION

- Intensive practice of a wide variety of functional mobility tasks (e.g., walking, rising from a chair, turning, stepping over an obstacle)
- For stroke survivors with FAC score of ≥ 3
- Implemented in the early and late rehabilitation phases
- Should be introduced to the patient in the early phase, in order to prepare him for discharge, and it should be supervised at a distance by a therapist
- Effectively improve performance of ADL

(Selves et al., 2020; KNGF, 2004)

TRADITIONAL PHYSIOTHERAPEUTIC APPROACHES

Neurodevelopmental technique (NDT)/Bobath

VS

Motor Relearning Programme (MRP)

No difference in leg function, balance, walking and stair climbing

NDT/Bobath

VS

Body-weight supported treadmill training

NDT / Bobath to be inferior in improving walking speed and walking category

(Eng & Tang, 2007; Winstein et al., 2016)

TRADITIONAL PHYSIOTHERAPEUTIC APPROACHES

NDT/Bobath

VS

Other approaches of gait training

NDT/ Bobath to be equivalent or inferior in improving walking ability

Brunnstrum and Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF)

VS

Other approaches of gait training

No trials showed these approaches are superior to the respective comparison of gait training

Meta-analysis of 4 studies showed larger effect on improving gait speed in alternative interventions (e.g., treadmill and functional training) compared to

Bol (End) & Na) g, 2007; Langhammer & Stanghelle, 2010; Winstein

TRADITIONAL PHYSIOTHERAPEUTIC APPROACHES



Does not challenge the central nervous system

Insufficient to make a clinically significant difference in a patient's recovery trajectory after stroke

Ideal rehabilitation involves repetitive and intensive practice, which is continually incremented in difficulty according to the tolerance of the patient

(Eng & Tang, 2007; Langhammer & Stanghelle, 2010; Winstein

CONCLUSION

- This combination of rehabilitation strategies appear to be more effective than over ground gait training alone
- It is time to move beyond traditional low-intensity/low-demanding rehabilitation to the intensive, repetitive, high intensity rehabilitation → to maximize the walking potential
- Changing the practice of rehabilitation requires a system-level changes (e.g. access to resources and staff), therapists' knowledge/beliefs, along with innovative methods
- It is well worth considering and immediate action is imperative owing to the prediction of increasing prevalence of stroke in nationwide



Solis-escalante, T., Brunner, C., & Rea, M. (2011). Rehabilitation of gait after stroke: a review towards a top-down approach. 66(December).

Cotes Perez I. Neto-Iscamez, F. A., & Obrero-Gaitán, E. (2020). Immersive virtual reality in stroke patients as a new approach for reducing postural disabilities and falls risk: A case series. *Brain Sciences*, *10*(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10050296

- Cumming, T. B., Thrift, A. G., Collier, J. M., Churilov, L., Dewey, H. M., Donnan, G. A., & Bernhardt, J. (2011). Very early mobilization after stroke fasttracks return to walking: Further results from the phase II AVERT randomized controlled trial. *Stroke*, *42*(1), 153–158. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.594598
- Duncan, P. W., Zorowitz, R., Bates, B., Choi, J. Y., Glasberg, J. J., Graham, G. D., Katz, R. C., Lamberty, K., & Reker, D. (2005). Management of Adult Stroke Rehabilitation Care: a clinical practice guideline. In *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* (Vol. 36, Issue 9). https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000180861.54180.FF
- Eng, J. J., & Tang, P. F. (2007). Gait training strategies to optimize walking ability in people with stroke: A synthesis of the evidence. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, 7(10), 1417–1436. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737175.7.10.1417
- Hesse, S. (2008). Treadmill training with partial body weight support after stroke: A review. *NeuroRehabilitation*, 23(1), 55–65. https://doi.org/10.3233/nre-2008-23106
- Hornby, T. G., Holleran, C. L., Leddy, A. L., Hennessy, P., Leech, K. A., Connolly, M., Moore, J. L., Straube, D., Lovell, L., & Roth, E. (2015). Feasibility of Focused Stepping Practice during Inpatient Rehabilitation Poststroke and Potential Contributions to Mobility Outcomes. • Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, 29(10), 923–932. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968315572390
- KNGF (2014) KNGF guidelines: stroke. Royal Dutch Society for Physical Therapy (Koninklijk Nederlands Genootschap voor Fysiotherapie, KNGF)
 Kwakkel, G., Van Peppen, R., Wagenaar, R. C., Dauphinee, S. W., Richards, C., Ashburn, A., Miller, K., Lincoln, N., Partridge, C., Wellwood, I., & Langhorne, P. (2004). Effects of augmented exercise therapy time after stroke: A meta-analysis. *Stroke*, 35(11), 2529–2536. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000143153.76460.7d
- Langhammer, B., & Stanghelle, J. K. (2011). Can Physiotherapy after Stroke Based on the Bobath Concept Result in Improved Quality of Movement Compared to the Motor Relearning Programme. *Physiotherapy Research International*, *16*(2), 69–80. https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.474
- Moore, J. L., Nordvik, J. E., Erichsen, A., Rosseland, I., Bø, E., & Hornby, T. G. (2020). Implementation of High-Intensity Stepping Training during Inpatient Stroke Rehabilitation Improves Functional Outcomes. *Stroke*, 563–570. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.027450
- Rose, D. K., Nadeau, S. E., Wu, S. S., Tilson, J. K., Dobkin, B. H., Pei, Q., & Duncan, P. W. (2017). Locomotor training and strength and balance exercises for walking recovery after stroke: Response to number of training sessions. *Physical Therapy*, 97(11), 1066–1074. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzx079
- Selves, C., Stoquart, G., & Lejeune, T. (2020). Gait rehabilitation after stroke: review of the evidence of predictors, clinical outcomes and timing for interventions. Acta Neurologica Belgica, 120(4), 783–790. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13760-020-01320-7
- Smith, M. C., Barber, P. A., & Stinear, C. M. (2017). The TWIST Algorithm Predicts Time to Walking Independently After Stroke. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, 31(10–11), 955–964. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968317736820

Wang, B., Shen, M., Wang, Y. X., He, Z. W., Chi, S. Q., & Yang, Z. H. (2019). Effect of virtual reality on balance and gait ability in patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 33(7), 1130–1138. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215519843174

- Wade, D. T., Skilbeck, C. E., Wood, V. A., & Hewer, R. L. (1984). Long-term survival after stroke. Age and Ageing, 13(2), 76–82. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/13.2.76
- Winstein, C. J., Stein, J., Arena, R., Bates, B., Cherney, L. R., Cramer, S. C., Deruyter, F., Eng, J. J., Fisher, B., Harvey, R. L., Lang, C. E., MacKay-Lyons, M., Ottenbacher, K. J., Pugh, S., Reeves, M. J., Richards, L. G., Stiers, W., & Zorowitz, R. D. (2016). Guidelines for Adult Stroke Rehabilitation and Recovery: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. In *Stroke* (Vol. 47,

THANKS!